

Please Note: Respondents provided answers to a range of different questions and these individual comments have been brought together and presented in a single combined column. As a result, the comments appear sequentially but originate from multiple questions across the survey. This format is intended to capture the full range of views shared through the consultation, while ensuring all feedback is represented in one place for ease of review. To improve readability, public comments were separated and grouped into thematic areas. No comment content has been changed, and all respondent views are represented in full. To protect privacy, all personal names, specific addresses, and identifiable locations mentioned by respondents in the public comments have been redacted.

All Public Comments		Council Response
1.	We bought an electric car to reduce our carbon emissions having seen the devastation caused in the health of people living in cities with all the pollution. Yes. Electric cars, heat pumps, solar panels just to start with. Fly less especially long haul, use trains where possible, plant trees recycle as much as possible Yes. Already have an electric car, we recycle as much as possible and use rainwater butts to water the garden but also use soapy dishwater to water plants too. We use the train now whenever possible and we are definitely flying less. 2030 Transport Residential Commercial & Industry Waste & Water Carbon Absorption & Biodiversity Yes Financial support for solar panels Yes I agree. Water treatment. I am very encouraged that FHDC are pressing Southern Water to clean up their act!! We should be able to swim in the sea year round even when and after it's been raining!! I agree with some but not others I agree with some, but not others. More support required for low income households to de-carbonise! No Yes No No Both Yes No	Thank you for sharing your comment about purchasing an electric car to reduce carbon emissions and improve air quality. The District Wide Carbon Plan addresses sustainable transportation in section 5.3, which outlines goals for increasing electric vehicle adoption and charging infrastructure. We appreciate your proactive steps and will consider emphasising the air quality benefits of electric vehicles in future updates to the plan.
2.	They haven't No No I don't support the plan. Any of it. 2050 No No. It's not required. I do not agree. None I do not agree I do not agree No No No Yes Climate adaptation No No	We appreciate your feedback. The plan aims to address climate change impacts across the district, but we understand not everyone may agree with this approach. The council believes that climate change is happening and that it has serious implications for the district and, as far as we're able, the council should try to mitigate and help the district to adapt to the impacts of these changes. This forms a key theme of the council's new Corporate Plan 'Our district, our world'.

3.	<p>Yes Yes I support the plan. I worry that just by promoting green policies to local businesses rather than incentivising it, we will struggle to get many of them to commit to net zero. 2030 Transport Commercial & Industry Waste & Water Carbon Absorption & Biodiversity Residential Yes. I think there needs to be a significant investment and bold changes in public transport in the area. So much car traffic on the roads is local traffic, a tram system or electric buses with bus lanes would make a huge difference. There has to be a significant cost and time saving incentive to use public transport or it won't work. Yes. I agree. - I agree. Yes, I worked in new build development and new build standards are still based on keeping houses as warm and energy efficient as possible, but there are no standards for keeping them cool and ventilated in the summer. I live in a new build now and the attic level bedroom is often 30 plus in the summer, and even at night well a love safe temps recommended by the NHS for infants. We need to ensure homes, as well as schools and other public spaces that house vulnerable people such as children and the elderly can be kept cool enough, even if this is with air conditioning. I agree Yes. I would like to see more living walls and also more normalising of wild landscaping, meadows, etc. things like allowing verges to grow wild will save money on maintenance. Yes No No Climate mitigation Yes No</p>	<p>Thank you for your support of the plan and your concerns about promoting versus incentivising green policies for local businesses. The plan addresses business engagement in section 5.3, but we will consider adding more specific incentives to encourage businesses to commit to net zero. We appreciate your suggestions for improving public transportation, including trams and electric buses with dedicated lanes. The plan discusses sustainable transportation in section 5.3, but we will look into emphasising the need for significant investment and bold changes to make public transit more attractive and efficient, working with partner organisations including Kent County Council (the local transport authority), bus and rail operators and Highways England.</p>
4.	<p>It's costing me and everyone a lot of money on my energy bills and taxes. Wind and solar energy is twice as expensive because they are unreliable and so gas and coal plants have to be paid for and maintained as a back up. In addition taxes are higher due to the subsidies wasted on them. No. Individuals will make no difference to climate change as it is a natural event. Yes I don't support the plan. All of it, it is a waste of our money. 2030 Waste & Water I don't think any action needs to be taken, other than maintenance or our green spaces, tree preservation and planting, stopping pollution of our water and sea. Stop building on our green spaces, both luxury houses that only the rich can afford, and solar plans that are unreliable, expensive, and a waste of valuable farm land. Stop polluting our farm land with solar plants that are expensive, unreliable and unrecyclable. No. The only part is pressurising the water companies to stop pollution. I do not agree. The risks are massively exaggerated. Pollution, and excessive house building. I do not agree. Build nuclear reactors. No I do not agree Yes. No climate change is normal, stop wasting our money. No No No Climate adaptation No No</p>	<p>Thank you for your comments and raising your concerns about the costs of renewable energy and impacts on energy bills and taxes. The plan addresses energy and costs in section 5.3. Thank you too for raising concerns about the cost of housing and preserving our green spaces. The council is required by government to meet housing targets to address the national housing crisis, within the recognised constraints of the district. Large scale solar and nuclear projects are not decided by the council, but by the Secretary of State through the National Significant Infrastructure Project (NSIP) process, although the council will be consulted on proposals. The plan aims to address climate change impacts across the district, but we understand not everyone may agree with this approach. We will take your feedback into consideration as we continue to refine the approach. We appreciate you sharing your perspective on this complex issue.</p>

5.	<p>N/A Yes. Reducing carbon footprint. Yes. Invest in Solar Power, reduce meat intake. I support the plan. The words are fine insufficient specific actions to say what I support. I recognise that the Action plan will be presented after the consultation so this question is irrelevant. 2040 Residential Waste & Water Transport Commercial & Industry Carbon Absorption & Biodiversity = Meat based diet Undecided. The plan is not detailed enough yet. I agree. Flooding. I agree. It ignore the opportunities to use the planning sytem to promote good practice. No I agree Yes. You could loosen the planning guidelines so that Conservation Officers do not curtail the ability of householders to install solar panels in conservation areas and listed buildings on aesthetic grounds. I have had personal experience of this. No No No Both Yes. Don't know. Yes. Don't know.</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. We understand your concern about the lack of specific actions at this stage. The detailed Action Plan will be developed after the consultation process, as noted in section 6 of the document. We will seek to provide more guidance for homeowners on the installation of solar panels so that the rules are clearer. The council also works in partnership with Kent County Council and the other Kent local authorities to promote solar panels to homeowners, small businesses and local groups through the Solar Together Kent initiative.</p>
6.	<p>Yes. We all have a responsibility. Even small actions have an effect - both culturally and practically. Yes. Travel less, use less energy, refuse plastic, get others on board. I support the plan. 2050 Transport Commercial & Industry Residential Waste & Water Carbon Absorption & Biodiversity yes Yes. I agree. Flooding, subsidence, storm damage including damage to sea defences. Air quality and pollution. I agree. Education, education, education. Kids eco workshops Folkestone Climate Carnival (Vegan Vibes) I agree No. I think you pretty much have it covered - well done! Yes Yes No Both Yes. With positive involvement from groups / people across the community. Yes</p>	<p>Thank you for your support of the District Wide Carbon Plan and your recognition that we all have a responsibility to take action on climate change. The plan addresses individual actions and community engagement in section 5.3. We appreciate your suggestions about travel reduction, energy conservation, and plastic refusal. The plan covers these topics, but we will consider emphasising them further in future updates, working with partner organisations including Kent County Council (the local transport authority), bus and rail operators and Highways England to improve public transport and cycling and walking infrastructure.</p>

7.	<p>Yes. We each have a responsibility to order our lives such that we minimise our impact on the environment and climate. However, overall success will not come from individuals. Such is the scale of change required, it will require government at every level to take possibly unpopular decisions in order to have any chance of moderating the impact of climate change. Yes. Have already made changes - have installed air source heat pump, rainwater harvesting system, electric car charging points and solar panels at home. I also use public transport where ever feasible. Would consider installing further solar panels. Would use public transport almost exclusively if a better infrastructure was in place. Would also consider becoming a 1 car family if again, there were better public transport available. I support the plan. 2030 Transport Residential Commercial & Industry Waste & Water Carbon Absorption & Biodiversity Yes. There is so few specific specific actions detailed within the plan so that it's impossible to comment. No. Action at this level of government has no chance of making any significant difference. I agree. It's clear from the plan what the challenges and risks are. I do not agree. I couldn't see any clear proposals for specific actions. Ban cars in town centres. Take a lead from Sadiq Khan and implement the radical! Implement a "No car Sunday" for non urban areas (Emergency / service vehicles excepted) Increase bus services throughout the area. Pressure KCC to open Ashford as an international station. Encourage train rather than plane travel to Europe. Reduce business rates for local shops to minimise the need for local residents to travel. See question 4. I do not agree. Not aware of any specific proposals that will make a difference. Yes. See question 4. No No No Both Yes. If it makes a difference then yes. Otherwise no. No</p>	<p>Thank you for sharing your perspective on individual and governmental responsibility in addressing climate change. You make important points about the need for government action at all levels to drive significant change. The plan addresses the council's role in section 3.1, but we will consider emphasising the importance of coordinated action across different levels of government.</p> <p>We appreciate your suggestions for specific actions like car-free initiatives, improved public transportation, and incentives for local businesses. While the current plan outlines broad goals in section 5.3, we acknowledge your desire for more concrete proposals. We will take your feedback into account as we develop the detailed Action Plan mentioned in section 6, working with partner organisations including Kent County Council (the local transport authority), bus and rail operators and Highways England to improve public transport and cycling and walking infrastructure.</p>
----	---	--

8.	<p>Climate/health anxiety, lifestyle changes, challenges with property and garden maintenance, and greater concern for the welfare of animals (both domestic and rural) and people with medical needs. All of which come with additional expenses. Yes Yes. Veganism, recycling, reducing consumption, monitoring waste output, improving on energy efficiencies, making product substitutions I support the plan. I would like to see greater specificity to the goals to make them more measurable. 2050 Commercial & Industry Carbon Absorption & Biodiversity Transport Waste & Water Residential No. A greater focus on biodiversity and planting trees / carbon sequestration. This could be tied in with habitat maintenance and community initiatives. Meat reduction, farming, distribution and wastage. There is no mention of offering incentives for individuals or businesses to make lifestyle changes, further reducing their carbon emissions. Greater visibility on the resources available for businesses to utilise and work towards being environmentally-friendly. No. Lacks any focus on food or meat reduction. It would benefit from being tied into the demographic of people living in Folkestone. Workshops on the harbour arms would appeal to certain audiences. There are commuters travelling to London. There's also the coastal element. How are our waters treated? How much fishing takes place? I agree. Attitudes and mindsets towards convenience (Economy of convenience as opposed to sustainable economy) Consumption and waste, lifestyle changes. I agree. Education. Keep people informed and raise their awareness. Encourage healthier lifestyles and greater harmony with nature. Green Business Scheme Recycling Hubs (at supermarkets). I agree Yes. Reducing meat consumption. There is too much of a stigma or defensiveness about the notion of putting the planet before personal preference. Reducing meat consumption. There is too much of a stigma or defensiveness about the notion of putting the planet before personal preference. Reducing meat consumption. There is too much of a stigma or defensiveness about the notion of putting the planet before personal preference. Yes Yes No. Seen a lot of businesses or individuals taking action on their own time or money. Grants towards office facilities have helped but generally, there is a lack of funding. Both Yes No</p>	<p>Thank you for sharing your observations about more extreme weather and the associated impacts on health, lifestyle, and expenses. The District Wide Carbon Plan addresses climate risks and adaptation in section 2.3. We appreciate your support for the plan and suggestion to include more specific, measurable goals. We note your comments about focusing more on biodiversity, tree planting, and carbon sequestration, as well as addressing meat consumption and food-related emissions. While the plan touches on some of these topics in section 5.3, we will consider expanding on them and incorporating more targeted initiatives in future updates. Your ideas about tying initiatives to local demographics and geography are also valuable for making the plan more relevant to Folkestone.</p>
----	--	--

9.	<p>Yes. Individuals do have a role but governments around the world need to take the lead. Also large businesses. Yes. I already do a lot. Would like to make my home more energy efficient but would need advice and probably grant funding in order to do so. Would like to drive even less but would need better public transport to make that possible. I support the plan. I understand the aims of the plan but am a bit sceptical that enough members of the community will step forward to take part in the various actions. Some of the actions seem a bit vague - the word "encourage" is used a lot. 2040 Residential Transport Carbon Absorption & Biodiversity Waste & Water Commercial & Industry Yes. Lobbying Government to do more to enable individuals to do their bit including much better public transport, more help insulating homes and new homes to be net zero Good to see the action about engaging with Southern Water but will they engage with the council? I know this doesn't really come under any of the 5 pillars but encouraging people to buy less stuff. Focus on repair and reuse. Something else that doesn't easily fit under any of the pillars is land use and diet - one of the actions refers to encouraging people to eat locally produced food but what about encouraging people to cut down on meat and dairy? This is one of the best ways individuals can reduce their carbon footprint. Under transport - encourage people to fly less. Again this is one of the best ways that individuals can reduce their personal carbon footprint. How are these emissions accounted for in the BEIS data? Encourage car sharing/car clubs. See q 6 No. Good to see the flood risk acknowledged. What the plan doesn't address at all is social inequality. The district has some very disadvantaged areas and the residents of those areas are likely to disproportionately impacted by climate change. We need to make sure that they are not unfairly burdened by any solutions that are proposed. Lack of public transport on the Marsh and in the rural areas is a real issue though I acknowledge there isn't a lot the district council can do about it. I agree. Lack of funds / resources will be a limiting factor. We probably need to recognise that there is a small minority of people in the district who don't agree that tackling climate change is a priority. Important to talk about the co-benefits (e.g. lower energy bills) Poor public transport / declining bus service - too much traffic. Not enough protection of wildlife / green open spaces Poor quality/energy inefficient housing, particularly private rented in Folkestone. I agree I agree Yes. See above (3.6) Yes Yes No Both Yes No. I'm not aware of any but I would have thought someone else must already have done this work.</p>	<p>Thank you for your thoughtful feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. You raise important points about the need for government leadership alongside individual action, and the challenges of implementing some of the plan's goals. The plan addresses government engagement in section 3.1, but we will consider emphasising this further. We appreciate your suggestions on additional areas to focus on, such as reducing consumption, encouraging plant-based diets, and addressing air travel emissions. While the plan touches on some of these topics in section 5.3, we will look into expanding these sections and providing more concrete actions. Your points about social inequality and ensuring fair solutions are valuable - we will review how to better incorporate equity considerations throughout the plan.</p>
----	---	---

10.	<p>Mental health, a slow increase in anxiety. We get through one year at a time, then forget how bad the previous year was. All the while, almost daily news of extreme climate events, globally, continue to beat the time we no longer have to save our civilisation. Yes. But only when those individuals act collectively in the same direction. Otherwise, individuals can design solutions, but it is hard to act on them without proper channels of support. Yes. Not much that I haven't already done. I don't have a car, I wouldn't fly to a holiday. I try to avoid eating meat. Avoid buying unnecessary things. I support the plan. The plan omits a vitally important aspect: moving to Net-zero is absolutely not the same as ADAPTATION to cope with the future climate. Maybe one step at a time - get this done before moving forward - but we had to be starting the adaption process yesterday. There are no plans in place to cope with prolonged heat events - what do we do when we need multiple cooling centres? Our buildings are not being designed to cope with heat, moreover building regulations are not in place - external shutters on south facing windows for example. There are no plans to introduce infrastructure to locally harvest water for times of drought (instead we rely on reservoirs storing our water, but look what happened last summer when they almost ran dry). Locally harvested water can sustain street trees, which in turn keep cities cool. 2030 Transport Residential Commercial & Industry Waste & Water Carbon Absorption & Biodiversity yes No. Absolutely not. I repeat, reducing carbon emissions is NOT THE SAME as adapting to a warming climate! The council will be putting all its effort into the tiniest of carbon cuts - it makes next to no difference even added up collectively across the UK, the sum is still trivial to the world at large and the catastrophic feedback loops which are already underway. I agree. I only agree because that is what the document says. Really stupid way to frame a question. Heat extremes. Drought. Food shortages. I agree. But only sort of. Use the derelict reservoir site (near the Channel rail complex) for a pumped hydro power station. I'm aware of many. The problem is reach. I agree. But only sort of. Yes. Adapt the people of F&H. Not enough to tinker around the edges to reduce emissions and be a little bit more sustainable. This is just a path to sustaining the status quo of neoliberal, consumerist economics. What needs to happen is a transformation to new ways of living. Oh look hubs are mentioned! Yes Yes Yes. In my own researches I found lots that fund environmental and arts projects. Esme Bairn just one example. There is plenty of money, and nothing has to be expensive, the first question to ask is - what resources are needed? Then worry about how to find the money if necessary. Both Yes. Who better? No. There should be a 'Don't Know' option.</p>	<p>We appreciate your support for the plan while noting the need to distinguish between emissions reduction and climate adaptation. Your suggestions about building design, water harvesting, and cooling infrastructure are valuable. Environmental standards for new development will also be set out in the council's new local plan and design codes, which will be subject to public engagement before they are finalised.</p> <p>While the current plan focuses primarily on emissions reduction as outlined in section 5.3, we will look into incorporating more specific adaptation strategies in future iterations.</p>
-----	--	--

<p>11. Yes. We need to change our behaviour. What we eat, how we use resources and how we put pressure on government to legislate. We need to seek ways in which we can each reduce our carbon footprint and encourage others to do the same thing. Yes. If public transport is improved - reducing car usage We eat less meat we are considering how best to improve house insulation and heating We have started up an electrical waste recycling drop of point in Hythe Hygh Street. We will continue to identify ways in which HECG can start initiatives which help people reduce their carbon footprint. I support the plan. All of the work regarding what the council can do to reduce its own carbon footprint (CF) is great and well documented in definitive language (technospeak). However, this language is alien to the vast majority of people and they will not engage with it. I think that if you want engagement from the public, the call out needs to be in language that will resonate with them. Cost of living, decisions/advice on home heating and energy sources, transport, decisions/advice on EVs The plan regarding the public carbon footprint should be separate from the council one and should be about what the local residents would like to see improved to help with cost of living crisis, quality of life and other issues which could make their lives easier and more fulfilled as well as reducing carbon footprint. Attacking it from this end would encourage the public to engage but still enable the solutions to form the carbon plan: improved transport links enabling reduced car usage and cost reduction, availability of impartial energy advice to help with decisions on heating methods, solar panels, EVsetc, reduced energy costs through backing community enrgy generation ...etc 2040 Residential Transport Carbon Absorption & Biodiversity Waste & Water Commercial & Industry Yes. So much of the council's ability to reduce local CF is constrained by government legislation tha there should be a section in the plan where the council will join forces with other countrywide councils to lobby for changes. It is ridiculous that we are still building houses with poor EPC ratings, no solar panels and gas heating and that the council planning dept does not have the powers to insist on low CF constructions where this is sensible. There may be other similar examples where the council could do more if legislation was changed. Wastage of treated mains water is ludicrous through its use in washing cars, watering gardens and allotments etc and is an area which should be addressed. A 'low hanging fruit map' could be drawn up indicating where there is plentiful ground water less than 7m down and wells could easily and cheaply be put in place. In Hythe, Sandgate and The Marsh there would be the opportunity to grant fund through the water companies, high benefit sites where untreated water could be shared (allotments and neighbourhoods where sharing was feasible and industrial sites such as West Hythe which have 2 microbreweries and will use significant amounts of water) Encouragement could be given to the use of carwashes where water is recycled rather than home mains supply and maybe the option to use well water for their or other commercial facilities. Many of the houses backing onto the RM Canal were built over 100 yrs ago with wells in the garden. We use ours to feed the toilets, water the garden and wash the car. Transport is clearly a vital component and getting to a point where we have a network of small buses connecting outlying villages and connecting to the railway network is critical. Funding could be achieved from the destinations e.g contributions from supermarkets and other commercial bodies and the rail network which needs its passenger numbers increased. No I think it can do and will evolve but it needs to engage with the public to show that the addressing of those challenges makes life better for them now not just saving the planet (which not everyone has bought into and many don't believe that they can influence) I agree. Sea level rises. Drought / inadequate water supply. Poor local transport is engouraging people to own their own cars rather than give them up. I agree. See above comments in various sections. HECG - Electrical Recycling. Hopefully some of the ideas above. I agree Yes. See above Comment for question below - A Sustainability Hub does not really have a fixed definition but encouraging existing community hubs to provide guidance on sustainability would be great: (Use of EV's, best way to insulate my house, grants to</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. You support the plan but suggest separating council and public initiatives for better engagement. We'll consider restructuring for improved public involvement. You recommend using simpler language that addresses cost of living issues. We will review the plan's language to enhance public resonance. Your suggestions on lobbying for legislative changes and addressing water wastage are noted. We'll explore these topics in future updates. The idea of a 'Sustainability Hub' in community spaces is valuable. We'll consider incorporating this into our engagement strategies, and community proposals could form the basis of bids to the council's recently launched Green Grants Programme. Your insights will help refine the plan to better address local needs while pursuing carbon reduction goals.</p>
---	---

help people reduce CF) Ideally, a facility within existing Community drop-ins would work better than a council building. If there are not enough Community Hubs then the council could help find the sites for them and the grant funding. Yes Yes Yes. HECG already do this. Both Yes. But having focussed to date on actions that could be assigned to reduce the council's own CF, publicity to the public in language that they will engage with is critical to their buy in to solutions which directly affect them. No. Not aware of any.

12.	<p>Increased awareness Yes. WE can all make an effort, every little helps. No I support the plan. 2050 Transport Commercial & Industry Residential Waste & Water Carbon Absorption & Biodiversity no I beleive that Social is a possible pillar. Although the council has no direct involvement in schools hospitals churches, it can influence efforts. It could for example make better use of church halls for social occasions try and get people out of homes and into the community saving heating and increasing active health I think it needs to be a document that is kept under review at regular intervals. I agree. Rising sea levels. I agree. There are a number of large roofs which could be used for electricity nearby rather than connecting to the grid. I am looking at using a church roof to supply power to a school, no requirement for batteries as power is used during the day. I agree No Yes Yes Yes. Kent Community Energy, already mentioned Rotary are looking at environmental projects Both Yes No</p>	<p>Thank you for your comments on the District Wide Carbon Plan. We appreciate your support and suggestions, including the need for a social pillar, better community engagement, and the use of local roofs for renewable energy generation. Your insights on improving public transport and addressing rising sea levels are valuable, and we will consider incorporating these ideas into future updates to enhance the plan's effectiveness and relevance to the community. We will continue to work with partner organisations including Kent County Council (the local transport authority), bus and rail operators, Highways England and the Environment Agency to improve public transport and flood mitigation measures.</p>
13.	<p>Yes. By thinking about personal car usage, public transport Recycling Home insulation, solar panels etc. Yes. Electric car. More use of public transport if the local and national systems were better. I support the plan. 2030 Residential Transport Waste & Water Carbon Absorption & Biodiversity Commercial & Industry yes Yes. I agree. Flood risk. Housing - new houses should have to meet state of the art eco carbon neutral criteria. Improved recycling. I agree. Local small electrical recycling points in towns. Pressure government to bring in regulation for new builds to have carbon neutral status. Hythe Environmental Community group - litter picks, small electrical recycling hub in Hythe town centre, community orchard, gleaning fresh waste produce with support of farmers to donate to foodbanks, schools etc Kent Men of Trees - plating trees in local spaces Hythe civic society - local community orchard, tree planting. Encourage government to change the rules to insist on better eco solutions in new builds. I agree No Yes Yes No Both Yes Yes. Don't know.</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. We appreciate your support and suggestions on personal actions like reducing car usage, improving home energy efficiency, and using public transport. The plan addresses sustainable transportation and energy efficiency in section 5.3, but we'll consider emphasising these individual actions further, in consultation with partner organisations including Kent County Council (the local transport authority), bus and rail operators and Highways England. Your comments on flood risk, eco-friendly housing standards, and improved recycling are valuable. We'll look into incorporating more specific measures on these topics in future updates to the plan. Environmental standards for new development will also be set out in the council's new local plan and design codes, which will be subject to public engagement before they are finalised. We're glad to hear about local community initiatives like litter picks, recycling hubs, and tree planting. We'll continue to support and promote such grassroots efforts as we implement the plan across the district. Such initiatives could form the basis of bids by community groups to the council's recently launched Green Grants Programme.</p>

14.	<p>Individuals on a single basis cannot, but as a community can, but the community must join with others around the world to drive this. Undecided I support some of the actions but not others. The action plan is wishy washy. Any plan needs to outline the benefits and costs, yet there is nothing. e.g. Collaborating with private investors to fund local infrastructure projects. What does this really mean? Much better to state that any future planning permission will only be granted if solar panels are put on the roof etc 2050 Carbon Absorption & Biodiversity Residential Commercial & Industry Transport Waste & Water no As section 3, Q2. Also look for alternatives to electric vehicles. These are not green No because other plans, eg house building conflict with the carbon plan. soil soaks up rain fall, tarmac, concrete, bricks divert water to other areas transferring flood risk. With the likelihood of more droughts there will be more demand on scarce water resources with greater numbers of people living locally. Road infrastructure will suffer with greater numbers of people/travel I agree with some but not others. Very little. Increase in house building will in time have a greater impact. I do not agree. Vague and will have limited impact. Reduce building houses. Ensure house built have solar panels on roofs and batteries and ground source heat pumps, not air source which are a con. Ensure any new developments plant trees along streets and have a water recycling facility. Fix potholes to make it safer to cycle around the district (I know it's KCCs job to do this). no I do not agree Yes. See above comments. Yes Yes No Both No. Not without more information as to who they are, what they do, their plans and costs. I have never heard of them before today Another talking shop won't work, and businesses, groups and organisations as well as individuals need to be involved. Working collaboratively will help, being dictated to won't. Yes. Most seem to have a vested interest in either demanding change or preventing change.</p>	<p>Thank you for your detailed feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. We appreciate your perspective on community action and concerns about the plan's specificity. Your suggestions for more concrete actions, like requiring solar panels on new buildings and improving cycling infrastructure, are valuable. We'll consider adding more detailed cost-benefit analyses and specific requirements for new developments in future updates. The council will seek to provide more guidance for homeowners on the installation of solar panels so that the rules are clearer. The council also works in partnership with Kent County Council and the other Kent local authorities to promote solar panels to homeowners, small businesses and local groups through the Solar Together Kent initiative. Your points about potential conflicts with other plans, such as housing development, are noted, however, the council is required to meet housing targets set by government to address the national housing crisis, within the recognised constraints of the district. We'll review how to better align our various initiatives to avoid unintended consequences. We understand your reservations about the Carbon Innovation Lab and will work to provide more information about its role and composition. Your input will help us refine the plan to be more actionable and impactful.</p>
-----	---	--

15. Increasing frequency of extreme weather events has affected the Woodland Trust estate with tree fall, flooding and other disruption. Our citizen science project, Nature's Calendar, has tracked the impact of climate change on seasonal changes and the consequent disruption to ecosystems and food chains. Yes. Individuals as well as organisations have the power and the responsibility to change behaviour in a way that reduces climate emissions, improves resilience and supports others in doing the same. How we choose to heat our homes, travel, eat, tend our land, spend our money and cast our votes, has an impact. Yes. On a personal basis have made changes to energy provider, stopped eating meat, adopted nature-friendly gardening and stopped owning a car. I support the plan. 2030 Transport Residential Commercial & Industry Waste & Water Carbon Absorption & Biodiversity Yes. It is good to see that carbon absorption and biodiversity are included in the Five Priority Pillars of Focus. The climate crisis is paralleled by a nature crisis and we need solutions that will tackle both. While not responsible for emissions, nature-based solutions such as woodland creation have great potential to capture and store CO2, improve resilience and deliver a large range of co-benefits for people, planet and nature. It would be good to see a tree canopy cover target set for the District as part of the plan. A rapid increase in the rate of woodland creation has been proposed by the UK's Committee on Climate Change (CCC), to provide a key mechanism to lock up carbon in trees and soils, provide an alternative to fossil fuel energy and resource-hungry building material, and to stem the declines in biodiversity. The Woodland Trust supports the CCC's recommended increase in UK woodland cover from its current 13% of land area to at least 19% by 2050 to tackle the biodiversity and climate crises. More information can be found in the Trust's 2020 publication The Emergency Tree Plan . As well as improving the resilience of building design, it would be good to make explicit reference to incorporating green infrastructure and nature-based solutions into buildings. Yes. I agree. Severe weather impacts causing damage and disruption, including increased flood risk. Impacts of changing climate on nature through habitat erosion, dehydration and loss of food sources, seasonal disruption and increase in pests and diseases. I agree. Strongly support the linking of the climate and nature crises and seeking combined solutions to carbon absorption and biodiversity, including tree planting. There are great opportunities for woodland creation and habitat restoration on public and private land, to be supported through the planning process, Local Nature Recovery Strategy, on the council's own land and by signposting other landowners to the funding and advice available, for initiatives such as agro-forestry, community orchards, tree nurseries and other positive land uses. As above, woodland creation and restoration, to increase the District's tree canopy cover, is a major opportunity for which funding and advice is available. The Woodland Trust works with landowners across Kent on ancient woodland restoration and new woodland creation.

<https://www.woodlandtrust.org.uk/plant-trees/trees-for-landowners-and-farmers/>

We also offer free tree packs for schools and community groups.

<https://www.woodlandtrust.org.uk/plant-trees/schools-and-communities/>

and run the Green Tree Schools award scheme

<https://www.woodlandtrust.org.uk/support-us/act/your-school/green-tree-schools-award/>

Setting a tree canopy cover target for the District, and supporting this with a 'call for sites' for green infrastructure and tree planting. I agree. Strongly support the inclusion of tree planting in the action plan. Specifying native species from UK sourced & grown tree stock will also address threats of pests &

Thank you for your comprehensive feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. We appreciate your support and valuable insights on integrating nature-based solutions. Your suggestions on setting tree canopy cover targets, incorporating green infrastructure, and emphasising native species are noted. The plan addresses biodiversity and carbon absorption in section 5.3, but we'll consider expanding these aspects and including more specific targets for tree planting and green infrastructure. Tree planting projects could also form the basis of community bids to the council's recently launched Green Grants Programme. We're grateful for the information on Woodland Trust resources and will look into incorporating these opportunities into our community engagement and implementation strategies.

disease and help boost resilience and biosecurity as well as supporting the domestic "green economy" and reducing the carbon footprint of the supply chain. Yes. Integrating nature-based 'green' solutions into traditionally 'grey' engineered areas. For example, as well as encouraging active travel and better air quality by reallocating road space, the reclaimed space can be used for tree planting and SuDS, enhancing the environment and improving climate resilience. Adopting a more wooded urban landscape, by planting more street trees and copses, urban orchards, incorporating green walls and roofs in buildings, and replacing fences and boundary walls with hedgerows, addresses multiple issues of environmental deprivation and health inequality as well as improving habitat connectivity and tackling the urban heat island effect. Yes Yes Yes. The Woodland Trust offers a range of funding for woodland creation and tree planting. <https://www.woodlandtrust.org.uk/plant-trees/trees-for-landowners-and-farmers/> We also offer free tree packs for schools and community groups

<https://www.woodlandtrust.org.uk/plant-trees/schools-and-communities/> and run the Green Tree Schools award scheme

<https://www.woodlandtrust.org.uk/support-us/act/your-school/green-tree-schools-award/>

We also have a range of free citizen science projects, including Nature's Calendar, Observatree (tree health) and the Ancient Tree Inventory. Both Yes. Setting interim targets is important for monitoring progress and driving activity. Ultimately, it is the activity that matters more than the target! Yes

<p>16. No comment Yes. Everyone needs to play a part. The key to all climate change is consideration. Do you need to take the car for that journey?. Education and attitude shift, to create an ethos for this council area. If you use plastic can I recycle it, ie can I use it again is key. The volume we all use is an issue. Refill stations, how much packaging is required. What renewable energy and resources can we as individuals use. All this come from continual education and highlighting the issues and resources to use along with encouragement and benefits. Yes. I have given up my car. However, I am considering getting another one as public transport is unreliable, particularly bus services. I support the plan. It has some way to go. But the best part is having community contribution and area wide engagement. 2040 Transport Residential Commercial & Industry Waste & Water Carbon Absorption & Biodiversity Yes. Ideas discussed at the meeting at the Council offices. CIC for remote and unreliable bus routes. Look at other (European) public transport profiles. Trains need to be more reliable longer trains at peak time. Possible leveling rail fare prices. Consider, park and ride scheme(s) for urban areas, they need run for a long period each day and be efficient. This will stop delays (Tram Road) and pollution for cars sitting in a queue. Promoting the Folkestone and Hythe and a carbon neutral zone, showing progressive schemes around the town, bike hire local public transport efficient (cost and size) out of town. To encourage cycling and walking or other carbon neutral forms of transport. Schemes to come to allow residence to insulate their homes, cost efficiently, Central government grant administered by local government. Business / commercial sector to get tax benefits for levelling up. EV charging can be solar powered and high speed chargers are required. Rural areas to have services come to them to reduce transport load Poster campaign asking people to consider if they really need the car for that journey ie popping to the shops. This changes for the rural areas of Folkestone and district. But still look at reliable public transport. Housing needs insulation, on the council's report it favours external insulation. How does this fit with housing stock, particularly in the west part of town. Heat pumps are passive heat. Will all residences be happy having three heat pumps for flats in their front garden. The best solution is on communal heat source/ communal solar power. There may well be, but I cannot think of any at this moment. The area has a broad and varied geography, both rural and urban. Both need to be look at from the challenges they both have and create a synergy to allow the two areas to blend. Essentially, carbon neutrality covers every aspect of our domestics and working lives. This has to be a mindset change. To do this encouragement and guidance needs to come from the council and their approved groups. I agree. The issue for a lot of residents is the financial impact, the more that can be addressed with local initiatives along with recycling benefits, the more this will assist the plan. 1) Housing stock. 2) Transport. I agree. Encourage more input from individuals and local companies. Regular consultations to show how far along the route we have achieved. In general, I do not, but it would be worth looking at other European countries that have well insulated housing stock, efficient public transport, and biodiversity. Only hearing them through the promotion of this scheme and with the promotion via Liu Batchelor. No, but I would like to be. I agree. https://kentconnected.org/journey-planner/#/select-mode?date=2023-12-01&destination=maidstone++&now=true&origin=folkestone&time=11%3A58 This link does not include bus routes !! Yes. Improve road and pavement infrastructure to make it an easy option for residence and industry to a degree) to use cycling encourage refuelling stations. routes and footpaths. Have schemes to encourage nervous cyclist to use the road on areas when there is no cycle route. Cycle routes 1 & 2 work well. More recycling areas and cycle parking. Promote the Carbon initiative. Yes Yes No. These could be promoted more. Both Yes. No Comment. Yes. No comment.</p>	<p>Thank you for your detailed feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. We appreciate your support and suggestions, particularly regarding transportation improvements and community engagement. Your ideas about improving public transit reliability, promoting cycling and walking, and implementing park-and-ride schemes align well with the sustainable transportation goals outlined in section 5.3 of the plan. We'll consider emphasising these aspects further and exploring ways to make them more actionable, working with partner organisations including Kent County Council (the local transport authority), bus and rail operators and Highways England.</p> <p>Your comments on housing insulation, renewable energy, and the need for tailored approaches in urban and rural areas are valuable. We'll review how to better address these topics in the plan, potentially expanding section 5.3 to include more specific initiatives for energy efficiency and renewable energy adoption.</p> <p>We note your emphasis on education and mindset change. We'll look into incorporating more robust community education and engagement strategies throughout the plan to foster the cultural shift needed for widespread adoption of sustainable practices. The council's Sustainable Futures Forum has proved a successful way to involve the community in climate change projects, and we will explore opportunities to expand this further.</p>
--	--

17.	<p>Climate anxiety Changing in shopping habits, and increase in active travel/reduced car useage Consideration and impact on home ownership (from the point of view of rising water levels, as well as the impact of increasing rain level effecting house maintainance and drainage) Changing the focus of my work to attempt to address and support required change Hesitance to drive when there is risk of heavy rain. Avoidance of holidays to avoid flights, as well as concerns about going to areas impacted by extreme heat and fires Switching to green finance, reducing car usage/increase active travel, reduced meat intake, reduction in consumption are all important changes individuals need to make However I do not think all emphasis should be put on the individual - and think there needs to be increased emphasis on legislative and policy change at a government level; both to make it easier for individuals to make more environmentally conscious choices, but also to ensure businesses and government are also playing there part. Unfortunataly, I don't believe the general public at large will make the individual changes needed until and without governments and businesses are seen to be doing so first. Yes. I have already made a number of changes inc reduced meat intake, reduction of car usage, reduced consumption, cut back on holidays / travel, shopping at refill shops / plastic free / local producers, switched to renewable energy provider, planning to switch my bank and pension, supporting local commuity groups, and speaking up on social media etc. I'd consider other changes as I became aware of viable options, but to make more significant changes (without major inconvenience) would require greater support and legislation to do so. I support the proposed plan, but think to effectively deliver it there is the need for increased funding, resources and support. 2030 Carbon Absorption & Biodiversity Waste & Water Commercial & Industry Transport Residential Yes. Biodiversity, circular economy, active travel Reducing consumption (both meat and consumer goods in general) I think it addressed the challenges, and I am looking forward to further detail as the plan develops for how we address it. I agree. I think that overcoming public resistance and misinformations is a big challenge that slows down the ability to implement the required changes. I agree. A huge number of local groups, organisations and individuals already doing great things to address climate change - but many are working in silos, and / or are not aware of the wider efforts. We need to find a way to both bring the commuity together, and also to find effective ways for long term collaboration and impact. a:dress - subversive fashion community group The Collective - eco conscious/championing interiors company Restock - refill shop Sandgate Environmental group Folkestone repairs together - repair cafe I agree. Agree it needs to be a community wide collaborative effort. However this needs to be carefully coordinated to ensure the community feels it has ownership of its individual and collective efforts. The public seems to wrongly have a negative assumption when things come from the council - and therefore if this could be made clear there is community 'ownership' of the initiative, I think this would help. Yes. Green finance/pension education; one of the most 'pain free' way individuals and businesses can make a big impact https://www.wearemoney movers.com/take-part Easier and Increased (and free trial) 'Boris bikes'/ Click2Cycle schemes - making it easier for local residents / workers to cycle in their day to day. Improved cycle network and safety Opportunities to bring together local groups and businesses working on initiatives Circular economy 'match-making' between businesses and groups. Increased tree planting and green spaces. Recycling bins in more busy places inc harbour arm. Yes Yes Yes, have seen many out there - but hard to include all without specific requests / areas. Both Yes Yes. I'm sure there are many - however I don't personally know the specifics.</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. Your insights on the need for community involvement, education on sustainable practices, and the importance of government and business action alongside individual efforts are valuable. The council's Sustainable Futures Forum has proved a successful way to involve the community in climate change projects, and we will explore opportunities to expand this further. We appreciate your support for the plan and your suggestions for improving public transport, promoting active travel, and enhancing collaboration among local groups. We will work with partner organisations including Kent County Council (the local transport authority), bus and rail operators and Highways England, to improve public transport and cycling and walking infrastructure. Your emphasis on addressing housing insulation and utilising green finance options aligns with our goals for a sustainable district. We will consider these points as we refine the plan to ensure it effectively addresses climate challenges while fostering community engagement and ownership.</p>
-----	--	---

18.	<p>looking at growing different plants that are heat tolerant spending more money on food shopping. more rain which affects my teaching of bikeability if we all make an effort there will be a big response by the whole community. Yes. Being better at recycling of my waste growing my own vegetables. I support the plan. Not sure as would need to do more research into the plan. 2040 Yes. Not sure I would need more information that is readable friendly. I am not sure if this is what this question is asking but I think there needs to be a section that focuses on the community and how to help change attitudes otherwise it makes it hard to achieve the other initiatives in the 5 pillars Yes. There are lots of groups working hard to reduce carbon emissions. They just need local people like me to come on board. I agree. You have to have a plan to start the process off but that plan focus needs to be flexible. Climate change, transport. I agree. They need to get the message out to the general public in a user friendly way giving examples and encouragement which is why I have enjoyed Liu Batchelor presentation clips. Promote active travel. I agree. If you want the general public to be involved you need to get the message out in small bite size bits so it doesn't appear overwhelming. Yes, promoting active travel, funding dropping bike MOTs to encourage people to use their bikes more. Yes Yes Yes. I'm aware of some of the groups but not in detail. Both Yes. Not sure I really understand this question so really need more information. Yes. I don't have enough information to answer this question.</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. We appreciate your support and observations about climate impacts like changing weather patterns and rising food costs. Your personal efforts to adapt, such as growing heat-tolerant plants and vegetables, align well with the plan's goals for climate resilience.</p> <p>We note your emphasis on community involvement and the need for accessible, user-friendly communication to engage more residents. The council's Sustainable Futures Forum has proved a successful way to involve the community in climate change projects, and we will explore opportunities to expand this further. The plan addresses community engagement in section 5.3, but we'll consider expanding this to include more specific strategies for changing attitudes and promoting active participation. Your suggestion to promote active travel through initiatives like bike MOTs is valuable and fits with our sustainable transportation goals. We will work with partner organisations including Kent County Council (the local transport authority), bus and rail operators and Highways England, to improve public transport and cycling and walking infrastructure.</p> <p>We understand your desire for more information on certain aspects of the plan. As we refine and implement the plan, we'll focus on providing clear, bite-sized information to make the initiatives more approachable for the general public. Your feedback will help us improve how we communicate and engage with the community to achieve our carbon reduction goals together.</p>
-----	--	---

19. Reduced wellbeing - inability to grow some crops. Reduced mental health - because of the likely scenarios that will affect our own and future generations to come, without any effective government action to address this. Yes. Individuals have a huge role to play in combatting climate change through their choices around travel, reduction in waste, supporting the rewilding of areas, biodiversity, speaking up to leaders, choosing in relation to food, heating, lighting, cleaning products, where produce is procured from and lifestyle. That said the role of industry (especially fossil fuels) and government (national and international) are the decision makers that need to lead by example and make concrete decisions to address the climate emergency. Yes. Reduced travel. Purchasing only locally. Reducing waste, including single use plastic. Reduce electricity use (I have purchased a subsidised solar pump). Increased solar panels (we have 4). Amending diet (have reduced meat but would consider going further on this). I support the plan. Although not specifically mentioned I would like to see districts speaking up where national decisions (e.g. the September announcement from govt around increased oil fields and delayed need for electric cars) go against achieving climate targets. There is a lot of reference to the word 'net' i.e. net zero, however experts are becoming increasingly pessimistic about the extent to which we will be able to reduce carbon (carbon absorption). The term 'zero' rather than 'net zero' should be highlighted more. 2030 Carbon Absorption & Biodiversity Yes. Questions 4 above should read 2035 for the whole of the district to become net zero with interim targets leading us to complete this process. This gives just over 10 years to achieve this. I suggest there is a sixth pillar around communication and advocacy - this means engaging with public, industry and national government so that all are encouraged to work at pace on climate change. Is farming included in the five pillars (this is a key area that can affect biodiversity but also reduce chemical and other waste use) Could there be an active campaign to encourage all to insulate homes who have not already? Does the council have an on line information hub (a place that people could go to look at everything about climate change e.g. advice about green holidays, how to make homes more efficient, etc) I think FHDC should lead by example and publicise and promote appropriate lifestyle changes that people can choose if they care about the planet and future generations. Schools, colleges, health facilities/services and industry should be able to work with the council at high level to agree collaborative action to reduce carbon emissions, reduce waste and promote biodiversity. Suggest waste (especially single use plastic) is a key target area for the council to promote. No. Additional challenge may be lack of active engagement across all sections of community (this could be addressed by a campaign by district and community groups?) I agree with what has been said but additional information is suggested to highlight the life threatening situations that will in future come about for future generations, in terms of heat and extreme weather patterns. Continued use of fossil fuels for transport and heating. I agree. Suggest under all areas that the district is more forceful in signposting to low carbon options. Suggest specific reference to farming if not already included. Wonder if the F and H sustainable futures forum could meet more often with key speakers and an open forum for the public to come and listen and learn? Is it already used as a group to comment on new ideas from the district? No I agree. I feel the plan has many strengths and clearly lays out the intentions of the district. Overall given the climate emergency we are in I would like these to be put forward more forcefully so that net zero is achieved by the council by 2030 and the district as a whole by 2035. No Yes Yes No Climate mitigation Yes No

Thank you for your detailed feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. Your personal experiences with climate impacts and international work highlight the urgency of this issue. We appreciate your support for the plan and suggestions for improvement, including: More emphasis on zero emissions rather than just "net zero", Setting a 2035 target for district-wide net zero with interim goals, and adding a sixth pillar focused on communication and advocacy.

We'll consider these points as we refine the plan, particularly looking at how we can strengthen our targets, improve communication, and expand our focus areas. The council's Sustainable Futures Forum has proved a successful way to involve the community in climate change projects, and we will explore opportunities to expand this further. Your emphasis on the need for urgent action aligns with our goals, and we'll explore ways to convey this urgency more effectively in the final plan.

20.	<p>the state of the future impacts my mental health - what sort of planet will my kid have in 50 years Yes. We can move our money out of fossil fuel pensions, we can fly and drive less, we can vote with our money, not buying from places that aren't sustainable. BUT we can't be expected to do all the research - we can't be expected to wade through the green washing to find the truth.</p> <p>We need clear information we can be confident in, that is independently verified We need guidance and incentives (not all of us, but the vast majority of the population are tired, broke, disenfranchised, unable to cope and need help to do the right thing). BUT also realistically - based on all the evidence, this is about tax reform, industry, the super rich and elements that individuals can't change or effect.</p> <p>https://policy-practice.oxfam.org/resources/payment-overdue-fair-ways-to-make-polluters-across-the-uk-pay-for-climate-justi-621539/ Yes. I think I already do what I can - recycle, reuse, repair, reduce, walk and cycle, vegetarian / vegan leaning diet. I don't make food waste, I save water, I don't send things to landfill where possible, I use the refill store I feel like I'm at capacity for what I can think about - I live in cold rented accomodation - my landlord isn't held to account for not insulating properly or maintaining the property properly - e.g. draftproofing. A net zero toolkit is all good - is there education resources that can support those that don't have the capacity to utilise a toolkit. I don't want to sound negative, but people are at their limits as to what they can take on, they need action, not a toolkit - I don't know exactly what that looks like, but it needs to come to them, not expect them to come to you.</p> <p>I support the plan. I know this is impossibly difficult, but net zero IS impossibly difficult - it's something we have to figure out how to make happen, otherwise it won't happen. A toolkit is too passive.</p> <p>The funds are very welcome - but they need to be easy to access and not require footing the bill to claim after (I apologise if this is the case, I haven't had time to look into them) 2030 Transport Residential Commercial & Industry Waste & Water Carbon Absorption & Biodiversity Yes. Sewage / pollution into the sea as a result of climate change, more water and our systems not being able to cope.</p> <p>Cycle storage infrastructure is needed around town - covered storage - especially for cargo bikes. The cycle scheme is too expensive to use regularly - it seems to be aimed at leisure rather than utility, we need to encourage more people to use it as utility option I haven't lived here long enough to really know - but I think so. I agree. Weather changes that affect coastal places - but they are fuelled by carbon rising, so that's not a particularly helpful answer :D I agree. In all I think it's a good plan, there's some great actions, I'm excited to see them put into place. I agree Yes Yes Yes No Both Yes. Independent reviewers are important. Yes</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. Your concerns about the future impacts of climate change on mental health and the need for clear, independently verified information are important. We appreciate your commitment to sustainable practices, such as reducing waste and supporting local initiatives, while also recognising the challenges individuals face in making significant changes without adequate support and incentives. Your suggestion for a more proactive approach rather than just providing toolkits aligns with our goal of fostering community engagement. The council's Sustainable Futures Forum has proved a successful way to involve the community in climate change projects, and we will explore opportunities to expand this further. We will consider your ideas about improving cycle storage infrastructure and ensuring that funding is easily accessible. The council is working on the provision of cycle storage infrastructure through the Active Travel Fund. Additionally, your emphasis on the need for effective communication and collaboration among local groups is noted, and we will work to enhance these aspects in the plan's implementation. Your overall support for the plan is encouraging, and we will strive to address these concerns as we move forward.</p>
21.	<p>Yes Yes. Using car less if there was better public transport. I support the plan. 2030 Waste & Water Carbon Absorption & Biodiversity Transport Residential Commercial & Industry Yes. Sewage in the sea Yes. I agree. - I agree I agree Yes Yes Yes Yes Climate mitigation Yes Yes</p>	<p>Your concern about sewage in the sea is noted and aligns with our focus on environmental protection. This was also strongly reflected in feedback on our draft Corporate Plan, and we have committed in the Corporate Plan to use our influence with water companies to reduce river and coastal pollution. We're glad you agree that the plan addresses the challenges and risks facing our district. Your support for climate mitigation efforts and willingness to</p>

		<p>participate in the Carbon Innovation Lab are encouraging. We'll take your feedback into account as we refine and implement the plan.</p>
22.	<p>Looking at growing different plants that are heat tolerant spending more money on food shopping. more rain which affects my teaching of bikeability if we all make an effort there will be a big response by the whole community. Yes. Being better at recycling of my waste growing my own vegetables. I support the plan. Not sure as would need to do more research into the plan. 2040 Yes. Not sure I would need more information that is readable friendly. I am not sure if this is what this question is asking but I think there needs to be a section that focuses on the community and how to help change attitudes otherwise it makes it hard to achieve the other initiatives in the 5 pillars Yes. There are lots of groups working hard to reduce carbon emissions. They just need local people like me to come on board. I agree. You have to have a plan to start the process off but that plan focus needs to be flexible. Climate change, transport. I agree. They need to get the message out to the general public in a user friendly way giving examples and encouragement which is why I have enjoyed Liu Batchelor presentation clips. Promote active travel. I agree. If you want the general public to be involved you need to get the message out in small bite size bits so it doesn't appear overwhelming. Yes, promoting active travel, funding dropping bike MOTs to encourage people to use their bikes more. Yes Yes Yes. I'm aware of some of the groups but not in detail. Both Yes. Not sure I really understand this question so really need more information. Yes. I don't have enough information to answer this question.</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. Your concerns about water availability, flooding, and extreme weather align with the climate risks identified in the plan. We appreciate your proactive approach to making personal changes and your support for community-based energy initiatives, particularly solar farms. Your pragmatic view on implementation - that imperfect action is better than inaction is noted.</p> <p>Your point about potential corporate pushback, especially regarding water quality improvements, highlights an important challenge. The issue of water pollution was also strongly reflected in feedback on our draft Corporate Plan, and we have committed in the Corporate Plan to use our influence with water companies to reduce river and coastal pollution. We'll consider how to address this in our implementation strategies. Your suggestion for more community energy projects, especially solar, aligns well with our goals for sustainable energy. We'll explore ways to expand these initiatives.</p> <p>We appreciate your recognition that while we can't solve everything ourselves, we can be a positive example. Your support for the Carbon Innovation Lab's role in monitoring progress is valuable. We'll take your feedback into account as we refine and implement the plan, focusing on practical, community-driven solutions while also advocating for necessary support from higher levels of government.</p>

23.	<p>N/A Yes Yes. Walking, taking public transport instead of driving. I support the plan. 2040 Transport Commercial & Industry Carbon Absorption & Biodiversity Residential</p> <p>Transport Yes. Re above (Q5), respondent put all down as important and interrelated. - I agree. Flooding, extreme weather conditions. Food security. Water. I agree I agree Yes Yes Yes Yes Both Yes Yes</p>	<p>We appreciate your concerns about water availability and extreme weather, as well as your commitment to sustainable practices. We'll consider your suggestions for improving infrastructure and ensuring accountability in our efforts to achieve carbon neutrality.</p>
24.	<p>Yes. Recycling at home, making purchases that use less plastic where possible, using recycled shopping bags, look at potential to move to an all electric vehicle. Yes. Walking as compared to driving or using public transport when possible. I support the plan. No. 2040 4 2 3 1 (not at all important) 5 (very important) Yes Yes. I agree. - I agree No. I agree No Yes No No Both Yes No. Not sure.</p>	<p>We appreciate your support for the plan and your personal efforts to reduce environmental impact through recycling, reducing plastic use, and choosing sustainable transportation options. We'll take your feedback into account as we refine and implement the plan, focusing on practical actions that residents can take.</p>

25. Yes. In part people are able to contribute to this but more so from an environmental approach, through looking after own environment such as recycling, not littering, growing own veg - where possible etc, actively not monitory contributing to community gardens. Undecided I don't support the plan. It isn't the place of a Local Authority to enforce a global agenda on local residents, this is an area for the current Central Gov to identify and implement through national policy. You talk of global and national policy drivers, which in some may influence local policy, as part of the due diligence for district policy consideration to district residents needs careful consideration. Road and transport - In comparison to other districts Folk and Hythe has much less local traffic. Where this is increases is at peak periods in the year from visitors and tourists. Maybe consider a visitor tax. This has also increased through the DFL movement with larger households and more vehicles. Maybe consider a tax for relocators and second home owners. Bus services have been reduced due to funding constraints. This needs addressing - increase and improve public transport to support use. Consider a tram system for the town and some surrounding areas such as Cheriton. Its great to encourage the use of bicycles, however there are limited designated sole cycle paths. The shared paths with pedestrians has become hasardous - this needs re-work. Where and how have you found data for road transport 34/3% and resident emissions 39.3%. Is this national data, or local to Folkestone? Do you have an EqIA? How are you considering peoples requirements. Waste and Water - There is a national issue with water, in that the water companies continue to have leaks from reservoirs and run sewage into our sea, making our beaches dirty and unsafe. With increased costs to residents the current measures are enough - water meters, hose pipe bans and where possible water butts are used, enough is out upon residents. Again there needs to be a tax applied to the water companies. You need to explain in more depth what the five pillars actually mean for residents in F&H Council plan. Commercial and Industrial - what do you mean? Carbon Absorption and Biodiversity - what do you mean? 2050 2 1 (not at all important) 5 (very important) 3 4 No. Consideration for new build developments - there needs to be greater consideration for green space and gardens for all properties. Increase planting, not just useless grass patches, there needs to be proper planting of trees and shrubs. Ensure there are gardens for flats. Minimise brick walls and roads. Understand the efficiency of new builds already. I live in one and its very good in terms of energy efficient. No No. I do not agree. Increased re-locators with larger households. Air B & B increase in volume brining in more and more people to the area. Seasonal visitors and tourists increasing emissions, water use and litter. Youths riding off road motor bikes through residential areas and woodland areas. All of this is far greater that 1 district making some sort of impact. Research will show you that there needs to be a large scale global movement to address these challenges with countries such as China and India reducing their footprint. F&H won't make a dent in the problem. In your due diligence what other approaches by Local Authorities have you considered outside of Kent? How well did it work in Brighton. I do not agree. Look at other Local Authorities impacts - can these be adapted and applied. Are you bringing residents with you or enforcing on residents? There will be cost implications - how will these be mitigated? Yes. Again - this is national policy set by central gov in response to the global issue. Until those large countries such as China and India take responsibility there will be too much of a journey to take. Electric cars are now being re-thought - look into central gov response and the motor industry response to this - the unsustainable charging of electric vehicles. Your behind the curve if you think this is the way forward. Look at the hydygon model - that will most likely be the replacement. I do not agree Yes. Again this is a national and in part global issue. There is too much waste on packaging from supermarkets. They need to be encouraged to reduce this as much as possible. This is most likely one of the most damaging to our environment through non recyclable disposal and littering. The refuse tip - introduce a upcycle scheme for products to be fixed and resold at

Thank you for your detailed feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. We appreciate your thoughtful comments and concerns. Key points we've noted: You feel this should be addressed more at a national/global level rather than locally, Suggestions for visitor taxes and improving public transport, Concerns about cycle path safety and data sources, Call for more green space and gardens in new developments, scepticism about electric vehicles; interest in hydrogen alternatives, and Ideas for waste reduction and repurposing unused buildings.

We'll consider these points as we refine the plan, particularly looking at how to balance local needs with broader climate goals. Standards for new development will also be set out in the council's new local plan and design codes, which will be subject to public engagement before they are finalised. We'll also review our data sources and explore alternative technologies like hydrogen. Thank you for the helpful links and suggestions for learning from other initiatives

an affordable price. Disused buildings such as FOLCA the old Debenhams and the F&H Council offices - convert these into accommodation, priced affordably for local people. There is a homelessness issues in the district - this needs greater thought with solution. Develop Apprenticeship delivery in related subjects such as land based etc. Yes Yes Yes. Again look into the way in which electric vehicles are not going to be in our future. You risk wasting public funds on something not needed. Focus your funds where you can make a difference. Create and maintain community gardens, look towards grow your own scheme for veg etc, invest in upskilling through land based skills etc, is there opportunity to draw down funding for a university programme in the district to deliver these subjects. Look at how you can combine the carbon plan with addressing other core issues in the district - homelessness, housing, pollution, jobs market all could be underpinned by this, while supporting the delivery of a carbon plan that does not burden residents. Always put your residents at the forefront, rather than policy being at the forefront for votes. Climate adaptation Yes Yes. There will be many out there for you to learn from. look at the hydrogen model - https://afdc.energy.gov/vehicles/fuel_cell.html <https://www.toyota.co.uk/hydrogen> - There will be many out there for you to learn from. look at the hydrogen model - https://afdc.energy.gov/vehicles/fuel_cell.html <https://www.toyota.co.uk/hydrogen> - There will be many out there for you to learn from. look at the hydrogen model - https://afdc.energy.gov/vehicles/fuel_cell.html <https://www.toyota.co.uk/hydrogen> -

26.	<p>Financial, physical and mental health (lost sense of belonging, hopelessness/fatalism) Yes. It's everyone's responsibility. Yes. Selective purchasing. Switch to renewable energy. Fewer journeys. I support the plan. Not sure what Mother Teresa has to do with it. Figure 1 can't be read easily (too small) - if it's important, make it legible; if it isn't, omit. I'm pleased there is no mention of 'carbon capture'. EV not a sustainable solution. Disappointing to not to see mention of H2. Similarly, heat pumps are unsuitable for the majority of residential applications. Better to back more promising technologies? I'd like to see more pressure on retailers (especially supermarkets and multinationals) wrt packaging. Circular economy sounds great but more leadership needed to take the game to these big players. Cut it off at source, leave it in the ground etc. 2040 4 5 (very important) 3 2 1 (not at all important) Yes. Education - across the board. What do you mean by 'high-level'? This is a misleading term as it means different things to different people. At the highest level, we see our so-called leaders backtracking on Net zero, because our political system breeds short-termism and is clearly vulnerable to external influences. We also use 'high-level' to mean 'low detail' but combating anything of scale is all about finding the detail that matters. Regarding the NEXT question, the 'district-wide carbon plan' is not specific to our district from what I can see - could be for ANYWHERE!? No. I do not agree. No challenges mentioned in the information pack - refers to section 4.2.1. I do not agree. No opportunities mentioned in pack provided. Local grants and funding. Business hubs for sustainability. Sorry - can't recall precise names but have participated in events advertised through Folkestone & Hythe Business Advisory Board. I do not agree Yes. Make any initiatives as apolitical as possible - it seems that central government is only going to fail us on this. Yes Yes No. I'd like to learn more about these, if there are any. Climate mitigation Yes Yes. There will be models of good practice somewhere - in the country, the rest of the world or (more likely) in our forgotten past.</p>	<p>Thank you for your detailed feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. You support the plan but have concerns about readability (e.g. Figure 1) and some technology choices.</p> <p>You'd like to see more emphasis on education, pressure on retailers regarding packaging, and circular economy initiatives.</p> <p>We appreciate your insights on personal climate impacts and support for individual responsibility. Your suggestions for improving the plan's specificity to our district and making initiatives more accessible will be considered as we refine the plan. We'll also review our technology recommendations and see if it is possible to engage with large retailers who operate within the district regarding their sustainability practices.</p>
-----	--	--

27.	<p>It affects my work/life balance due to additional pressure on an ever expanding and ageing electrical network, which in turn puts pressure on me and my family. This is due to being contracted to work anytime the company declare a systems emergency and the frequency of these have jumped massively over the past 10 years. Yes. Government could possibly set policy which rewards those that go green and penalises those that ignore it due to their ill-informed belief or laziness. The power of the collective can help to change anything if everyone can be aligned and the appetite is there. Yes. We try to cut out meat a couple of times a week and when do we eat it, its usually chicken or fish. We recycle as much as possible and have decided to stay in the UK when we go away on family holidays for the foreseeable future. I'm currently refurbishing my property and hope to fit additional insulation, an air source heat pump and solar panels in the coming years. I support the plan. 2050 1 (not at all important) 5 (very important) 4 2 3 Yes Yes. I agree. - I agree. Scheme to replace old appliances that use 4 times as much power as newer appliances. Maybe they can be supplied at cost price or tax free. I'm not sure how much difference that would make district wide, but it might be worth doing that maths. Scheme to replace old appliances that use 4 times as much power as newer appliances. Maybe they can be supplied at cost price or tax free. I'm not sure how much difference that would make district wide, but it might be worth doing that maths. I think there are some big energy supply companies that have been announcing cheap air source heat pump installations coming up in the short future. I agree Yes. Making any actions easy for people to follow. From my experience, if you want the public to follow policy, you need to make it as easy as possible to do so. The average person follows the path of least resistance, so as much difficulty should be removed as possible to achieve the best outcome. Maybe a letter drop to the district giving basic advice for small changes to your lifestyle which could help to make a difference regarding climate change. It could list personal benefits and also the consequences of not acting. I think that most people see climate change as an issue that the government will deal with instead of the individual and government combined. Yes No No Climate mitigation Yes -</p>	<p>Thank you for your detailed feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. Your insights as a power linesman experiencing increased storm-related damage are valuable.</p> <p>Thank you for the suggestion for government policies to reward green behaviour and penalise inaction and schemes to replace old, inefficient appliances at reduced costs.</p> <p>Your practical suggestions for engaging the public and making sustainable choices more accessible align well with our goals. The council's Sustainable Futures Forum has proved a successful way to involve the community in climate change projects, and we will explore opportunities to expand this further. We'll consider these ideas, particularly the appliance replacement scheme and the letter drop, as we refine the plan and develop our community engagement strategies.</p>
-----	---	---

28.	<p>At work - financially crops no longer surviving conditions and struggling to yield. Soil health and nutrient retention. Yes. Everyone can collectively create change. Yes. Fuel usage, sustainable shopping, increasing local area green spaces and wild life, reducing use of fossil fuel energy. I support the plan. 2030 4 5 (very important) 3 1 (not at all important) 2 Yes. All are important points. Need to focus on ensuring education of general public on reasons and wider impacts. Invest in public transport services especially with up and coming locations in Folkestone. Revisit park and ride options, shuttle bus from train station to harbour to reduce car emissions. Implement ULEs zones in busy central areas Other forms of transport? Boats/ ships in area? Yes. I do not agree. Disagree with above question because where is the information? Only states see section 4.2.1 in section 4.2.1... I agree. Residential could include education. Push the strategy into schools? No I agree Yes. Increase and improve green spaces. Focus on businesses sustainability practices, encourage ISO 14001 and 26000 to bring area into line. Yes Yes Yes. Invite and work with creative quarter for visual representation. Huge population visit old high street and surrounding area - can engage. Climate mitigation Yes No</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. Your concerns about crop yields and soil health underscore the importance of addressing climate impacts on agriculture, which is relevant to our focus on biodiversity and land use in section 5.3. We appreciate your support for the plan and your suggestions for improving public transport, including revisiting park and ride options and implementing Ultra Low Emissions Zones (ULEZ). While some initiatives that work for large cities will not be suitable for a rural district, we will continue to work with partner organisations including Kent County Council (the local transport authority), bus and rail operators and Highways England, to improve public transport and cycling and walking infrastructure in ways that work for Folkestone & Hythe residents. Your emphasis on public education and engaging businesses in sustainability practices aligns with our goals in sections 5.3 and 6. We'll ensure that the plan clearly communicates its relevance to our district and addresses the challenges you've highlighted. Thank you for your engagement in this vital initiative.</p>
29.	<p>Weather-related anxiety. Yes. This has to be led by Government subsidies so new equipment is the same cost or as cheap as current prices. Yes. Recycling. Electric car if the costs and infrastructure were sorted out. I support the plan. 2040 5 (very important) 3 4 1 (not at all important) 2 Yes. Yes. I agree with some but not others. REDUCTION IN GREEN / BROWN AREAS BY CONTINUAL HOUSE BUILDING. I agree with some but not others NO, NOT WELL PUBLICISED I agree with some but not others Yes. NOT CONVINCED MOVE TO ELECTRIC TRANSPORT HAS BEEN THOUGHT THROUGH. WHAT HAPPENS TO OLD BATTERIES, HOW MUCH ENERGY IS REQUIRED TO CHARGE ALL THESE BATTERIES; DO WE HAVE IT? Yes No No Both Yes No</p>	<p>Your concerns about continual house building reducing green/brown areas are important and relate to our land use and biodiversity goals in section 5.3. We'll consider how to better balance development needs with environmental preservation. However, the council is required to meet development targets set by government in order to tackle the national housing crisis, within the recognised constraints of the district. We acknowledge your scepticism about the transition to electric transport. Section 5.3 addresses sustainable transportation, and we'll ensure it includes a thorough examination of the full lifecycle impacts of electric vehicles. Your feedback on the plan's publicity highlights a need to improve our communication strategies, which we'll address as we refine our community engagement approach in section 5.3. The council's Sustainable Futures Forum has proved a successful way to involve the community in climate change projects, and we will explore opportunities to expand this further.</p>

30.	<p>Yes they can. Young Greta Thunberg & David Attenborough are leading examples but individuals anywhere can help promote climate change and be pioneers in their own way. Changing from fossil fuel to renewable energy is a good example. Not messing up the Oceans but polluting is another. Yes. Have already fully insulated my home to lesson my heat demand and installed an ASHP. Try to embrace supermarkets efforts to lesson the use of plastics. Would consider an electric car but only when they become practicable and cheaper to run than at present. The way electricity is priced will change within 18 months and electricity will be a much more affordable commodity than natural gas, which is only right and promotes renewables. I support the plan. There is a synergy but solar panels & battery packs are not the answer. The average domestic 3/4 bed house would have a heatload of circa 10kW. If ever house had a 10kW battery storage facility that currently costs just shy of £10k and requires lithium batteries to reduce footprint, then if they used a renewable heat source such as an ASHP, then the battery would be dead within the first hour of demand. Insulate all houses (offer incentives) , get government to lower electrical prices and not be based of the gas power stations and install heat pumps to all properties owned by the council. Battery laden taxi's are heavy (including Hybrids) and not commercially viable at present but agree that public transport, if improved would be a great asset to local, regional & national councils in achieving their goals. 2040 4 5 (very important) 3 1 (not at all important) 2 Yes. Education of the people - a lot of people are totally naive with regards to carbon emissions and what actions they could do to help Yes. I agree with some but not others. Obviously lowering emissions and if residential is responsible for circa 40%, then target housing first. Get away from the comfort of fossil fuels and embrace renewables. The sun provides sufficient energy in 8 minutes to power the World for a year but we don't harness it enough. Wind farms are good as we live on an island but encourage research into tidal energy as this energy is guaranteed twice a day, ever day not like wind or solar. I don't believe that many area are in a flood sone 1 and money should be spent elsewhere. I agree with some but not others Yes - The differential between gas & electricity will increase within 18 months, making electric heat pumps a lot more viable and putting an increased burden on people with gas central heating. I agree with some but not others No Yes Yes No, only - the government's BUS (boiler upgrade scheme) & Rural England prosperity fund. Climate mitigation No -</p>	<p>Your emphasis on education aligns with our community engagement goals. We'll explore ways to better inform residents about carbon emissions and actions they can take, as outlined in section 5.3. The council's Sustainable Futures Forum has proved a successful way to involve the community in climate change projects, and we will explore opportunities to expand this further. We note your suggestions about promoting renewable energy sources, particularly tidal energy. This aligns with our sustainable energy goals in section 6. Your point about the changing economics of electricity vs. gas is important and will be considered as we develop our energy transition strategies. We appreciate your knowledge of existing government schemes and will ensure our plan complements these initiatives. Thank you for your engagement in this crucial effort to address climate change in our district.</p>
31.	<p>Yes Yes. Changing modes of transport, using public transport in preference to own car. I support the plan. 2040 4 3 1 (not at all important) 2 5 (very important) Yes. I agree. - I agree I agree No Yes No No Both Yes No.</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. Your support for changing transportation modes and using public transport aligns with our sustainable transportation goals outlined in section 5.3. We will continue to work with partner organisations including Kent County Council (the local transport authority), bus and rail operators and Highways England, to improve public transport and cycling and walking infrastructure. We appreciate your overall support for the plan.</p>

32.	<p>Yes. Transport: personal, public, commercial. More development of Hydrogen, Ammonia and Eco Fuels is needed desperately. The current electric vehicles are only a short term answer and are not as environmentally good as currently portrayed. Yes. I would like to install solar panels for hot water and electricity and would consider a ground source heat pump, but although I have a share of the Freehold of my flat I am not allowed to fit any of the above. The rules/regulations need to be changed to allow this. I would consider buying a new property if it was timber framed. Having spent 17 years living in the Scottish Highlands and having owned 3 timber framed houses they are far better insulated than the brick / block properties built down here. They are far quicker to build and more sustainable. National Building Regulations need to be toughened up considerably. I would consider buying an Eco Fuel car but would not buy a current electric vehicle. The price is way too high and there will never be a market for second hand ones as the cost of replacement batteries is prohibitive and in many cases is more than the car is worth. I support the plan. 2050 5 (very important) 4 2 1 (not at all important) 3 Yes The focus on solar panels appears to be solely on PV panels, I ran a very busy B&B in the Scottish Highland and installed hot water solar panels and these saved me approx 2000 litres of Calor Gas a year. I routinely got a hot water temperature of 85-90 degrees, if that can be achieved in the north of Scotland think what you could get down here. As I mentioned in a previous answer I feel the construction industry desperately needs to change. How many new developments do you see incorporating solar panels or heat pumps. Ultimately it will be cheaper to build these in from new rather than retro fitting which is what I see at present. Yes. I agree. The most pressing challenges are Residential and Road Transport. I agree. See my previous answers. I agree Yes. See previous answers. Yes No No Both Yes No.</p>	<p>Thank you for your detailed feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. Your insights on transportation and alternative fuels like hydrogen and ammonia align with our goals for sustainable transport in section 5.3. We appreciate your suggestions for improving residential energy efficiency, including solar panels for hot water and electricity, and ground source heat pumps. Your experience with timber-framed houses in Scotland provides valuable perspective on sustainable construction methods. We also note your concerns about electric vehicles and support for eco-fuel cars. We will continue to work with partner organisations including Kent County Council (the local transport authority), bus and rail operators and Highways England, to improve public transport and cycling and walking infrastructure.</p>
-----	---	---

33.	<p>Yes. Making do with one or no car per family, using public transport more. reducing personal energy use, increase efforts to recycle. Yes. As 5 above. I support the plan. 5 (very important) 1 (not at all important) 4 3 2 Yes Yes. I agree. Because of our situation adjacent to the coast but also at the foot of the downs: flooding from land and sea. I agree. A great deal of residential building is envisaged for our area in the next few years, in my view permissions should only be granted if a high proportion of open green space including tree planting is included. the public transport system should be upgraded and car use discouraged, local government pressure should be brought to bear on inadequate sewage treatment by water companies (an area where central government has failed abysmally).A great deal of residential building is envisaged for our area in the next few years, in my view permissions should only be granted if a high proportion of open green space including tree planting is included. the public transport system should be upgraded and car use discouraged, local government pressure should be brought to bear on inadequate sewage treatment by water companies (an area where central government has failed abysmally). I agree No Yes Yes No Both Yes No.</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. Your suggestions for reducing car usage, increasing public transport use, and improving energy efficiency align with our sustainable transportation and energy goals outlined in sections 5.3 and 6. We will continue to work with partner organisations including Kent County Council (the local transport authority), bus and rail operators and Highways England, to improve public transport and cycling and walking infrastructure. We appreciate your support for the plan and prioritisation of the five pillars, with Buildings and Energy as most important. Your concerns about flooding risks due to our coastal location are addressed in section 2.3 on Climate Risk and Vulnerability Assessment.</p>
-----	--	--

34.	<p>Total waste of money spent by the Council on electric vehicle charge points which are almost always completely unused! No, This whole climate change hysteria is complete nonsense. Even if all the Net zero actions are fully implemented it will make NO DIFFERENCE AT ALL to the climate. India are currently building over 50 new coal fired power stations, and China over 120! Nothing we do can possibly make any difference!. No I don't support the plan. A complete waste of OUR money! 2050 2 1 (not at all important) 4 5 (very important) 3 No No. I do not agree. None of these so called challenges are of the slightest relevance. We should make maximum use of our natural sources of fossil fuels so that the UK is energy independent. I do not agree. Nothing we do will address climate change in any way whatsoever. Stop wasting our money on this political CON! I do not agree No No No Yes. Stop wasting council money on this nonsense. Climate adaptation No Look at the information published by the scientists of the Global Climate Intelligence Group.</p>	<p>I understand you have strong concerns about the plan's effectiveness and use of resources. While the plan aims to address climate change impacts locally, I acknowledge your view that global emissions from other countries may outweigh local efforts. The council is required by national policy to develop climate action plans, but I appreciate you feel the resources could be better used elsewhere. The council's new Corporate Plan 'Our district, our world' highlights the importance of being a well-run council delivering on our statutory services, while working in partnership with other organisations to deliver wider environmental benefits where we can. Your feedback on prioritising energy independence through fossil fuels rather than renewables is noted. The plan does aim to balance various priorities and perspectives from across the community. If you'd like to learn more about the scientific basis for the plan, section 2.1 outlines some of the key policy drivers and evidence considered. Thank you for taking the time to provide your input on this important local issue.</p>
35.	<p>Not sure Yes. Don't know. I don't support the plan. It is currently implied that as a resident I will be given responsibilities that are unspecified 2050 4 1 (not at all important) 3 2 5 (very important) Yes No. I do not agree. - I agree with some but not others I agree with some but not others No No No No Both Yes No.</p>	<p>You've indicated you don't fully agree with how the plan addresses challenges, risks and opportunities. We'll aim to provide more clarity on these aspects in the final version. Your support for both climate mitigation and adaptation approaches is noted. The plan does try to balance various priorities and perspectives from across the community. Section 5.3 outlines some of the proposed community engagement approaches. The council's Sustainable Futures Forum has proved a successful way to involve the community in climate change projects, and we will explore opportunities to expand this further. We'll work to make these clearer in the final version.</p>
36.	<p>Reduced public transport Yes. Recycling, reducing use of fuel, water etc. Yes. Using Public transport instead of car. I support some of the actions but not others. Cutting services to comply with the plan. 2050 5 (very important) 3 4 2 1 (not at all important) No No. I agree with some but not others. Loss of local public transport services. I agree with some but not others I agree with some but not others Yes. Provide more and regular public transport. Non existent buses that don't turn up represent no saving to any one. No No No Both No No.</p>	<p>Your suggestion to provide more frequent and reliable public transport services is valuable and aligns with our sustainable transportation goals in section 6. We will continue to work with partner organisations including Kent County Council (the local transport authority), bus and rail operators and Highways England, to improve public transport and cycling and walking infrastructure. Your concerns about potential service cuts to comply with the plan</p>

		are noted. As we refine the plan, we'll aim to balance environmental goals with maintaining essential services for residents. The council's new Corporate Plan 'Our district, our world' highlights the importance of being a well-run council delivering on our statutory services, while working in partnership with other organisations to deliver wider environmental benefits where we can.
37.	<p>An overall increase of feeling that we are doing too little too late and a fear that my grandchildren will suffer even more in the future Yes. Change in consumer attitude will force big companies and government to change towards a more sustainable way of operating. Yes. I am already making changes in the way I shop (ie buying less, buying second hand and trying to avoid buying things wrapped in plastic), having bought a hybrid car, flying less and using more public transport, but am always open to new ways of reducing my carbon footprint I support the plan. 2040 4 2 3 1 (not at all important) 5 (very important) Yes. In transportation, a better public transport service, in residential increased recycling facilities, in commercial and waste and water holding water companies more to account, in carbon absorption and biodiversity stopping to build the insane number of houses. Maybe no other areas but a more joined up approach rather than focusing on all areas separately No. I agree with some but not others. Loss of wildlife habitat and green spaces through the construction of more houses, especially non-affordable housing and increased road-building which will encourage more cars on the road, which in the long run will only mean increases in extreme weather patterns. I agree. Better recycling facilities from curbside collections, that is including materials that can be recycled but at the moment aren't covered by the council, i.e. tetra packs. More charging points for electric vehicles. Only aware of litter picking groups, but nothing specific I agree Yes. As mentioned above, stop building unessential housing and only concentrate on affordable housing. Yes Yes Yes. Stricter punishments for polluting industries. Climate mitigation Yes No.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comments. You ranked Carbon Absorption and Biodiversity as most important, followed by Buildings and Energy, Transport, Commercial and Industrial, with Waste and Water as least important. This aligns with your concerns about habitat loss and green spaces.</p> <p>Your suggestions for improvements in public transport, recycling facilities, and holding water companies accountable are noted and align with sections 5.3 and 6 of the plan.</p> <p>Your concerns about excessive housing development, especially non-affordable housing, will be considered as we refine our approach to sustainable development in section 5.3. recognising, however, that the council is required to meet housing targets set by government to address the national housing crisis, within the recognised constraints of the district. Your ideas for better recycling facilities, including materials like tetra packs, and more EV charging points are valuable and align with our waste management and sustainable transportation goals in section 6.</p>

38.	<p>Cost of repairs Yes. Individuals can play a role but most are unwilling to accept any lifestyle changes that impact on their pleasure or convenience. (A stark recent example is the heavy voter opposition to the extension of the London Ultra Low Emission zone.) Yes. That depends on what opportunities presented themselves. In view of my age and physical circumstances my opportunities for taking initiatives are limited. I support some of the actions but not others. I am strongly in favour of the carbon emission reductions that the plan aims to achieve but this exercise strikes me as a bureaucratic nightmare. Could not the same result be achieved (and the resources spent instead on a practical green project) by simply requiring all Council plans and activities to be subjected to a more demanding assessment of green criteria before approval. This does not seem to be happening at the moment, given the example of the proposal to rip up the perfectly functional Folkestone bus station. (I believe this will also cause buses to load and unload on the steep Shellons Street which is also further away from the main town centre and more difficult for the disabled.) 2030 5 (very important) 4 3 2 1 (not at all important) Yes. Please disregard the above rankings - the system will not let me delete them. Arbitrary priorities like this seem to be ridiculous. Every project should be allocated the highest priority possible according to its particular situation and circumstances. I'm not in a position to judge. - I agree with some but not others. Please see my previous comments. I agree with some but not others. Please see my previous comments. I am too old to be in the swim of things any more! I agree with some but not others No. Please see my answer to Section 5. Yes No No. Please see my answer to Section 5. Both I'm not in a position to judge.</p>	<p>You support the carbon emission reduction goals but have concerns about the bureaucratic nature of the plan. Your suggestion to incorporate stricter green criteria into all Council plans and activities is noted and aligns with our sustainability goals outlined in section 2.2.</p> <p>The planning application for the change of use of the existing bus station to an outdoor park, including the construction of new surfaces, seating areas and landscaping (24/1650/FH), was considered by the council's planning committee on 18 March 2025 and planning permission was granted. The proposals were supported by Stagecoach which stated that the relocated facilities would overcome a number of operational challenges which exist with the current configuration of the bus station and will greatly improve the pedestrian experience in the area. However, your feedback will be considered as we develop our sustainable transportation strategies in section 5.3. We will continue to work with partner organisations including Kent County Council (the local transport authority), bus and rail operators and Highways England, to improve public transport and cycling and walking infrastructure.</p>
-----	--	--

39.	<p>On a minor level plants dying in the garden due to heat. Of higher financial impact is turbulence and soaring prices as we transition energy supplies. For example we have solar panels. I would like to invest in home battery storage but the prices of batteries has fluctuated significantly over the last 18 months making it very difficult to plan. Yes, but I am not so optimistic about this one. I have always been interested in green issues and remember the mantra of think globally, act locally. The scale of change to our life's can feel overwhelming. However at a citizen level I would happily play my part. I do think about my own footprint and would happily contribute to local initiatives. Yes. I would definitely consider going car free and experimented with it in June this year riding a bike to Appledore station. It can be more of a challenge than the convenience of the car but would be happy if there was incentivisation of rural public transport / cycling. I support the plan. I agree that transport and residential should be focussed on and as I have already said I would be delighted to be engaged with and make use of both transport and residential schemes (e.g. improved cycling/ walking and EV infrastructure, air source heat pump at home). 2040 5 (very important) 4 1 (not at all important) 3 2 Yes I don't think so Yes. I agree. As identified already in the plan. I agree I think the transport and residential support schemes need to be ramped up significantly but appreciate the lead will need to come from central gov in terms of funding. I am not aware of the projects currently in place and this has prompted me to look how I can be more linked in with this area (we moved here 2 years ago). I understand Folkestone are not participating in KCC Solar together? I agree No Yes Yes No Both Yes No.</p>	<p>You support focusing on transport and residential sectors, aligning with our priorities in sections 5.3 and 6.</p> <p>Your interest in improved cycling/walking infrastructure and EV support matches our sustainable transportation goals outlined in section 5.3. We will continue to work with partner organisations including Kent County Council (the local transport authority), bus and rail operators and Highways England, to improve public transport and cycling and walking infrastructure.</p> <p>You've ranked Buildings and Energy as the most important pillar, followed by Transport. This will help inform our focus areas.</p> <p>Your suggestion to ramp up transport and residential support schemes is noted. We'll consider how to advocate for more central government funding for these initiatives.</p> <p>We note your interest in learning more about local projects. We'll work to improve our communication about existing initiatives, as outlined in our community engagement goals in section 5.3. The council's Sustainable Futures Forum has proved a successful way to involve the community in climate change projects, and we will explore opportunities to expand this further.</p>
-----	--	---

40.	<p>So far influence of climate change and associated events cause disruptions, need to plan and prepare for potential heat waves (and need for cooling, also adding to the climate change issue), prepare for abnormal weather events, with increased risk of damage to homes, which then causes additional expenses but also psychological discomfort and trauma. However, it is important to consider long-term influences too, and so far that is not something we can answer, it is only possible to guess this may have an effect on overall health, physical and mental, and this may change future care needs. Yes. Every person can contribute in a positive way, as long as there is also clear guidance. If the council wants individuals to also be mindful and conscious of their influence, they should be educated what they can do, what effect different actions have, so they could make more informed decisions. So coming up with an educational programme for the resident population and how to advertise it-make it known, would be a very good idea. However, it is also important to stay mindful, that many in less privileged backgrounds will not be able to choose environmentally friendly actions if that is going to incur higher costs. Yes. To answer this question you should give a list to choose from. So far the plan does not really offer any action plan, so far it just states how important it is and how each point mentioned and not explained is crucial, it does not list any particular steps to be taken, does not explain how these steps will contribute to anything, it is a draft of a draft. There are no suggestions how to tackle and reduce any of the identified contributors to carbon emissions, such plan should not have been sent out anywhere, because so far it is simply not yet a plan. The only section that is more fleshed out is the one describing financial difficulties and how additional funding will be required. 2040 5 (very important) 1 (not at all important) 3 4 2 Yes. A programme educating people of the impact of different actions, so they can make informed choices. A penalty system is needed to encourage compliance for Commercial & Industry. Incentive structure needed for encouraging the switch to more environmentally friendly methods of travel and transport use. Water companies need to be held accountable for the environmental destruction they are causing. This is where you should do your research, your current identified areas are too broad, the data you present is not very informative. No. I do not agree. So far there are no identifiable risks and challenges detailed and explained, it is all wishy washy. I do not agree. You have not offered any opportunities in your plan, there is only a hint of a mention for collaboration, no explanation how, what, why. This only sounds like an invitation for some entrepreneur to financially benefit from this situation. Asking for advice on a document like this should actually show some consideration and thought behind it, so that communal thinking could polish it and offer more suggestions. Making the public come up with the plan itself is simply sad. N/A I agree Yes. Engaging with local communities and residents, even if that is asking for them to give a few minute message what everyone can contribute to a greener future during local events etc. Yes Yes No Both Yes No.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comments. You've emphasised the need for a comprehensive educational programme to help residents make informed decisions about reducing their carbon footprint. This aligns with our community engagement goals in section 5.3, but we'll consider expanding this aspect. The council's Sustainable Futures Forum has proved a successful way to involve the community in climate change projects, and we will explore opportunities to expand this further. Your suggestion for a penalty system for commercial and industrial sectors and holding water companies accountable will be considered as we develop our strategies in sections 5.3 and 6. The issue of sewage discharges was also strongly reflected in feedback on our draft Corporate Plan, 'Our district, our world', and we have committed in the Corporate Plan to use our influence with water companies to reduce river and coastal pollution.</p>
41.	<p>Financial impact, as well as wellbeing Yes Yes. Use my car less, water saving techniques. I support some of the actions but not others. 2030 2 4 5 (very important) 3 1 (not at all important) Yes No. I agree with some but not others. - I agree with some but not others I agree with some but not others No No Yes No Climate mitigation Yes No.</p>	<p>Thank you for your engagement in this important local initiative. Your input helps ensure the plan reflects diverse community perspectives and addresses key concerns.</p>

42.	<p>Yes. By reducing unnecessary travel, especially that done by aircraft. Yes. Travel reduction, less consumption of goods. Reducing power consumption in the home. I support the plan. 2050 5 (very important) 4 3 2 1 (not at all important) Yes Yes. I agree. Increasing sea levels. I agree I agree Yes. Subsidise public transport to provide cheap travel which discourages car usage. Yes No No Climate adaptation No No.</p>	<p>Your agreement with the plan's identification of challenges, risks, and opportunities, particularly increasing sea levels, aligns with our climate risk assessment in section 2.3.</p> <p>Your suggestion to subsidise public transport to provide an alternative to the car is valuable and aligns with our sustainable transportation goals in section 5.3. We'll consider how to incorporate this idea as we develop specific actions. We will continue to work with partner organisations including Kent County Council (the local transport authority), bus and rail operators and Highways England, to improve public transport and cycling and walking infrastructure.</p>
-----	--	--

43.	<p>This is nonsense! A complete bone headed question that pre supposes there have been climate related incidents! In the living memory of FHD residents there can only have been weather related incidents - climate is long term!!!! Weather related incidents are part of the normal pattern of life and if there is a degree of severity that is unusual it is largely because of the mismanagement of infrastructure and the environment including building on flood plains, not clearing drains and not conducting regular forestry management. FHDC Councillors really need to research more widely that following the propaganda which they appear to have succumbed to. For example, read; 'The Politically Incorrect Guide to Climate Change' by Marc Morano, and 'Fake Invisible Catastrophes and Threats of Doom' by Patrick Moore No. Another nonsense question. Mankind is only responsible for 3% of the 0.04% of CO2! How on earth can residents of FHDC contribute anything significant to any 'climate related' event even if there was data to show individuals could be held responsible. These questions are at best leading and at worse fraudulent because you are looking for answers to justify your policy. Much like most modelling, you are creating data to match your opinion. Disgraceful! No I don't support the plan. It is based on a false premise and without any explanation that involves truly objective data nor a robust challenge. 2050 5 (very important) 2 4 3 1 (not at all important) No. Carbon reduction is unnecessary - in fact we need more carbon in the atmosphere! Carbon emission reduction is not necessary and nor will any action imposed by FHDC have any material impact on reducing the minute contribution that FHDC residents make to levels of CO2 in the atmosphere. No. I do not agree. There are not any pressing challenges other than getting Councillors to open their eyes, read more widely and listen to proper climate scientists rather than those who have personal interests as the driver for this flawed agenda. I do not agree. It is completely unnecessary to look at any means to address 'climate change'. No, nor should there be any. I do not agree No. Ridiculous to suggest the tiny population of FHDC can impact 'climate change'! No No No. A waste of money. Climate adaptation No No. Frameworks and model accuracy are impacted by the input data. Name one model or forecast for 'climate' that has been accurate? None! Even most National Meteorological Offices can only predict the 5 day weather forecast with 50% accuracy. What makes FHDC think forecasts about the climate in 20-30 years time will be accurate?! This survey is ridiculous. As is the FHDC plan to reduce carbon and should be scrapped.</p>	<p>Thank you for sharing your perspective on the District Wide Carbon Plan. I understand you have strong concerns about the plan's premise and effectiveness. We believe that acting locally can address many issues that contribute to global improvements while also enhancing the health and well-being of our residents. Local actions often have multiple benefits, such as reducing air pollution, improving energy efficiency, and creating more liveable communities. While the council is required by national policy to develop climate action plans, I appreciate you feel the resources could be better used elsewhere. The council's new Corporate Plan 'Our district, our world' highlights the importance of being a well-run council delivering on our statutory services, while also working in partnership with other organisations to bring wider benefits where we can. The plan aims to balance various priorities and perspectives from across the community. If you'd like to learn more about the scientific basis and policy drivers considered, sections 2.1 and 2.3 provide some additional context. Your feedback on the need for more robust data and objective analysis will be taken into account as the plan is refined.</p>
-----	---	--

44.	<p>Yes. Everyone should be responsible for reducing waste. We are an unnecessarily materialistic society. How much energy is used in producing these large electric cars that are status symbols. Charity shops are full of thrown out good quality goods that didn't need replacing. Undecided I support some of the actions but not others. Funding through partnerships with various stakeholders including the private sector. Does this mean taxes? Other areas are very wordy with no specific meaning. 2050 4 5 (very important) 3 1 (not at all important) 2 Yes. Reducing the use of plastics. Over development. Rising population. Air travel. Yes. I agree with some but not others. Flooding caused by increased storm frequency and rising sea levels. No. Increased parking charges locally. I agree with some but not others Yes No No. Local authority funding comes from government & rates, meaning the taxpayers. I'm unsure of the meaning of 'creative funding avenues'. Both Yes. It is important that monitoring is carried out consistently and equally important that there is not duplication by numerous parties. The danger is that monitoring becomes more of a priority than implementation.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comments. Your concern about unnecessary consumption aligns with our waste management goals outlined in section 5.3. We'll consider how to better promote reuse and recycling initiatives. Your point about the energy used in producing large electric cars is noted. We'll ensure our sustainable transportation strategies in section 6 consider the full lifecycle impacts of vehicles.</p>
45.	<p>Extremely difficult to predict the weather for gardening Yes. Plant more trees. Yes. Less driving. I support the plan. 2040 5 (very important) 4 3 2 1 (not at all important) Yes Yes. I agree with some but not others. - I agree I agree Yes. Plant more trees and take care of those we have. Yes Yes No Climate mitigation Yes No.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comments. Your concern about difficulty predicting weather for gardening aligns with our climate risk assessment in section 2.3. We'll consider how to address this challenge in our adaptation strategies. Your suggestion to plant more trees and care for existing ones aligns with our Carbon Absorption and Biodiversity goals in section 5.3. We'll look to strengthen our tree planting and maintenance initiatives. Tree planting projects could also form the basis of community bids to the council's recently launched Green Grants Programme.</p>
46.	<p>Yes Yes. Walking more & using public transport when possible. I don't support the plan. The plan doesn't actually say anything - how am I meant to know what I am supporting? e.g. The district wide carbon plan part 4.2.1 Challenges refers to section 4.2.1 - it's own section - that doesn't make any sense 2040 5 (very important) 1 (not at all important) 3 4 2 Yes No. I do not agree. - I agree with some but not others I agree with some but not others No No No No Both No No.</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. You've indicated disagreement with how the plan addresses challenges, risks, and opportunities. We'll work on providing more concrete actions, correcting errors, and ensuring each section provides valuable, non-circular information. We will continue to work with partner organisations including Kent County Council (the local transport authority), bus and rail operators and Highways England, to improve public transport and cycling and walking infrastructure.</p>

47.	<p>Yes Yes. We have solar panels. We take steps to conserve water. We have a hybrid after considering electric car - as we live in a terraced house we can't have a charging point. We use buses when we can. We try to limit airborne holidays to every 2 years. I support the plan. 2040 4 5 (very important) 3 1 (not at all important) 2 Yes. No but I think the above are all important issues. Yes . Not clear. 4.2.1 refers to 4.2.1. 4.2.2 refers to 4.2.2. I agree. These are more Council's actions rather than opportunities. Allotments. Re-stock shop in Guildhall Street. I agree Yes No Both Yes Yes.</p>	<p>Thank you for your detailed feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. Your personal efforts to reduce your carbon footprint are commendable and align well with the plan's goals. Your suggestion about allotments and restock shop in Guildhall Street are valuable local initiatives we can consider including in section 5.3. We'll review this to ensure a balance of community and Council opportunities.</p>
48.	<p>Yes Undecided I support some of the actions but not others 2040 5 (very important) 3 4 2 1 (not at all important) Yes No. I agree with some but not others. - I agree with some but not others I agree with some but not others No No No No Climate mitigation No No.</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. You've indicated 2040 as the target year for achieving net zero emissions. You've indicated support for climate mitigation efforts rather than adaptation.</p>

49.	<p>A growing sense of anxiety and unease that we are experiencing quite rapid climate change with 10 of the warmest years on record being within the past 20 years. Yes. Individuals can moderate their personal carbon footprint through life-style choices: (1.) Reduce energy consumption at home through enhanced domestic routines. (2.) De-carbonise home heating. (3.) Switch to electric vehicle and cycle use (4.) Reduce consumption of consumer goods and recycle everything. (5.) Adopt more sustainable travel choices (avoid aviation, use public transport and just travel less.) Yes. (1.) Move to all-electric home-heating from sustainable sources. (2.) Installation of home EV charger to switch to using electric vehicles (3.) Increase working from home to 3 days per week (4.) Decrease travel by only taking UK holidays. I support the plan. None. 2030 5 (very important) 4 1 (not at all important) 3 2 Yes. Rapid roll-out of public electric vehicle charging infrastructure. Use of Local Authority bye-laws as conditions of planning consent for new residential developments to enforce highest standards of energy efficiency and energy generation. Release of District Authority land zoned specifically for self-builders of residential houses that would voluntarily meet highest standards of energy efficiency and energy generation. Yes. I agree. How to de-carbonise aging residential housing stock (many of which are Listed buildings) where the costs of improving insulation and energy efficiency to facilitate transition to air-source heating is prohibitively high due to technical issues or regulatory restrictions (in case of Listed buildings.) Lack of availability of public electric vehicle charging infrastructure which discourages transition to electric vehicles, especially for the many residents in the district that do not have off-road space for charging. I agree. Local authorities may wish to consider approving EV charging technology such as pavement ducting to increase uptake of transition to electric vehicles in residential areas where off-road charging opportunities are otherwise impossible. Local Authorities may wish to consider the experience of other Local Authorities elsewhere in England that have been using Bye-laws within the planning system to make development permission conditional on building to higher energy efficiency standards than are already required under Building Regulation control. Building new to high standards makes far more sense and is far more cost-effective than retro-fitting new technology into aged housing stock with residents already living in them whilst massive disruption takes place to upgrade them. All new houses should have an EPC of A or B and incorporate air-source heating and maximum solar generation as standard. Parish Council in Elham has some local small-scale tree-planting initiatives as part of de-carbonisation policies. Extension of grant availability for air-source heat pumps installation in England to £7,500. I agree Yes. 1. Create infrastructure to encourage increased adoption of active mobility and use of public transport (cycle lanes, footpaths and bus lanes 2. Raise additional revenues from car-parking to fund better public transport. Yes Yes No. Sorry, I do not know of any funding streams. Both Yes No. Sorry, I don't personally know of any.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comments and suggestions. You expressed a growing anxiety about rapid climate change, noting that many of the warmest years on record have occurred recently. You support the plan and prioritise actions like moving to all-electric heating and increasing public electric vehicle charging infrastructure. You also highlighted the need for local authorities to enforce higher energy efficiency standards in new developments and consider innovative solutions for decarbonising older housing stock, particularly listed buildings. Environmental standards for new development will also be set out in the council's new local plan and design codes, which will be subject to public engagement before they are finalised. We will seek to provide more guidance for homeowners on energy efficiency measures so that the rules are clearer. The council also works in partnership with Kent County Council and the other Kent local authorities to promote solar panels to homeowners, small businesses and local groups through the Solar Together Kent initiative.</p> <p>Thank you for your suggestions to include creating infrastructure for active mobility and improving public transport funding through increased parking fees. We appreciate you also see challenges that need addressing, particularly regarding the availability of EV charging infrastructure and the costs associated with upgrading older homes. We will ensure your comments are taken onboard as we revise and update the plan. We will continue to work with partner organisations including Kent County Council (the local transport authority), bus and rail operators and Highways England, to improve public transport and cycling and walking infrastructure.</p>
50.	<p>None Yes Undecided I support the plan 2050 5 (very important) Yes Yes. I agree. - I agree No I agree No Yes No No Climate mitigation Yes No</p>	<p>Thank you. You expressed support for the District Wide Carbon Plan and indicated that you find it important. While you are undecided on some actions, you agree with the overall direction of the plan.</p>

51.	<p>Yes Yes. Using public transport more frequently. Improving or replacing home heating systems e.g. solar panels. I support some of the actions but not others. 2040 5 (very important) 1 (not at all important) 4 3 2</p> <p>Yes Yes: 1. Commercial transport driving to and from the continent - I don't see this mentioned in the plan, but it must make a significant contribution to the emissions in our district, particularly when there is lorry stacking. 2. The new development at Folkestone Harbour and the impact it will have in many areas hasn't been mentioned. No. I agree with some but not others. - I agree with some but not others I agree with some but not others No Yes No No Both Yes No</p>	<p>Thank you for your detailed feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. You support some actions in the plan, but not all. You're already taking personal actions like using public transport more and improving home heating systems.</p> <p>You've indicated 2040 as the target year for achieving net zero emissions. Your feedback, especially regarding the missing elements like cross-channel transport and new developments, is valuable and will be considered as we refine the plan.</p> <p>Environmental standards for new development will also be set out in the council's new local plan and design codes, which will be subject to public engagement before they are finalised.</p>
-----	---	--

52.	<p>weather extremes leading to greater energy usage and the magnifying of waste with peoples insatiable leaving of lights on. and wasting of water, uncontrolled showering watering of gardens Yes. Cutting down, turning off and re-evaluating need necessity or just compulsive waste. Yes. Paying more attention to recycling researching further means of reuse and redefine products and processes.to a greater efficiency and perfection. I support some of the actions but not others. 2030 2 3 4 5 (very important) 1 (not at all important) Basics like encouraging more children to walk to school or managing local buses instead of millions of unnecessary single car school runs. No. I agree. Pollution lack of real time individual understanding of the severity of our global situation with climate change and the irreparable damage already done. Understanding how we can move on and the ways we need to move first to save our world and for our children's children. I agree. Please inform, enlighten, shock and show everyone just how serious this situation is now, us, you and me your friends your family our neighbours our friends and opposition alike. We all need to understand what has to be done now so we can plan for tomorrow. No I agree No Yes Yes No Both Yes No. Not to my knowledge.</p>	<p>Thank you for your detailed feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. You've highlighted concerns about extreme weather leading to increased energy usage and waste, particularly regarding lights, water, and unnecessary car trips. You support cutting down on waste, improving recycling efforts, and redefining products and processes for greater efficiency. Your feedback stresses the urgency of action and the need for widespread understanding and engagement in addressing climate change. The council appreciates your insights and will consider them as we refine and implement the District Wide Carbon Plan.</p>
-----	---	--

53.	<p>Yes. Reduce consumption. Increased recycling. Increased purchase of environmentally friendly goods. Invest in zero/low-carbon energy solutions. Yes. Investing in solar panels, air-source heat pumps. electric vehicles. I support the plan. 2050 5 (very important) 2 4 3 1 (not at all important) Yes Yes. I agree. 1 - vast amount of coastal areas at risk of flooding 2 - speed. No action will be fast enough. We are late at implementing all activity. I agree. We need to think large scale but also small. There are many small-scale opportunities that combined can make a significant impact. Think wind generation. Thousands of small "tulip" style turbines could be encouraged. Every single piece of council-owned land and property should be seen as an opportunity to produce zero-carbon energy. Force large areas of concrete or tarmac such as car parks, whether publicly owned or commercial to install solar panels on roofs. We have to take every opportunity to improve. We need to think large scale but also small. There are many small-scale opportunities that combined can make a significant impact. Think wind generation. Thousands of small "tulip" style turbines could be encouraged. Every single piece of council-owned land and property should be seen as an opportunity to produce zero-carbon energy. Force large areas of concrete or tarmac such as car parks, whether publicly owned or commercial to install solar panels on roofs. We have to take every opportunity to improve. Lowcase was a great source of funding however that no longer exists. Would be good to re-introduce something similar. There is money to be spend. Help release it to increase total investment I agree Yes. We need to think large scale but also small. There are many small-scale opportunities that combined can make a significant impact. Think wind generation. Thousands of small "tulip" style turbines could be encouraged. Every single piece of council-owned land and property should be seen as an opportunity to produce zero-carbon energy. Force large areas of concrete or tarmac such as car parks, whether publicly owned or commercial to install solar panels on roofs. We have to take every opportunity to improve. Yes No No Both No</p>	<p>Thank you for your detailed feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. You support reducing consumption, increasing recycling, purchasing environmentally friendly goods, and investing in zero/low-carbon energy solutions. Your feedback stresses the importance of taking every opportunity to improve and act quickly. The council appreciates your innovative suggestions and will consider them as we refine and implement the District Wide Carbon Plan.</p>
-----	--	--

54.	<p>Hysterical behaviour, by government, council and lobbying groups such as JSO, has been seriously bad for my mental well being. LTN, 20mph speed limits, ULEs etc. have all reduced our freedoms and quality of life. No. The climate is changing. The climate has always changed. Figures show there is no correlation between increased CO2 and climate change. That is not opinion, it's a matter of record, facts and figures. Yes. Less consumerism, less waste. More make do and mend. Scrappage is an outrage, new cars have a huge environmental footprint. I don't support the plan. Uxbridge, Oxford, LTN's, 20mph, ULEs etc. We're stuck with council and council tax, whether we like it or not. Much like the TV license. We'd prefer council quietly provides essential services and is neither seen nor heard! 1 (not at all important) No. Carbon emissions have no impact on climate change. There is more CO2, fact. Climate is changing, fact. Has one got something to do with the other? No. Check the figures! Reduce the size of local council. They're earning too much money, travelling and enjoying spending our council tax and consuming more than most can afford. Rich councillors leave a huge environmental footprint. No. I do not agree. Only an idiot would try to change the climate. I do not agree. Only an idiot would try to change the climate. I've heard that Cheriton plans to commit commercial suicide with cycle lanes and 20mph zones. Do you think the public would choose your priorities to be any of their priority pillars of focus? I do not agree Yes. Ban all scrappage schemes. New vehicles have a massive environmental footprint. No No No. You assume Net zero is accepted by the public. What gives you that idea? Climate adaptation No No. CO2 is not a problem. Government has been captured by unscientific groupthink.</p>	<p>Thank you for sharing your perspective on the District Wide Carbon Plan. I understand you have strong concerns about the plan's premise and proposed actions. The council's new Corporate Plan 'Our district, our world' highlights the importance of being a well-run council delivering on our statutory services, while also working in partnership with other organisations to bring wider environmental benefits where we can.</p> <p>While the council is required to develop climate strategies in line with national policies, I appreciate you feel these efforts may be negatively impacting quality of life. Your feedback on specific issues like LTNs, speed limits, and vehicle policies will be noted. We will continue to work with partner organisations including Kent County Council (the local transport authority), bus and rail operators and Highways England, to improve public transport and cycling and walking infrastructure. Your feedback on the consultation process itself and desire for the council to limit its role is noted. However, the council has obligations to address climate-related policies, and the great majority (almost 87%) of people who responded to the consultation on the District Wide Carbon Plan supported the proposed actions set out in the plan or put forward additional proposals of their own.</p>
-----	--	--

55.	<p>Bought a fan because of the heatwave. Bought extra shade for the garden. Yes. More recycling. Undecided I don't support the plan. I don't know what the plan is. 2050 1 (not at all important) 2 3 4 5 (very important) No. This is absurd. Reducing emissions must be done at the national and international level. This is a waste of money to do a local survey. This is absurd. Reducing emissions must be done at the national and international level. This is a waste of money to do a local survey. No. I do not agree. This is absurd. Reducing emissions must be done at the national and international level. This is a waste of money to do a local survey. This is absurd. Reducing emissions must be done at the national and international level. This is a waste of money to do a local survey. This is absurd. Reducing emissions must be done at the national and international level. This is a waste of money to do a local survey. This is absurd. Reducing emissions must be done at the national and international level. This is a waste of money to do a local survey. I do not agree Yes. This is absurd. Reducing emissions must be done at the national and international level. This is a waste of money to do a local survey. You have wasted carbon producing this survey. No No No. This is absurd. Reducing emissions must be done at the national and international level. This is a waste of money to do a local survey. Both No No. This is absurd. Reducing emissions must be done at the national and international level. This is a waste of money to do a local survey.</p>	<p>Thank you for taking the time to provide feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. I understand you have strong concerns about the approach being taken. You believe emissions reduction should be addressed at national and international levels rather than locally. You feel the local survey and plan are a waste of money and resources. The council's new Corporate Plan 'Our district, our world' highlights the importance of being a well-run council delivering on our statutory services, while also working in partnership with other organisations to bring wider environmental benefits where we can. While the council is required to develop local climate strategies in line with national policies, I appreciate you feel these efforts may be misguided or ineffective at the local level. However, the great majority (almost 87%) of people who responded to the consultation supported the council's actions or put forward additional proposals for action.</p>
-----	--	--

56.	<p>Yes. I think if we had a carbon use based pricing structure for energy usage, people would be more likely to change their usage. For example -if everyone had an individual electricity and gas allowance which is charged at a minimum price. this would mean a lower user would be charged at a lower rate, and if there were say 4 people in a household that household would have a higher energy allowance. This would mean that the less well off would have lower energy bills if they were reasonable with their usage. but if someone uses a lot more electricity - ie heating a hot tub or a swimming pool, heating a large house, then they would slip into the higher rate and pay a lot more for their 'unnecessary' use. This would incentivise those who can afford it to either turn to greener options such as solar or heat pumps or to be more careful with their useage. Why should someone pay the same rate to heat a swimming pool as someone does to take an essential shower or cook a meal? We need to help people to use less (grants for insulation for example) and charge people more who are profligate with their energy consumption. Yes. I do not have gas central heating and use only electricity. I would consider solar panels and heat pump technology if I could afford it. I cycle to work, but would cycle more if there were better cycle paths and other facilities for bikes. I support some of the actions but not others. Until I can see a simple bulleted action plan I don't feel able to either support or criticise this document. I do feel that there needs to be more emphasis on mitigating the effects of climate change on the local area - flood schemes, new housing having better run off water schemes, new housing designed with the probable effects of flooding and extreme winds in mind. While its good to try to improve the carbon footprint of the area, actual climate change is not going to be prevented by local actions, and so there also needs to be mitigation. No - I agree. Flooding? I agree Both -</p>	<p>Thank you for your comments. You propose a carbon-based pricing structure for energy usage, where households have individual allowances to incentivise lower consumption. This would charge higher rates for excessive use, encouraging greener options like solar panels and heat pumps. While many of the issues are not within the council's scope to deliver, the council works in partnership with Kent County Council and the other Kent local authorities to promote solar panels to homeowners, small businesses and local groups through the Solar Together Kent project.</p> <p>While you support some actions in the plan, you want a clear, bulleted action plan for better understanding. You emphasise the need for local climate change mitigation measures, such as flood management and resilient housing designs, alongside carbon reduction efforts. We will consider how proposed actions could be made clearer in the final version of the plan.</p>
-----	---	--

57.	<p>Yes. Reduction in consumption of meat Utilisation of public transport (where available) Modification of housing (better insulation). Reduction in family size. Undecided I support the plan. I do support the plan but some of the actions do require a more reliable electricity network infrastructure. Having fewer power outages (in particular in the north downs) would help but require a significant investment. 2050 4 2 5 (very important) 1 (not at all important) 3 Yes See my comment with regards to the electricity network infrastructure No. I agree. More frequent storms damaging infrastructure, especially to the electricity infrastructure. More cables should be put underground in rural areas (expensive). Wet weather damaging road infrastructure. I agree with some but not others. Solar infrastructure will be beneficial but only with a reliable electricity network. Not aware. I agree No Yes Yes No Both Yes Yes</p>	<p>Thank you for your comments. You support reducing meat consumption, using public transport and improving home insulation, as personal actions to address climate change. Your feedback emphasises the importance of improving energy infrastructure resilience alongside emissions reduction efforts. The council will consider these insights as they refine the District Wide Carbon Plan.</p>
58.	<p>Yes Yes. At present heat property with oil so looking into alternative means of heating and energy production. I support some of the actions but not others. 2040 2 3 1 (not at all important) 5 (very important) 4 Yes. All areas are important but survey does not allow this answer. Yes. I agree. - I agree Government ECO4 offering residents reduced or free upgrades to eco friendly products for the home. I agree Yes Yes No Both Yes -</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback. We appreciate your suggestions on alternative heating methods, the importance of a reliable electricity network, and the need for comprehensive climate change mitigation strategies. Your ideas, including support for government programs like ECO4 and the emphasis on reducing meat consumption and improving insulation, will be considered as we revise the District Wide Carbon Plan.</p>
59.	<p>Experiencing anxiety and concern about climate change and the affects to people around the world currently, and to myself and my loved ones now and in the near future. Yes - individuals make up every community, large and small. And one person can do a lot, as well as mobilise others. Yes. Embracing a more plant based diet, not buying new (clothes etc), less travel by car/plane, avoiding food waste, recycling. Many of these we are making already and trying to do more and more of. I support the plan. 2030 4 5 (very important) 3 1 (not at all important) 2 Yes Yes. I agree. - I agree Not currently aware I agree No Yes Yes No Both Yes No</p>	<p>Thank you for sharing your concerns about climate change and the actions you're taking personally. We appreciate your support for the plan and your prioritisation of the pillars, with Transport and Buildings/Energy as most important. Your efforts to embrace a plant-based diet, reduce consumption, and minimise travel align well with the plan's goals. We'll consider your feedback on the 2030 target date and your agreement with the identified challenges, risks, and opportunities as we refine the District Wide Carbon Plan. Your willingness to participate in initiatives is noted and valued.</p>

60.	<p>Yes, but in reality this will only be at the margins, even as part of collective action - e.g. President Putin has probably caused more climate-damage in 1 year than my family and its ancestors have done in their lifetimes. Turning off a light or getting a bus to the shops is almost irrelevant in the wider scheme of things. Undecided I support some of the actions but not others. I don't see much in the way of specifics at the moment - this document reads more like a 'word salad' than a specification of what these words mean in practice. It is therefore difficult to know what is actually being proposed. I would want to have more confidence that any Plan balances climate actions with the needs of an ageing population, and in an area where essential services (e.g. hospitals, supermarkets) are located several miles away. For example, in 'promoting active mobility' the Council should not take actions that make it more difficult for people to access these services. Also, I would want to be reassured that climate change activities are looked at as part of overall Council priorities and not as stand alone goals. In particular, that due regard is taken to the 'law of unintended consequences'. For example, making disposal of household waste more complex, expensive or onerous, could result in more fly-tipping or piles of abandoned rubbish left on the street, rather than an increase in recycling rates. There are other specifics which remain unclear, for example, what approach will the Council take regarding Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas in respect of, for example, energy efficiency measures, flood protection measures and access to EV home charging? 2040 2 3 1 (not at all important) 5 (very important) 4 Yes. The five pillars seem to cover the essential areas. No No. I agree with some but not others. Flood defences. I do not agree. Again, I don't see much in the way of specifics. The general seems fine but what does this mean in practice? I agree Yes. At the moment the bin men are not emptying our recycling bins because of health and safety issues. The Council have known about this for months but have not put alternative measures in place. If residents are supposed to take climate change issues seriously and adapt their lifestyles accordingly the Council should support them, not make it more difficult for them. Yes No No Both Yes -</p>	<p>Thank you for your thoughtful feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. We appreciate your concerns about the plan's specificity and potential unintended consequences. Your points about balancing climate actions with the needs of an aging population, ensuring access to essential services, and considering the practicalities of waste management are valuable. We'll work to provide more concrete details and address these concerns as we refine the plan. Your feedback on listed buildings, conservation areas, and flood defences will also be considered. Some of these issues are addressed at national level through legislation and national planning policy, but we will have some scope to consider these issues as part of our new local plan. We will seek to provide more guidance for homeowners on energy efficiency measures so that the rules are clearer. The council also works in partnership with Kent County Council and the other Kent local authorities to promote solar panels to homeowners, small businesses and local groups through the Solar Together Kent initiative. We recognise the importance of making climate initiatives practical and supportive for residents, and we'll aim to improve our approach to recycling and waste management. Your input will help us create a more balanced and effective plan.</p>
61.	<p>Yes Yes 2050 2 4 5 (very important) 3 1 (not at all important) No No. I agree with some but not others. - I agree with some but not others I agree with some but not others No Yes No No Both Yes No</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. We appreciate your support for the plan and the 2050 target date. Your prioritisation of the pillars, with Waste and Water as most important, will be considered as we refine the plan. We note your partial agreement with how the plan addresses challenges, risks and opportunities. Your interest in participating in initiatives and receiving updates is valued. We'll take your input into account as we work</p>

		to improve the plan and its implementation across both climate mitigation and adaptation approaches.
62.	No. Nature is in control, not humans. Undecided All of them. Climate change is a scam. 2050 No. Focus on the real issues in the town, not some lies being pushed by out of touch spurious organisations. No. I do not agree. Stop pushing lies. I do not agree. Stop pushing lies. Stop destroying green areas and habitats and building eyesores! Stop destroying Folkestone's green areas. I do not agree Yes. Stop building in beauty spots, by the canal and beach and let nature take its course! Yes Yes No Both No -	<p>Thank you for sharing your perspective on the District Wide Carbon Plan. We appreciate your concerns about development in green areas and beauty spots. Future developments will be guided by the council's new local plan recognising, however, that the council is required to meet housing targets set by government to address the national housing crisis, within the recognised environmental constraints of the district.</p> <p>Your feedback on prioritising local issues and preserving natural habitats will be considered as we refine the plan. We'll aim to balance environmental protection with other community needs as we review our approach. Thank you for taking the time to provide your input on this important local initiative.</p>
63.	Yes. Recycling / reusing. Turning off appliances. Yes. Using public transport. Walking more. Eating less meat. I support the plan 2040 4 5 (very important) 3 Yes - I agree with some but not others. - I agree with some but not others I agree with some but not others No Yes No No Both Yes No	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. We appreciate your commitment to recycling, using public transport, and adopting a plant-based diet. Your support for the plan and prioritisation of the pillars, particularly Waste and Water, will be considered as we refine our approach. We acknowledge your partial agreement with some actions and your interest in seeing more specifics in the plan. Your insights will help us ensure that the plan is clear and actionable for the community as we move forward.</p>
64.	No Undecided I don't support the plan. Cost. 2050 5 (very important) 2 No. Where are the electric car charging points and more importantly power to those points coming from. Stop public authorities wasting money on their travel expenses ie parking wardens using their cars rather than walking. No. I do not agree. The planet is what it is and we can only stop doing protest and actually do some action. I do not agree. Stop wasting our money and do something productive. Yet to be proven. Stop wasting money and be more considerate to the now and really what happens we do not have any control. We were told a few years ago to buy diesel vehicles (waste of money and totally misled). I do not agree No. Who controls the weather when other countries really don't care. We are a small blip in the ocean. Yes Yes No. How much has it cost to produce the survey? Climate adaptation No Yes. Stop wasting council tax payer money.	<p>Thank you for sharing your perspective on the District Wide Carbon Plan. We appreciate your concerns about costs, electric vehicle infrastructure, and the effectiveness of climate initiatives. Your feedback on prioritising current issues and being more considerate of immediate needs is noted. We will take your input into account as we refine the plan, particularly regarding cost-effectiveness and practical implementation of proposed actions. The council's new Corporate Plan 'Our district, our world' highlights</p>

		<p>the importance of being a well-run council delivering on our statutory services, while also working in partnership with other organisations to bring wider environmental benefits where we can.</p> <p>Your comments on global context and the need for tangible results will help us ensure the plan addresses community priorities effectively. We believe that acting locally can address many issues that contribute to global improvements while also enhancing the health and well-being of our residents. Local actions often have multiple benefits, such as reducing air pollution, improving energy efficiency, and creating more liveable communities.</p>
65.	<p>None apparently! No. An international affair! No I don't support the plan. Cost! 2050 2 No Yes. I agree. Transport! I agree. None! None! I agree No. None! No No No Both No No</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. We appreciate your perspective that climate change is an international issue and your concerns about costs. Your emphasis on focusing on local issues and preserving green areas is noted. We believe that acting locally can address many issues that contribute to global improvements while also enhancing the health and well-being of our residents. Local actions often have multiple benefits, such as reducing air pollution, improving energy efficiency, and creating more liveable communities. We will consider your input as we refine the plan to ensure it addresses community priorities effectively while balancing environmental goals recognising, however, that the council is required to meet housing targets set by government to address the national housing crisis, within the recognised constraints of the district. Thank you for participating in this important conversation.</p>
66.	<p>Not yet. Yes. Use less fuel, in every which way - transport, heating etc. Yes. Has to be national - less fuel etc. I am not sure the public has the heart for it. I support the plan. 2040 Yes Yes. I agree. Transport - fossil fuel. I agree I agree Yes Yes Both Yes -</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. We appreciate your support for the plan and your emphasis on reducing fuel consumption across transport and heating. Your suggestion that national action is needed, while acknowledging local efforts, is noted. We'll consider your input on the 2040 target date and your agreement with the identified challenges, particularly regarding fossil fuel transport. We will continue to work with partner organisations including Kent County Council (the local transport authority), bus and rail operators and Highways England, to explore public transport</p>

		improvements in the district. Your willingness to participate in initiatives is valued. We'll take your insights into account as we refine the plan to ensure it addresses both local and national priorities effectively.
67.	No No I don't support the plan 2050 1 (not at all important) No No. I do not agree. - I do not agree I do not agree No No No No Both No No	Thank you for sharing your perspective on the District Wide Carbon Plan. We understand you do not support the plan and disagree with its various aspects. Your concerns about the plan's approach and priorities have been noted. However, the great majority (almost 87%) of people who responded to the consultation supported the council's proposed actions or put forward additional proposals. We appreciate you taking the time to provide this feedback, which will be considered as we review and refine our climate strategy to better address community needs and priorities.

68.	<p>Yes. But only if there are a large number of individuals. Big companies and governments can have a greater impact. Yes. Eating less meat and dairy. I support some of the actions but not others. It doesn't actually commit to anything. Or define goals and how they will be met. And some things - such as water - are outside of the council's powers. 2050 3 5 (very important) 4 2 1 (not at all important) Yes No. I agree with some but not others. Housing that has not been well enough maintained and have become energy inefficient. This year it has become even more challenging to use public transport with numerous cuts to bus services. Electric vehicles are a pipe dream - I really don't see how sufficient charging points can be installed, particularly as parking outside your home (if you do not have off road parking) is more or less impossible. I do not agree. The plan talks about promoting and supporting things, but not actually doing things. It is difficult to see what the council will actually do when there is no direct control over so many things (public transport, housing, water) as this is in the private sector. The plan talks about promoting and supporting things, but not actually doing things. It is difficult to see what the council will actually do when there is no direct control over so many things (public transport, housing, water) as this is in the private sector. Not aware of any local projects / initiatives. I agree with some but not others No. There are no clear actions - it simply says that "An Action Plan will be produced after consultation", so I'm really not clear on what the actions are and consequently can't comment on whether there are any additional actions. Yes No No Both Yes No</p>	<p>Thank you for your detailed feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. We appreciate your insights on the impact of individual actions versus larger entities, and your personal efforts to reduce meat and dairy consumption. Your concerns about the plan's lack of specific commitments, goals, and actions have been noted, particularly regarding areas outside the council's direct control like water management and public transport. We understand your scepticism about electric vehicle infrastructure and the challenges with poorly maintained housing. The council has undertaken significant work through the Social Housing Decarbonisation Fund to improve the energy efficiency and comfort of its council homes. Your point about the plan focusing more on promotion rather than concrete actions is valuable. We'll work to address these issues and provide more specific, actionable goals as we refine the plan.</p>
69.	<p>Anxiety, rise in insurance costs Yes. Everyone can do their bit at home, when travelling etc. Yes. I have not flown at all recently. I plan to insulate my home. I use public transport when possible. I hope to grow vegetables and am open to other ideas. I support the plan 2040 4 3 5 (very important) 2 1 (not at all important) Yes. I do not know enough about it to answer this. As above Yes. I agree. I have only recently moved to Hythe and am still in the process of doing up the house. I agree. I don't know yet. I am new to the area. I agree No Yes Yes No. Not as yet. Both Yes No</p>	<p>Thank you for sharing your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. We appreciate your personal efforts to reduce emissions, including avoiding air travel, using public transport, and planning home insulation and vegetable growing. Your support for the plan and prioritisation of Waste and Water as most important is noted. We understand you're new to the area and still learning about local initiatives. Your willingness to participate and receive updates is valued. We'll consider your input as we refine the plan, particularly regarding support for residents making sustainable choices. As you settle in, we hope to provide more information to help you engage with local climate initiatives.</p>

70.	<p>Yes Yes I support the plan 2040 Yes Waste water and sewage, the water companies are not doing enough. No. I agree with some but not others. - I agree with some but not others Not aware of any projects / initiatives. I agree with some but not others Waste water and sewage. Yes No No Both Yes No</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. We appreciate your support for the plan and the 2040 target date. Your emphasis on wastewater and sewage issues, particularly regarding water companies' efforts, is noted. We understand you agree with some aspects of the plan's approach to challenges, risks, and opportunities, but not all. We'll consider your input, especially regarding wastewater management, as we refine the plan to better address community concerns and priorities. The issue of sewage discharges was also strongly reflected in feedback on our draft Corporate Plan, 'Our district, our world', and we have committed in the Corporate Plan to use our influence with water companies to reduce river and coastal pollution.</p>
71.	<p>Yes. By supporting action groups. Yes. Try me. I support some of the actions but not others 2050 5 (very important) 4 - - I agree with some but not others. - I agree with some but not others Local resident group I agree with some but not others No Yes No Climate adaptation -</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. We appreciate your support for action groups and willingness to try new initiatives. Your prioritisation of the pillars, with one rated as very important, is noted. We understand you agree with some aspects of the plan's approach to challenges, risks, and opportunities, but not all. Your involvement with a local resident group is valuable. We'll consider your preference for climate adaptation as we refine the plan to better address community needs and priorities. Your input will help us develop more targeted and effective climate actions for the district.</p>
72.	<p>Yes Yes. I have already changed from house coal to smokeless fuel. I support the plan 2040 2 Yes Yes. . - Both Yes No</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. We appreciate your commitment to sustainability, including your transition from house coal to smokeless fuel.</p>
73.	<p>None. Yes. People need to be more considerate about their surroundings ie recycling and not dumping rubbish. Yes. Looking after the environment around them. I support the plan 2040 Yes. Not at the present. No Yes. I agree. Vehicle pollution. I agree. No. E buses. I agree No Yes Yes No Both Yes No</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. We appreciate your support for the plan and emphasis on environmental responsibility, particularly regarding recycling and reducing littering. Your suggestion about people taking care of their surroundings aligns well with the plan's goals. We've noted your concern about vehicle pollution and interest in electric buses. We will continue to work with partner organisations including Kent County Council (the local transport authority), bus and rail operators and Highways England, to improve public transport and walking and cycling infrastructure. Your willingness to participate in initiatives and receive</p>

		updates is valued. We'll consider your input as we refine the plan, especially regarding waste management and sustainable transport options. Your support for both climate mitigation and adaptation approaches will help shape a more comprehensive strategy for the district.
74.	Yes. All small efforts will help. Using vehicles less. Re-use of many materials. Yes. Use the car less. I support the plan 2040 4 1 (not at all important) 3 2 5 (very important) Yes Yes. I agree. Rising sea level. I agree I agree No Yes No No Both Yes No	Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. We appreciate your support for the plan and your personal efforts to reduce vehicle use and reuse materials. Your prioritisation of Carbon Absorption and Biodiversity as most important is noted, along with your concern about rising sea levels. We'll consider your input on the 2040 target date as we refine the plan. Your agreement with the identified challenges, risks, and opportunities is valuable. While you're not currently aware of local projects, your willingness to receive updates about the plan is appreciated. We'll work to incorporate your insights, particularly regarding sustainable transport and resource conservation, as we develop more targeted climate actions for the district. We will continue to work with partner organisations including Kent County Council (the local transport authority), bus and rail operators and Highways England, to improve public transport and walking and cycling infrastructure.
75.	No Undecided I support some of the actions but not others 2050 2 3 5 (very important) 1 (not at all important) 4 Yes Log burners. No. I agree with some but not others. Log burners in a lot of houses. I agree with some but not others I agree with some but not others Cut back on log burners. No No No Both No No	Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. We appreciate your partial support for some actions and your prioritisation of the pillars, with Waste and Water as most important. Your concerns about log burners in houses are noted, and we'll consider this issue as we refine the plan. We understand you agree with some aspects of the plan's approach to challenges, risks, and opportunities, but not all. Your suggestion to reduce the use of log burners will be taken into account as we develop more targeted climate actions for the district. We'll work to address these concerns and provide more specific, actionable goals in the revised plan.

76.	<p>Flooding, dry spells etc associated with climate change, causes damage to our properties resulting in structural cracks that are costly to address. Yes. Individuals need to know what they can do to mitigate the effects of climate change. There are a number of innovations available that can help reduce carbon footprint. Yes. Implementing and embracing small changes can have a significant cumulative effect on reducing our carbon footprint. I support the plan 2040 Yes. The appalling condition of local roads cause damage to vehicles and increases fuel consumption considerably. 75% of Folkestone's homes are categorised as 'old, cold and hard to heat'. All homes should meet a thermal performance of R=1.74m2 KW in accordance with EN8990. No. I agree with some but not others. The impact of changing weather patterns is not really being sufficiently addressed and the district is not really embracing the range of technologies that are currently available. I agree with some but not others. To be honest, we are lagging behind our European partners who are already years ahead of us. They have a commitment to better quality road surfaces, solar panels, ecoflo drainage systems etc. We have sought to have a dialogue with the environmental change officers at the council but over the last five years we have largely been ignored. The district should ensure that all local businesses and service providers are trained to a minimum of stem 'blue leaf' accreditation. I agree Yes. We require a bigger page. There are literally dozens of tried and tested technologies available in Europe that can make a significant difference. Yes Yes Yes. As an environmentally focused business, we have invested time effort and money to be more sustainable. We have attended European conferences and expos on climate change. Both Yes Yes. 'Low Carbon Kent' by Kent County Council. 'JADDE' is another established European low carbon expo that showcases some great technological innovations.</p>	<p>Thank you for your detailed feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. We appreciate your support for the plan and your insights on climate change impacts, particularly regarding property damage and road conditions. Your emphasis on individual actions, embracing new technologies, and learning from European partners is noted. We'll consider your suggestions about improving thermal performance in homes, implementing eco-friendly technologies like solar panels and 'ecoflo' drainage systems, and promoting STEM 'blue leaf' accreditation for local businesses. Environmental standards for new development will also be set out in the council's new local plan and design codes, which will be subject to public engagement before they are finalised.</p> <p>Your experience as an environmentally focused business and attendance at European conferences is valuable. We'll work to incorporate these ideas, especially regarding available technologies and innovations, as we refine the plan. Your willingness to engage and share knowledge is appreciated, and we'll aim to improve dialogue with environmental change officers. We'll also look into the resources you've mentioned, like 'Low Carbon Kent' and 'JADDE', to enhance our approach to climate action in the district.</p>
77.	<p>Yes Yes. Due to cost of living crisis using car less also heating turned down to 15 degrees. I support some of the actions but not others 2040 4 5 (very important) 3 2 1 (not at all important) Yes No. I agree with some but not others. Cost of living crisis affecting our ability to change cars and heating systems. I agree with some but not others I agree with some but not others Yes. We require a bigger page. There are literally dozens of technologies that can make a significant difference. Yes. How to deal with a very much two speed economy. Yes No No No Both Yes No</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. We appreciate your efforts to reduce car use and energy consumption in response to the cost-of-living crisis. Your support for some actions and the 2040 target date is noted. We understand your concerns about the financial challenges of upgrading vehicles and heating systems. Your prioritisation of Waste and Water as most important pillars will be considered as we refine the plan. We acknowledge that there are many potential technologies and innovations that could make a significant difference, and we'll work to address the economic disparities you've highlighted.</p>

78.	<p>Every business uses it as an excuse to increase prices particularly in the insurance and travel sectors. Frustration at Governments for using topic as 'important' but granting new oil licences etc which sets off the Just Stop Oil idiots. No one seems to take it seriously or is prepared to make changes. Yes. But they won't as they seem to want massive cars, which aren't green (their batteries). Councils can help. I believe Jim Martin and his crew are good people who get involved and can effect change. Yes. Not buying an electric car, they haven't got them right. Recycling items eg clothes. I would use the buses more - that's provided the bus companies stop cancelling essential routes. Would use the train more but the prices make it way too expensive. I support some of the actions but not others. The way some dates get pushed back. This may not specifically relate to the DWC plan but generally target dates are moved until well after the present incumbents and decision makers will be enjoying their pensions having passed the buck to the next generation. 4 5 (very important) 3 1 (not at all important) 2 Yes. Residential housing. Additional developments put extra pressure on transport, infrastructure etc. Make developers provide the facilities we need, stop them being allowed to do what they want including felling trees etc. Put more emphasis on encouraging nature and wildlife to thrive. Yes. I agree with some but not others. Pollution - water companies. Will someone please sort them out. Developers - the planning system is a joke and very much in their favour. Objectors don't have a voice. I agree with some but not others. By encouraging communities to get involved. It does help and we can make a difference even though it's minor. Change the policies that can help, throwing money as it is not the answer - particularly where this government is concerned who use it to benefit themselves. Hythe residents and other such platforms do encourage discussion. Serious comments can be ??? out from the clueless who usually shout loudest or the cynical. There are loads of educated, qualified people who would be able to contribute in some small way. I agree with some but not others Yes. If you're talking about transport make the county councillors and MPs do more. -- is a waste of space. We never see him and he doesn't reply to emails. -- sits on the fence and says there are no traffic accidents on roads where it is well documented there are and they want to build extra houses there too. Yes Yes No Both Yes Yes. Don't try reinventing the wheel. Look at how other countries do things. More efficiently and much cheaper. We are all ripped off in this country.</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. We appreciate your concerns about climate change impacts, particularly regarding property damage from flooding and dry spells. Your emphasis on the need for individuals to understand and implement actions to mitigate climate change effects is noted. We're glad to hear about your personal efforts, including plans for home insulation, using public transport, and growing vegetables. Your support for the plan and the 2040 target date is valuable. We've noted your concerns about road conditions and their impact on fuel consumption, as well as the need for improved home thermal performance. We'll consider your suggestions about embracing a wider range of technologies, learning from European partners, and implementing standards like the STEM 'blue leaf' accreditation for local businesses. Your willingness to engage and share knowledge from European conferences and expos is appreciated. We'll work to incorporate these ideas and improve dialogue with environmental change officers as we refine the plan to better address community needs and priorities.</p>
79.	<p>Nothing to speak of as yet. Yes. Driving a fully electric car. Downsizing property! Travelling less (including less holidays). Eating less meat. Dare I say having less children. Yes. I already eat less meat, far less in fat. I do not drive. I haven't flown since 2005. I live alone and walk to work. I do not have children. I support the plan. 2040 5 (very important) 1 (not at all important) 2 4 3 Yes Yes. I agree. Local flooding owing to increased rain. Too much car fumes - poor air quality. I agree. Not at present. No. I agree No Yes No No Both Yes Not at this time.</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. We appreciate your personal efforts to reduce your carbon footprint, including driving an electric car, downsizing property, reducing travel, eating less meat, and your lifestyle choices. Your support for the plan and the 2040 target date is noted. We've taken note of your prioritisation of the pillars, with Waste and Water as most important. Your concerns about local flooding and poor air quality due to car emissions are valuable insights. We'll consider your input as we refine the plan, particularly regarding flood mitigation and improving air quality. Your willingness to participate in initiatives is appreciated, and we'll work to incorporate these ideas as we develop more targeted climate actions for the district.</p>

80.	<p>Yes. Better recycling. Use cars less. Yes. Using car less. Further recycling options. I support the plan 2030 3 1 (not at all important) 4 5 (very important) 2 Yes Yes. I agree. Water quality / sewage in the sea. I agree I agree No Yes No No Both Yes No</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. We appreciate your support for the plan and your personal efforts to improve recycling and reduce car use. Your prioritisation of Carbon Absorption and Biodiversity as most important is noted, along with your concern about water quality and sewage in the sea. The issue of sewage discharges was also strongly reflected in feedback on our draft Corporate Plan, 'Our district, our world', and we have committed in the Corporate Plan to use our influence with water companies to reduce river and coastal pollution. We'll consider your input on the 2030 target date as we refine the plan. We'll work to incorporate your insights, particularly regarding sustainable transport and waste management, as we develop more targeted climate actions for the district.</p>
81.	<p>Health overall well being. No. Too old. Undecided I support some of the actions but not others. 2040 4 5 (very important) 2 3 1 (not at all important) No Yes. I agree. - I agree I agree with some but not others No No No No Climate adaptation Yes Yes</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. We appreciate your perspective on health and overall well-being, and understand your concerns about age. Your partial support for some actions and the 2040 target date is noted. We've taken note of your prioritisation of the pillars, with Waste and Water as most important.</p>
82.	<p>Overall wellbeing. Yes Yes. Less waste - all round. Reuse recycle. I support some of the actions but not others 2040 Yes Yes. I agree. Cars. Waste. I agree No Yes No No Both Yes -</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. We appreciate your emphasis on reducing waste through better recycling and reusing materials. Your support for the plan and the 2040 target date is noted. Your concerns about water quality and sewage are valuable insights. We'll consider your input as we refine the plan, particularly</p>

		regarding enhancing recycling options and improving overall waste management strategies.
83.	<p>Yes. If everyone plays their part then as a collective we can influence the greater problem. Yes. Would depend on what would make the difference, for instance supporting carbon conscious companies, reducing waste. I support the plan. 2040 2 1 (not at all important) 5 (very important) 3 4 Yes Yes. I agree. The land and coastline changes affecting the wildlife and also the impact to the district on extreme weather conditions. I agree No I agree with some but not others No Yes Yes No Climate adaptation Yes No</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. We appreciate your support for collective action and willingness to make changes like supporting carbon-conscious companies and reducing waste. Your support for the plan and the 2040 target date is noted. We've taken note of your prioritisation of the pillars, with Waste and Water as most important. Your concerns about land and coastline changes affecting wildlife and the impact of extreme weather conditions are valuable insights. We'll consider your input as we refine the plan, particularly regarding climate adaptation strategies, especially regarding waste reduction and supporting sustainable businesses, as we develop more targeted climate actions for the district.</p>
84.	<p>I have always lived high above sea level since then in Yorkshire and now in Saltwood. Apart from living in West Hythe in the 1960s and 1970s which is on Romney Marsh and is below sea level! Yes. I do as much recycling as possible at home and also take shopping bags to the supermarket. I have a Toyota Prius hybrid car but would buy an all electric if they were more affordable. Yes. As in previous section I have just started taking wrapping material to the big supermarkets. They have some big containers for such wrapping but they are often quite full so maybe they could be doubled or something and perhaps more publicity to that type of recycling? I support the plan. 2050 5 (very important) 3 4 2 1 (not at all important) Yes Yes. I agree. Air pollution mostly from buses, lorries and cars. Flood risk from the sea but I believe the beach gravel works address this issue. I agree. We have 2 rooms with air-conditioning which can heat the room in the winter. These are very efficient because they do not generate heat but they extract heat from the outside air. So commercial premises which use air conditioning could use the units for heating in the winter. The council waste disposal sites are very useful for recycling and it has been easier to use since the booking system on the day came in. There is a project on Hythe High Street which takes small electrical items for recycling (near Boots). I believe there are initiatives with solar panel installation that will reduce non-renewable energy consumption. I agree No Yes No No Both Yes No</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. We appreciate your insights on the importance of collective action in addressing climate change. Your support for the plan and the 2040 target date is noted, along with your prioritisation of Waste and Water as significant areas of focus.</p> <p>Your concerns about land and coastline changes affecting wildlife and the impact of extreme weather conditions are valuable. We'll take into account your suggestions regarding climate adaptation strategies and the need for community involvement in these efforts.</p>

85.	<p>Yes. Town need to offer better facilities for cycling, make recycling compulsory, provide electric car charging stations, and find ways of making public transport more affordable (right now not only more expensive than using a car but tremendously more inconvenient). Council should fine heavily water companies in breach of safely disposing waste water. and all commercial and industrial companies also contributing to heavy waste. Yes. For a start tackling the household waste. Give incentive for recycling, make it compulsory too. I would consider public transport if, it was considerably cheaper than it is currently is. Considering getting to work would take me 1 hour 30 mins as opposed to 25 minutes and currently save no money ... there is no point of even considering changing things. I support the plan 2040 2 1 (not at all important) 3 4 5 (very important) Yes Yes. I agree with some but not others. - I agree with some but not others I agree with some but not others Yes Yes Yes No Climate mitigation Yes No</p>	<p>Thank you for your detailed feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. We appreciate your suggestions for improving cycling facilities, making recycling compulsory, providing electric car charging stations, and making public transport more affordable and convenient. Your ideas about fining water companies and other polluters are noted. The issue of sewage discharges was also strongly reflected in feedback on our draft Corporate Plan, 'Our district, our world', and we have committed in the Corporate Plan to use our influence with water companies to reduce river and coastal pollution.</p> <p>We understand your concerns about the current challenges with public transport costs and efficiency compared to driving. We will continue to work with partner organisations including Kent County Council (the local transport authority), bus and rail operators and Highways England, to improve public transport and cycling and walking infrastructure. Your support for the plan and the 2040 target date is noted, along with your prioritisation of Carbon Absorption and Biodiversity as most important. We'll consider your input on household waste management, including incentives for recycling, as we refine the plan. Your preference for climate mitigation approaches is valuable. We'll work to incorporate these ideas, especially regarding sustainable transport, waste reduction, and incentivising eco-friendly behaviours, as we develop more targeted climate actions for the district.</p>
-----	--	---

86.	<p>Yes. As individuals we have to be aware of the consequences of our actions and taking small steps will help to contribute. Yes. Just trying to be as efficient as possible with the use of energy, water and materials. I support some of the actions but not others. Interesting data on where some of the opportunities lie (and also no data on waste and water or Carbon Absorption and biodiversity) but very unclear as to what the plan actually is! its actually a very vague set of ideals. 2050 3 2 5 (very important) 4 1 (not at all important) No. Having a more long term view of some issues to find the best solution rather than a short term 'fix'. For example instead of repeatedly partially fixing potholes in a road only to have to repeat the process a few months later when new holes appear, relay the road to a standard that will be serviceable for many years This surely has to be more effective in terms of labour, time, wasted materials, fuel used etc, etc. (Victoria Road in Hythe is a good example of not fixing the problem) No. I agree. Flooding due to more extreme weather, changes in seawater levels and inappropriate building. I agree No I agree with some but not others Yes. Stop inappropriate building. Keep our green spaces by not building on Princes Parade, Hythe Golf Course, or Otterpool. No No Both Yes No</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. We appreciate your awareness of individual actions' impact and efforts to be efficient with energy, water, and materials use. Your partial support for the plan and preference for the 2050 target date is noted. We understand your concerns about the plan's vagueness and lack of data in certain areas. Your suggestion for a more long-term approach to infrastructure maintenance, like road repairs, is valuable.</p> <p>Your concerns about flooding due to extreme weather, sea level changes, and inappropriate building are acknowledged. We'll consider your input on preserving green spaces and avoiding development in specific areas as we refine the plan. We'll work to incorporate these ideas, especially regarding long-term planning and environmental preservation, into our new local plan recognising, however, that the council is required to meet housing targets set by government to address the national housing crisis, within the recognised environmental constraints of the district.</p>
-----	--	---

87.	<p>Very little, although when it's very rainy or extremely windy as it has been recently I go out less which certainly is affecting my health. Yes. I myself have solar panels and I hope that they do help a tiny bit towards protecting us from climate change. I also use as little electricity as possible and make as little waste as I can. Yes. As I've already stated, I have solar panels and always pay attention to using as little energy as possible. I'd like to see more in the way of solar panels being used on government buildings and council houses and I would like to see any further houses built in the area being obliged to have solar panels. These would be a great step to protecting us from climate change. It would also gain finances for the council. I myself gain nearly £2000 a year from the solar panels I have on my house. This would be great for the council to then re-invest in further items that may help reduce energy costs for your clients for yourselves and actually be beneficial all round. I also feel more could be done with waste. 2030 5 (very important) 4 2 1 (not at all important) 3 Yes. Plant trees, get water companies to reduce their leaks so that they then aren't using so many resources to process their water. Use electric vehicles with solar panels to give some of the electricity. Dont know what that means Yes. I agree. Erosion of cliffs. Doesn't help. There's so many people camping on the Warren. Increase sea level. Higher at winds, in our already windy, seaside environment, rainfall increased, which may damage houses and shops and cause landslides like in Coronation Parade. I agree. If we had a better bus service, one that we could rely on, it may take some of the cars off the road and thereby reduce our carbon output, but they are so unreliable and so infrequent as to make them almost unusable. The plan to improve Bouverie Square doesn't really help. In this instance either as those of us who are poor and cannot afford cars will find it even more difficult to get into town as the buses will stop further from the centre. No I agree Yes. As stated already. Yes No No Both Yes No</p>	<p>Thank you for your detailed feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan.</p> <p>Your support for the plan and preference for the 2030 target date is valuable. We've noted your prioritisation of Waste and Water as most important, along with your suggestions for tree planting and improving water company efficiency. Your concerns about cliff erosion, sea level rise, and increased wind and rainfall are important insights. We understand your frustration with the current bus service and will consider your feedback on public transport improvements as we refine the plan. We will continue to work with partner organisations including Kent County Council (the local transport authority), bus and rail operators and Highways England, to improve public transport and cycling and walking infrastructure.</p> <p>We'll work to incorporate these ideas, especially regarding renewable energy expansion, waste reduction, and improving public transport, as we develop more targeted climate actions for the district. Your willingness to engage in these discussions is appreciated, and we'll use this input to better address community needs and priorities in our revised plan.</p>
-----	---	---

88.	<p>None No Undecided I support some of the actions but not others 2050 2 1 (not at all important) 3 4 5 (very important) No Yes. I agree with some but not others. - I agree with some but not others I agree with some but not others No Yes No No Both Yes No</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. We appreciate your partial support for some actions and the 2050 target date. We've noted your prioritisation of the pillars, with Carbon Absorption and Biodiversity as most important. We understand you agree with some aspects of the plan's approach to challenges, risks, and opportunities, but not all. We'll consider your input as we refine the plan to better address community needs and priorities. Your preference for both climate mitigation and adaptation approaches is noted.</p>
89.	<p>Yes. By being more efficient with use of energy - although it can be unaffordable for some to make these changes. Shop local, don't waste food and recycle what you can. Undecided I support the plan 2040 5 (very important) 2 1 (not at all important) 4 3 Yes Yes. I agree with some but not others. A complete lack of public transport which now means that people do need to use their cars more. Consistently unreliable bus services that often run double deckers on routes which they have already deemed not busy. Not enough information on how and what to recycle especially in schools -more 'hubs' needed as collection points for things such as gluesticks and pens etc. I agree with some but not others I agree with some but not others No Yes No No Both Yes No</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. We appreciate your efforts to be more energy efficient, shop locally, reduce food waste, and recycle. Your support for the plan and the 2040 target date is noted. Your concerns about the lack of reliable public transport and the need for more recycling information and collection points, especially in schools, are valuable insights. We will continue to work with partner organisations including Kent County Council (the local transport authority), bus and rail operators and Highways England, to improve public transport and cycling and walking infrastructure. We understand you agree with some aspects of the plan's approach to challenges, risks, and opportunities, but not all. While you're not currently aware of local projects, your willingness to receive updates about the plan is appreciated. We'll consider your input, particularly regarding improving public transport and expanding recycling initiatives, as we refine the plan to better address community needs and priorities.</p>
90.	<p>Yes. If everyone plays their part, we can make a difference. Yes. Insulate my house better and reduce my car usage. I support the plan. No. 2040 5 (very important) 2 4 3 1 (not at all important) Yes. No. No Yes. I agree. At certain times of the day, traffic pollution is very bad in built up areas. I agree No. Apart from those outlined in your plan, I am unaware of any others. I agree No Yes No No Both Yes No</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. We appreciate your belief that collective action can make a difference and your personal efforts to insulate your home and reduce car usage. Your support for the plan and the 2040 target date is noted. We've taken note of your prioritisation of the pillars, with Waste and Water as most important. Your concern about traffic pollution in built-up areas is a valuable insight. We'll consider your input, particularly regarding home insulation and reducing vehicle emissions, as we refine the plan to better address community needs and priorities.</p>

91.	<p>My daughter and son in law have a dog walking and training business and the continual rain has affected their health as they have to go out whatever the weather. On the other hand it is sometimes too hot to let dogs go out which effects their business Yes. We should all take responsibility for our contribution to climate change. Yes. Buying food with less air miles. Open to suggestions. I support the plan. 2040 4 3 5 (very important) 2 1 (not at all important) Yes Yes. I agree. Flooding, pollution. I agree I am not aware of any I agree No Yes Yes No Climate mitigation Yes No</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. We appreciate your personal insights on how climate change is affecting local businesses like your daughter and son-in-law's dog walking service. Your support for the plan and the 2040 target date is noted. We've taken note of your prioritisation of the pillars, with Waste and Water as most important. We'll consider your input, particularly regarding the impacts on local businesses and the need for climate mitigation strategies, as we refine the plan to better address community needs and priorities.</p>
92.	<p>Yes. Individuals can do a certain amount but education, guidance, leadership, and support from central and local government is essential if this is to be successful. For many people and SMEs a significant impact will only be possible with this support. Yes. Less car - currently petrol but will change this to electric car in 2/3 years (we tend to keep vehicles for about 10+ years before changing). Remain a one-car family More public transport - we use train and bus a little but could do more - buses in evening is a challenge though! Move to green electricity (stopped us of gas, just moved to Octopus green elec) Recently installed GSHP. Plan to install Solar PV and battery next year Considering investment in Ripple energy - https://rippleenergy.com/ I support the plan. This is obviously still in draft and difficult to comment on without specific proposals. Pillars 4 & 5 in particular are difficult to conceptualise. These will be important but will require significant formal agreements with other bodies. However, I do support all 5 pillars and like the systematic treatment. 2040 4 3 2 1 (not at all important) Yes. PLEASE NOTE: Unable to tick 5 for residential in Q5 but this was my intention. **** Across all five pillars, education and guidance will be critical. It's absolutely essential that people feel part of the process and are committed to supporting the changes. Some opposition will be inevitable so it's important to preempt this as much as possible. Your current consultation is obviously an important first step! Consider the potential contribution of microgeneration schemes. Consider what is possible in negotiation with builders in terms of green energy. The role of farming and related activities. Perhaps included in pillar 3 and part of pillar 5 but maybe different enough to warrant a specific pillar - or identify at a lower level within a pillar as these are developed. Complicated by DEFRA and the Sustainable Farming Initiative so influence may be difficult but being aware of what is happening in DEFRA and farming is important. There are potentially good initiative here there may be a way the plan can help ensure farmers are aware and appreciate the benefits. Yes. I agree. Heavy rain and consequent overwhelmed drainage and sewage systems. Sea level rise and high winds. Delays in green transition due to short term costs, though these will be recovered in reduced costs in the future. Current funding system exacerbates this. I agree. Engaging with farmers and environment agency around shared goals. Similarly look for common ground with large local groups, such as Kent Wildlife Trust. Sandgate Environmental Action - https://sandgatesociety.com/sandgate-environmental-action-sea/ I agree No. Develop a single integrated source of locally informed info and guidance. People do less because they are confused and don't know where to go for reliable advice and guidance. Yes Yes No Both Yes No. I'm not aware of anything specific but the Climate Change Committee have some evaluation and monitoring tools and no doubt</p>	<p>Thank you for your detailed feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. We appreciate your support for the plan and your insights on the importance of education, guidance, leadership, and support from government to make significant impacts.</p> <p>Your concerns about heavy rain overwhelming drainage systems, sea level rise, and potential delays in green transition due to short-term costs are important insights. The issue of sewage discharges was also strongly reflected in feedback on our draft Corporate Plan, 'Our district, our world', and we have committed in the Corporate Plan to use our influence with water companies to reduce river and coastal pollution. We appreciate your suggestions for engaging with farmers, environmental agencies, and local groups to find shared goals.</p> <p>We'll consider your idea of developing a single integrated source of locally informed information and guidance to help reduce confusion. Your recommendation to look into the Climate Change Committee's evaluation and monitoring tools is helpful and will be explored as we develop our monitoring and evaluation program.</p> <p>We'll work to incorporate these ideas, especially regarding education, engagement with various stakeholders, and providing clear guidance, as we</p>

	<p>some councils will already be developing monitoring and evaluation programmes. e.g. https://www.theccc.org.uk/publication/ccc-monitoring-framework/#introduction</p>	<p>refine the plan to better address community needs and priorities.</p>
93.	<p>Yes. Only if the problem if tackled by everyone, it has to be a joint effort by the whole community. Yes. If public transport was greatly improved I would consider using my car a lot less. I support some of the actions but not others 2040 5 (very important) 2 4 3 1 (not at all important) Yes Yes. I agree with some but not others. - I agree I agree with some but not others No Yes No No Both Yes No</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. We appreciate your emphasis on the need for collective action by the whole community to address climate change. Your willingness to use your car less if public transport was greatly improved is noted. We will continue to work with partner organisations including Kent County Council (the local transport authority), bus and rail operators and Highways England, to improve public transport and cycling and walking infrastructure. Your partial support for the plan and the 2040 target date is valuable. We'll consider your input, particularly regarding improving public transport options, as we refine the plan to better address community needs and priorities and encourage broader community participation in climate action efforts.</p>

94. I no longer fly. Every time I go to Continental Europe I probably pay three times the financial cost of flying. Perhaps that's offset by the saving from getting rid of my car a few years ago. Yes. Let me stress that anything an individual does is miniscule - as you point out in your carbon plan, the total emissions of the Council are minor. The three main things I've done are to stop flying, to stop driving and to improve the insulation of my home. (I've been a vegetarian for many years.) Yes, if everyone did that, then emissions would drop dramatically. But not everyone can afford the first and third of these and any expert on transport will tell you that people tend to drive unless and until governments bring in enough disincentives (e.g. in London many new developments aren't allowed to provide any parking). In the end, it's up to governments to provide the leadership required. Moreover, this has to be an international effort (if the UK stopped causing any carbon emissions, this would reduce the total by about 1%. The biggest reductions need to come from China, USA and India. (One reason the UK's is relatively low is that we outsource the emissions, having closed most of the industry. The national government crows about progress in recent years. In fact, it had to do very little because there were big reductions in the capacity of the country's steel industry. Finally, I do worry that everything I do as an individual is little more than virtue signalling. Yes. In the answer above, I've explained that I don't drive anymore, don't fly anymore, don't eat meat and have spent a lot on improving the insulation of my house. I keep the thermostat low. All the same, I'd be willing to do more. I support some of the actions but not others. I welcome the plan. All the same, it's vague and lacks any targets. Moreover, I think it could be more ambitious and innovative. For example, an ideal way to heat my house would be through drilling a borehole. But I could never afford to. Even if I got together with my neighbours, we still couldn't afford to. Nor could the Council. However, you write about partnerships. So how about starting one and drilling a pilot which would serve many hundreds of homes? That could be replicated and then we'd be on the map as pioneers. (The main reason it doesn't happen is because we obsess with individual unit.) In terms of transport, I'd like to see much better provision for cycling and walking. It's possible to put in cycle lanes separated from traffic by tall, skinning, permanent bollards as one now sees all over London. Moving to electric vehicles fails to take account of the carbon used in manufacturing them, especially the batteries. Forget off-setting - it's very questionable indeed. That's why it's important to go for zero, not net zero. Finally, it's an emergency. World emissions are still going up. Your plan needs to reflect the fact that we have to change NOW. 2030 5 (very important) 4 3 1 (not at all important) 2 Yes. You could promote a healthy, 'green' lifestyle as being fun, which it is. Instead of the message being 'you shouldn't do e,y,s' make it that 'x,y,s' is a great way to live. You might want to link up with a community in another country which has gone much further along this road, possibly in Denmark, or even one nearer to home, if it exists. Education. If you want people to make changes, they need to have greater knowledge and understanding. It's true that many people who learn about the implications of 1.5 degrees, let alone overshooting this, end up taking direct action, so helpless do they feel. But if people understood the extent of this existential threat and that it is happening now and will only get worse, perhaps they would take action. But let me stress again, they need leadership. No. I agree. Rising sea levels - those 'baked in' because of the carbon already in the atmosphere and those that will happen because of a global failure to even reduce emissions. The glaciers in the Himalayas feed the great rivers of Pakistan, India and China. when they've melted, where will these enormous populations go? The countries with the highest population growth are in sub-Saharan Africa. The experts think that with climate change, they'll be unable to feed themselves as well. Where will they go? No matter what we do as individuals or as a community, it's a global problem from which there's no escape. I agree. Any more to active transportation requires both carrot and stick. Those local authorities which have been brave enough to introduce wide-scale pedestrianisation schemes have always gone through the same story. First, anger

Thank you for your detailed and thoughtful feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan.

We acknowledge your support for the plan while noting your concerns about its vagueness and lack of specific targets. Your suggestion for more ambitious and innovative approaches, such as community-scale geothermal heating projects, is an interesting idea we'll consider. We've noted your emphasis on improving cycling and walking infrastructure, and your scepticism about electric vehicles and carbon offsetting.

Your point about the urgency of climate action and the need to reflect this in our plan is well-taken.

Your concerns about global climate impacts, including sea level rise and population displacement, highlight the interconnected nature of these challenges. We've noted your suggestions for active transportation improvements, including separated bike lanes and pedestrianisation schemes. We will continue to work with partner organisations including Kent County Council (the local transport authority), bus and rail operators and Highways England, to improve public transport and cycling and walking infrastructure in the district.

We'll work to incorporate these ideas, especially regarding innovative community energy projects, improved active transport infrastructure, and addressing the urgency of climate action, as we develop more targeted climate strategies for the district.

and extensive opposition. After a few months, this is replaced by people appreciating it. After a year or two it's loved and it would be unthinkable to ever allow road traffic there again. You need to be brave. I'm unaware. I agree Yes. I've mentioned them in a previous section. There needs to be an extensive network of bicycle lanes, separated from road traffic so that it can't enter or park there. Evidence proves that once this is in place there's a vast uptake in cycling. There need to be shelters at all bus stops. There needs to be training for heating engineers to learn how to heat without gas. Since heat pumps require a high level of insulation and new radiators installed (costing many thousands of pounds), there is an urgent need find an alternative. The best is to drill boreholes. You need to partner with a visionary company and drill a pilot to serve thousands of homes. The sewage pumping station at Sunny Sands, Folkestone is crying out for a barrage of solar panels. Action is required to revive Folkestone town centre which means some way off discouraging the use of retail parks, such as Park Farm. You can have all the facilities for active transport but if the only place to buy what you want is on the periphery, people will still drive. You need to be brave and radical. People will howl in protest but come round to loving the changes. Yes Yes No. It's always possible that something might come about after the next general election - but don't hold your breath! Both Yes Yes. Contact Ethical Consumer Magazine for advice. They would know - or know who would know.

95.	<p>Yes. Save household energy usage (lower thermostat, dress warmly, etc.), walk or cycle short distances. Yes. I already do as much as I can, we have had solar panels and a thermal water heating system for over 10 years. Although I support any efforts by any organisation/individual, the document itself is the usual nondescript corporate waffle. 2050 3 5 (very important) 4 2 1 (not at all important) Yes. Carbon absorption is a myth, the technology is nowhere near ready, the costs are astronomic and results are negligible. Concentrate on the achievable; solar installations on all suitable properties and civic areas such as open air car parks. Insulation for domestic properties, air pump installations, etc. No. I agree. - I agree I agree Yes. See previous comments. Yes Yes No Climate mitigation No No</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. We appreciate your personal efforts to save household energy and use active transport for short distances. Your long-term commitment to renewable energy with solar panels and thermal water heating is commendable. We understand your scepticism about the document's corporate language and will work to make future communications clearer and more actionable.</p> <p>We've noted your support for the 2050 target date and your prioritisation of Waste and Water as most important. Your concerns about carbon absorption technology are valuable insights. We'll consider your suggestions to focus on achievable solutions like widespread solar installations, domestic insulation, and air pump installations as we refine the plan.</p>
96.	<p>Flood risk insurance is very difficult to obtain for our post code. Yes Yes I support the plan. 2040 3 1 (not at all important) 2 4 5 (very important) Yes. I found it very difficult to prioritise the five pillars. All need to be done simultaneously to efficiently tackle climate change and maximise the effects of the changes made. I would like to emphasise that building of new homes should be controlled so that they are energy efficient incorporating solar panel roofs and all other available technological advances. There should also be a minimal levels of green space around the houses with restrictions on the amount of paved areas. Yes. I agree. Flood risk is a major problem for the town of Hythe. Sea defences need to be continually maintained and improved. Also, green spaces should be left undeveloped to ensure that the increasingly heavy rains that we receive are absorbed naturally back into the land rather than putting the sewage system under stress. I agree I agree No Yes Yes No Both Yes No</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. We appreciate your support for the plan and the 2040 target date. We understand your difficulty in prioritising the five pillars and your emphasis on their interconnected nature in tackling climate change effectively. Your concerns about flood risk and insurance difficulties in your area are noted.</p> <p>We've taken note of your suggestions regarding new home construction, including energy efficiency measures, solar panel installation, and preserving green spaces. Your emphasis on the importance of flood risk management, particularly for Hythe, is valuable input. We'll consider your points about maintaining and improving sea defences, as well as preserving green spaces to help manage increased rainfall and reduce stress on sewage systems. The issue of sewage discharges was also strongly reflected in feedback on our draft Corporate Plan, 'Our district, our world', and we have committed in the Corporate Plan to use our influence with water companies to reduce river and coastal pollution.</p> <p>We'll consider your input, particularly regarding sustainable housing development and flood risk management, as we refine the plan to better address community needs and priorities in our climate action strategies. Standards for new development will also</p>

		be set out in the council's new local plan and design codes, which will be subject to public engagement before they are finalised.
97.	<p>Yes Yes. We have already, at great expense, installed air source heating, rainwater harvester, solar thermal and photovoltaic panels and a biodisk sewage system. Planning to change to electric cars, cycle when possible, recycle as much as possible. I support the plan. 2030 5 (very important) 4 3 2 1 (not at all important) Yes. All the above are important. It's not very helpful to only have the option to grade one of them as very important in this survey. Yes. I agree. Flooding, excessively hot and dry summers, water availability. I agree I agree No Yes No No Both Yes No</p>	<p>Thank you for your detailed feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan.</p> <p>While you're not currently aware of specific local projects, your willingness to receive updates about the plan is appreciated. We'll consider your input, particularly regarding the importance of all pillars and the specific climate risks you've identified, as we refine the plan to better address community needs and priorities. Your preference for both climate mitigation and adaptation approaches aligns well with our comprehensive strategy outlined in the draft plan.</p>
98.	<p>I have installed solar panels and bought an electric car Yes. Recycling is something individuals can do as well as avoiding wasting resources e.g. turning off unnecessary lighting. Yes. I have already installed solar panels and bought an electric car. I am open to other changes in my personal lifestyle. I support the plan. I am in general agreement with the outline plan. 2040 5 (very important) 1 (not at all important) 4 3 2 Yes. Nothing more occurs to me at the moment. As 6 above Yes. I agree. People need to be educated and persuaded about the importance of climate change. I agree. None. None I agree No Yes No No Both Yes No</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. We appreciate your personal efforts to reduce carbon emissions, including installing solar panels and purchasing an electric car. Your commitment to recycling and avoiding waste is commendable. Your support for the plan and general agreement with its outline is noted.</p> <p>We'll work to incorporate these ideas, especially regarding public education on climate change and promoting sustainable technologies, as we develop more targeted climate actions for the district. The council's Sustainable Futures Forum has proved a successful way to involve the community in climate change projects, and we will explore opportunities to expand this further.</p> <p>Your preference for both climate mitigation and adaptation approaches is noted and will be reflected in our comprehensive strategy.</p>

99.	<p>Suffering severe stress, and lack of sleep Yes. Individuals can take action to adopt a more sustainable lifestyle, but ultimately government must take the lead. Yes. Any that are affordable and achievable. I support the plan. I do not think the plan goes far enough and needs to be more ambitious. 2030 4 5 (very important) 1 (not at all important) 2 3 Yes. I would like to see an improvement in the provision of public transport, establishment of new woodlands and wetlands. Yes. I agree. Coastal and inland flooding due to climate change and rising sea levels. I agree no I agree No Yes Yes No Both Yes No</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. We appreciate your support for individual action while emphasising the need for government leadership in sustainability efforts.</p> <p>Your suggestions for improving public transport and establishing new woodlands and wetlands are valuable inputs. We understand your concerns about coastal and inland flooding due to climate change and rising sea levels. These align well with the climate risks identified in our Climate Risk and Vulnerability Assessment.</p>
100.	<p>N/A Yes. Using less gas central heating at home or wood burners or change to renewables such as heat pumps. Driving less. Try to buy locally sourced goods. Yes. I would like to switch my gas central heating to a heat pump but this would be very expensive. - 2040 4 5 (very important) 3 2 1 (not at all important) Yes Yes. . As we are a coastal area, if sea levels rise this could seriously affect the quality of life here or even make parts of the area uninhabitable. I agree No Yes No No Both -</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan.</p> <p>Your concerns about flooding and the impact of climate change on coastal areas are important insights. We understand your desire for a more ambitious plan that includes improved public transport and the establishment of new woodlands and wetlands.</p> <p>We'll consider your input on enhancing public transport and preserving green spaces as we refine the plan to better meet community needs and priorities. Your emphasis on education and community involvement will also be reflected in our strategies moving forward.</p>
101.	<p>Yes. Fuel use. Yes. Electric / hybrid car. I support some of the actions but not others. The fact that for this survey I had to find the plan myself, no relevant literature with wasteful pack posted to me 2050 5 (very important) 1 (not at all important) 2 4 3 Yes No. I agree with some but not others. Building sites on sites below water table, i.e. most of Ashford. I agree with some but not others Not enough information provided I agree No Yes No Yes Both No No</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. We appreciate your efforts to reduce fuel use and your adoption of an electric/hybrid car. Your partial support for the plan and preference for the 2050 target date is noted.</p> <p>We understand your concern about the survey distribution and accessibility of the plan. We'll consider improving our communication methods for future consultations. The council's Sustainable Futures Forum has proved a successful way to involve the community in climate change projects, and we will explore opportunities to expand this further.</p> <p>Your point about building on sites below the water table, particularly in Ashford, is an important</p>

		<p>consideration for flood risk management. Future developments in Folkestone & Hythe district will be guided by the council's new local plan recognising, however, that the council is required to meet housing targets set by government to address the national housing crisis, within the recognised environmental constraints of the district. We'll consider your input, particularly regarding sustainable transportation options and flood risk management, as we refine the plan to better address community needs and priorities.</p>
102.	<p>non Yes. We can respond to questionnaires like this. Undecided I support some of the actions but not others. This survey is open to abuse, there is no limit to the number of times one can fill it in 2050 3 1 (not at all important) 4 2 5 (very important) No. House grants for insulation. No. I agree with some but not others. - I agree with some but not others I agree with some but not others Yes. Help with household insulation and energy efficiency. Yes No No Climate adaptation No No</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan.</p> <p>We've noted your preference for the 2050 target date and your prioritisation of the pillars, with Carbon Absorption and Biodiversity as most important. Your suggestion for house grants for insulation is a valuable input. We understand you agree with some aspects of the plan's approach to challenges, risks, and opportunities, but not all.</p> <p>Your emphasis on household insulation and energy efficiency assistance is noted. We'll consider your input, particularly regarding support for home energy improvements, as we refine the plan to better address community needs and priorities.</p>

103.	<p>Yes. Some will need incentives to participate. Yes. Improving home insulation. This assumes that the carbon involved in insulation production can be fully offset in a reasonable timeframe. Increasing tree and shrub planting to improve absorption of carbon from the atmosphere. I support some of the actions but not others. The plan seems too broad brush and vague, lacking in specific actions to be undertaken in our community. Certain aspects will lead to significant increased costs for households whether due to specific actions required, increased taxation or through policy decisions. 2030 5 (very important) 4 2 3 1 (not at all important) Yes. To achieve the 2030 target date the plan will need to identify areas for easily attainable "quick wins". No. I agree with some but not others. Coastal erosion. I agree with some but not others. No. No. One that should be considered is climate impacts within major planning applications and requiring developers to plan for proper mitigation. I agree with some but not others Yes. Increase tree planting of appropriate species within areas capable of absorbing them, e.g. wide verges (May be a County Council issue?). Replacing trees felled by wind and other such events. No No Yes. Invite local residents to purchase trees for planting in their neighbourhood or even within the curtilage of the property. Price may need to be subsidised. Both Yes No</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. We appreciate your recognition of the need for incentives to encourage participation in sustainability efforts, as well as your support for improving home insulation and increasing tree planting. Your concerns about the plan being too broad and vague, along with the potential costs to households, are important considerations that we will address. We understand your preference for a 2030 target date and the need for identifying "quick wins" to achieve this. Additionally, your suggestions regarding climate impacts in planning applications and community tree planting initiatives will be taken into account. Design and environmental standards for new development will also be set out in the council's new local plan and design codes, which will be subject to public engagement before they are finalised.</p> <p>We will look into these points as we refine the plan to ensure it is more actionable and aligned with community needs.</p>
------	--	---

104.	<p>Yes. A very minimal role at common sense level as large corporate entities dictate most of our options. Yes. I already do consider this in many everyday ways such as avoiding wastage at all costs, recycling and repairing many household items. I do not support the obsession with battery power or the mining of the rare and toxic precious metals needed. Effectively covering much of the planet with lithium batteries seems very reckless to me. I believe hydrogen is where the investment is most needed and when that source is fully unlocked I can imagine mountains of discarded lithium batteries across the globe. 2040 2 3 4 1 (not at all important) 5 (very important) Yes. As above re. hydrogen over batteries. Compulsory green measures incorporated into all new builds such as solar panels and full insulation etc. Yes No. I agree with some but not others. Getting people to agree. I agree with some but not others I agree with some but not others Yes. Tell all very low mileage drivers to keep their old cars going as long as possible and hopefully avoid the CO emissions involved in the manufacturing processes of supplying a new car of any fuel type. No No No Both Yes No</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. We appreciate your perspective on the role of large corporate entities and your personal efforts to reduce waste and recycle. Your concerns about battery power and preference for hydrogen technology are noted. We understand your support for the 2040 target date and your prioritisation of Carbon Absorption and Biodiversity as most important. We will consider your input, particularly regarding alternative energy technologies and sustainable building practices, as we refine the plan to better address community needs and priorities. Standards for new development will also be set out in the council's new local plan and design codes, which will be subject to public engagement before they are finalised.</p>
------	--	--

105.	<p>Mental well being has been negatively impacted. e.g. climate anxiety and worries for global stability in the future Yes Yes. Less use of tumble dryer in the warmer temps. Avoid use of planes for holiday travel - use international train station reduce water waste from toilet flushing. I support some of the actions but not others. Transportation 34% of emissions- the details of car use individually and for businesses such as taxis seems short-sighted. Hybrid cars are emission exempt during MOTS hence calculating emission reduction by using hybrid cars for businesses and general members of the public will not be accurate. The plan does not support or emphasises the need and want for public transport. Additionally, more details on improved cycling infrastructure needs to be included to reassure residents of the F&H council that safety of cyclists and road users is going to improve. Furthermore the use of electric cars reducing emissions is only localised to where they are being used. The emissions and extreme impacts of mining for rare earth metals to create electric cars is equally as environmentally damaging as mining and using fossils fuels. The focus needs to be driving reduction of use of vehicles rather than using other damaging alternatives. I support the aim the enhance walking and cycling infrastructure and improve public transport. 2030 5 (very important) 4 3 2 1 (not at all important) Yes - as response to question 5 - all the pillars are equally very important and ranking them to result in giving an answer of not all important is unacceptable. please assume my answers to question 5 as all pillars ranked as very important. Inorder to reduce carbon emission all 5 pillars needs to invested in to create an optimum effort to reduce carbon emission. Carbon absorption and biodiversity is very important as peat bogs and wetlands have the highest carbon sequestration and much greater than planting tress. Equally, the consumption of transportation must be reduced. All 5 pillars must be actioned however, a 'less important' pillar is going to be selected from the pool of questionnaires which will result in the council not meeting targets to become "net zero" no No. I agree. Heat waves, extreme rainfall, unnatural seasons. I agree. I think the council have used examples that most councils in the UK have made a targets/ aims and goals - reducing municipal waste - monitoring emissions of harbours / ports. -planet patrol - 2 minute beach clean I agree with some but not others Yes Yes Yes No. This should be funded by taxes and the government. Both Yes No</p>	<p>Thank you for your detailed feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. We appreciate your concerns about climate anxiety and your efforts to reduce energy and water usage. Your support for improved cycling infrastructure and public transport is noted. We understand your reservations about electric vehicles and the need to focus on overall vehicle use reduction. We will continue to work with partner organisations including Kent County Council (the local transport authority), bus and rail operators and Highways England, to explore public transport and cycling and walking improvements in the district.</p> <p>Your point about the importance of all five pillars being equally critical is well-taken, and we'll consider how to better reflect this in future surveys. Your preference for both climate mitigation and adaptation approaches, funded through taxes and government support, is noted. We will take these points into consideration as we refine the plan to better address community needs and priorities, particularly regarding sustainable transportation options and comprehensive emissions reduction strategies. We will continue to work with partner organisations including Kent County Council (the local transport authority), bus and rail operators and Highways England, to improve public transport and cycling and walking infrastructure.</p>
------	---	---

106.	<p>Yes. The actions of individuals may feel insignificant, but when these are considered collectively and as a whole, the contribution starts to become more significant. If everybody waits for somebody else to act or regards climate change as someone else's problem (e.g. the Government), then progress is likely to be slowed. Individuals need to be helped to feel involved and that they can contribute, although not made to feel to blame. The bigger picture is well outside the control of the normal individual, although they can do their bit and it does matter that they do. An example would be residential gardens. There may be just one or two individuals in an area who are known for their efforts in producing gardens that would be regarded as sustainable and helping the fight against climate change. However, if everybody in that area made an effort, to the degree that they are able, to create a proper garden, with grass, soil, plants, scrubs, trees (where room permits and it is sensible) and so forth, then the collective impact starts to matter and everybody benefits, including wildlife. Every little counts, when it is put together with everybody else's efforts. Yes. Changes that are realistically achievable and affordable and which make clear sense for an individual person who is now well into retirement and largely dependent upon a fixed income. As such individuals become less able and less mobile and as they start to think about the likes of potential excessive care costs that may be ahead, changes that actually make their own lives more difficult or which absorb significant sums of money that might be needed to ensure survival are less likely to happen. The current financial climate is without doubt going to result in individuals holding back on more costly changes. The main aspects where individuals can help make a difference would seem to be in transportation, residential and waste recycling. A move towards electric vehicles, as they become more affordable and the charging facilities improve, would be considered by many. Changes to the likes of heat pumps and solar panels are worthy of consideration, but often out of reach and not making sense from a cost point of view. Creation of a 'live' garden could be an affordable option, with less solid concrete and slabs and more 'green'. - - - I agree. Transportation - conversion to electric or other cleaner energy sources and charging (new, not reallocated car park spaces) or refueling facilities to support this. Related costs and practicality for many in the community are a challenge. Residential - changes needed to homes, but there is a consequential impact upon housing costs and methods of construction. Many of the changes are out of reach for the normal person in terms of cost. A major challenge at present is the issues being caused by the cost of living crisis and inflation. Climate change often comes at a cost, which the normal householder is unable or at present unwilling to fund. For individuals to be concentrating upon climate change, there needs to be improved feelings of stability and financial strength for individuals. Householders are seeing all the publicity surrounding wind farms and other cheaper or cleaner successes, but at the same time their own energy and other costs increase. Confidence that changes are going to bring rewards perhaps needs encouragement at present. There needs to be confidence that individuals are not facing another natural gas or diesel situation - everybody must have it and when they have, we find we are destroying the world. I agree. Nothing obvious. None I agree No Yes No No Both Yes No</p>	<p>Thank you for your thoughtful and detailed feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. We appreciate your perspective on the importance of collective individual actions in addressing climate change, particularly your example of sustainable residential gardens. Your insights on the challenges faced by retirees and those on fixed incomes in making costly changes are valuable. We understand your emphasis on transportation, residential improvements, and waste recycling as key areas where individuals can make a difference.</p> <p>We've noted your concerns about the affordability of some green technologies like heat pumps and solar panels, as well as the impact of the current financial climate on people's ability to invest in climate-friendly changes. Your points about the need for improved electric vehicle charging infrastructure and the challenges of residential energy upgrades are well-taken. We acknowledge your agreement with the identified challenges, particularly regarding transportation and residential sectors, and the need for increased confidence in the benefits of climate action. We will continue to work with partner organisations including Kent County Council (the local transport authority), bus and rail operators and Highways England, to improve public transport and cycling and walking infrastructure. We will consider your input as we refine the plan.</p>
------	---	---

107.	<p>Financially - I pay a lot for home insurance and this is probably connected with climate change. Yes. By being more conscious of and careful with various resources, e.g. fuels, plastics. Yes. Turning down the central heating thermostat, selecting goods the do not use plastic packaging, buying locally sourced products. I support the plan. 2050 4 5 (very important) 3 2 1 (not at all important) Yes Yes. I agree. Sea level rise. Climate change. Water and land pollution. I agree. Installation of solar hot water heating panels on all new build residential housing, grants to enable residents of existing properties to install the same. I agree Yes. Insist builders of new properties install solar hot water heating panels (not photo-voltaic) on all new builders and set-up a grant scheme to help owners of existing properties to install the same. Yes Yes Yes. I had a grant for a loft insulation top-up and couple of years ago but I think this has finished now. Both Yes -</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. Your concerns about sea level rise, climate change, and pollution are important insights. The issue of water pollution was also strongly reflected in feedback on our draft Corporate Plan, 'Our district, our world', and we have committed in the Corporate Plan to use our influence with water companies to reduce river and coastal pollution.</p> <p>We appreciate your suggestion for mandatory solar hot water heating panels on new builds and grants for existing properties. Your experience with a past loft insulation grant is valuable information.</p> <p>We'll consider your input, particularly regarding solar panel requirements for new construction and potential grant schemes for existing homes, as we refine the plan to better address community needs and priorities. Environmental standards for new development will also be set out in the council's new local plan and design codes, which will be subject to public engagement before they are finalised.</p>
108.	<p>Yes - I support the plan 2040 5 (very important) 4 3 2 1 (not at all important) Yes Yes. I agree. Flooding. I agree I agree Yes Yes No Both Yes No</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. We appreciate your support for the plan and preference for the 2040 target date. Thank you for your engagement in this important process.</p>
109.	<p>Well being - worry over extreme weather events, winds and flooding. Yes. If they are made aware of what simple and cost effective measures they can make. Yes I support the plan. Offsetting - I do not think this is possible or realistic - reduction is the answer. 2040 1 (not at all important) Yes. Seems comprehensive to me. Not with my current knowledge on the topic. Yes. I agree with some but not others. Flooding. I agree with some but not others. Restrict house building - even the most efficient house creates more CO2. Not aware of any until getting documents - better communication required. I agree No Yes No No Both Yes No</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. We appreciate your concerns about extreme weather events, winds, and flooding affecting well-being. Your support for simple and cost-effective measures that individuals can take is noted. We understand your scepticism about carbon offsetting and preference for emissions reduction.</p> <p>We've noted your preference for the 2040 target date and your view on the comprehensiveness of the plan. Your concern about flooding as a key risk is important. We appreciate your suggestion to restrict house building to reduce CO2 emissions, and your point about the need for better communication of local initiatives. New development will be guided by the council's new local plan recognising, however, that the council is required to meet housing targets</p>

		set by government to address the national housing crisis, within the recognised environmental constraints of the district. We'll consider your input, particularly regarding flood risk management, sustainable housing development, and improved communication strategies, as we refine the plan to better address community needs and priorities.
110.	Yes Undecided I support the plan 2050 3 Yes Yes. I agree with some but not others. - I agree I agree No Yes No No Both Yes No	Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. We appreciate your support for the plan and preference for the 2050 target date. Thank you for your engagement in this important process.
111.	Yes Yes I support the plan 2040 3 Yes Yes. I agree. - I agree I agree No Yes No No Both Yes No	Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. We appreciate your support for the plan and preference for the 2040 target date. Thank you for your engagement in this important process.

112.	<p>Yes. Minimise energy consumption. Yes. Installation of zero carbon heating - must be at acceptable cost. I support the plan 2030 1 (not at all important) 5 (very important) 2 3 4 Yes. Proper subsidy of sustainable low carbon energy for residential properties. Recovery of energy from waste products - especially plastics. Yes. I agree. Energy consumption. I agree. Ground source heat pumps - possibly on a 'grouped residences' basis. Waste management. I agree Waste management. Yes No No Climate mitigation Yes No</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. Your suggestions for proper subsidies for sustainable low carbon energy in residential properties and energy recovery from waste products, especially plastics, are valuable inputs. We understand your concern about energy consumption as a key challenge. Your ideas about ground source heat pumps on a 'grouped residences' basis and improved waste management are noted.</p> <p>Environmental standards for new development will also be set out in the council's new local plan and design codes, which will be subject to public engagement before they are finalised. We'll consider your input, particularly regarding sustainable energy solutions and waste management strategies, as we refine the plan to better address community needs and priorities.</p>
113.	<p>No. We're up against big business in US, China and EU that are all profit driven, with only a light coat of greenwash. Yes. Wear a wooly hat in winter. I support some of the actions but not others. At 0.38% to me you're a bit like King Canute. 2050 3 4 5 (very important) 1 (not at all important) 2 Yes Yes. I agree with some but not others. The potential rise in sea levels. Sudden and dramatic change in climate (rain sun). I agree with some but not others. Establish 'toll roads' for EU bound transport that are not bio or dual fuel trucks. I agree with some but not others No Yes No No Both Yes No</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. We understand your view that the council's direct emissions (0.38% of district total) may seem small in comparison to larger entities.</p> <p>Your concerns about potential sea level rise and sudden climate changes are important insights. We appreciate your suggestion for establishing 'toll roads' for non-bio or dual fuel EU-bound trucks. We'll consider your input, particularly regarding sea level rise adaptation and potential transportation policies, as we refine the plan to better address community needs and priorities. We will continue to work with partner organisations including Kent County Council (the local transport authority), bus and rail operators and Highways England, to improve public transport and cycling and walking infrastructure.</p> <p>Your preference for both climate mitigation and adaptation approaches will be reflected in our comprehensive strategy.</p>

114.	Yes. To act individually would be the only way to help the worst problems of climate change "to be in it all together". Yes. Less of "throw away society". Make more use of what we have. Economise with car use - better public transport to make that attractive to change the over use of private transport. I support the plan 2040 Yes Yes. I agree with some but not others. Higher temperatures. Flooding. I agree I agree No Yes No No Both Yes No	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. We appreciate your emphasis on individual action and reducing waste to address climate change. Your suggestions for economising car use and improving public transport to reduce private vehicle usage are valuable inputs. We will continue to work with partner organisations including Kent County Council (the local transport authority), bus and rail operators and Highways England, to improve public transport and cycling and walking infrastructure.</p> <p>We've noted your support for the plan and preference for the 2040 target date.</p>
115.	Yes Yes I support the plan 2040 Yes Yes. I agree. - I agree I agree No Yes No No Both Yes No	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. We appreciate your support for the plan and preference for the 2040 target date. Thank you for your engagement in this important process.</p>
116.	Property damage and subsequent costs. Yes Yes. Already doing so. I support the plan 2040 1 (not at all important) 2 4 3 5 (very important) Yes Yes. I agree. - I agree I agree with some but not others No Yes No Both -	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. We appreciate your support for the plan and preference for the 2040 target date. Thank you for your engagement in this important process.</p>
117.	Yes. Using less plastic / petrol / electricity. Yes. Installing cavity wall - solar panels. I support the plan 2040 2 1 (not at all important) 4 5 (very important) 3 - Yes. I agree. Waste - water. I agree I agree No Yes No No Both Yes No	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. We appreciate your support for the plan and preference for the 2040 target date. Thank you for your engagement in this important process.</p>
118.	Yes. Each person has a role to play. Yes. Home improvements. I support the plan 2040 Yes Yes. I agree. Water rise. I agree I agree No Yes Yes No Both Yes Yes	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. We appreciate your support for the plan and preference for the 2040 target date. Thank you for your engagement in this important process.</p>

119.	<p>Yes. Many people could travel by public transport if this mode of transport was reliable, co-ordinated and financially attractive. Undecided I support some of the actions but not others 2050 3 4 2 1 (not at all important) 5 (very important) Yes Yes. I agree with some but not others. Extreme weather events. I agree with some but not others I agree with some but not others Yes No No Both Yes No</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. We appreciate your emphasis on the potential for increased public transport usage if it were more reliable, coordinated, and financially attractive. We will continue to work with partner organisations including Kent County Council (the local transport authority), bus and rail operators and Highways England, to improve public transport and cycling and walking infrastructure.</p> <p>Your partial support for some actions in the plan is noted. We've taken note of your preference for the 2050 target date and your prioritisation of the pillars, with Carbon Absorption and Biodiversity as most important.</p>
120.	<p>Climate change is a natural occurrence. You should read the NASA report and look through history - you cannot pretend you are King Canute! No. Of course not - nothing we do will make any difference. No I don't support the plan. None of it. Waste of money. The earth has its own agenda and nothing we do will make a difference - if anything we will harm the planet! No - taking CO2 out of the atmosphere will only cause the atmosphere to become more volatile (CO2 is a fire suppressant - hence used in fire extinguishers). No. I do not agree. So called environmentalists playing at King Canute. Why do they have to lie to the people to get everyone to conform to their puritan beliefs? I do not agree. Stop trying to interfere with something you cannot change and spend the money on something worthwhile such as getting homeless Brits off the streets (i.e. living rough). Not interested in this propaganda. How can anyone believe Extinction Rebellion and their extremists, when they state "the solution to climate change to me whilst using psycodelic drugs at our retreat". Yes - what a waste of time and money (as is this survey). I do not agree Yes. Stop playing at King Canute! You cannot control the climate or weather. No No No No No</p>	<p>Thank you for sharing your thoughts on climate change and the District Wide Carbon Plan. While we understand your perspective, scientific consensus indicates that climate change is significantly influenced by human activities. Numerous studies, including those from reputable organisations like NASA, demonstrate the urgent need for action to mitigate its impacts. We believe that collective efforts, no matter how small, can lead to meaningful change and help us address the challenges ahead. The great majority (almost 87%) of people who responded to the consultation on the District Wide Carbon Plan supported the proposed actions set out in the plan or put forward additional suggestions of their own. We appreciate your engagement in this discussion and encourage continued dialogue on this critical issue.</p>
121.	<p>Yes. Reducing waste of all sorts. Undecided I support the plan 2040 3 4 Yes Yes. I agree. Flooding. Consequence of storm damage in rural areas. I agree I agree No Yes No No Both Yes No</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. We appreciate your support for the plan and preference for the 2040 target date. Thank you for your engagement in this important process.</p>
122.	<p>Yes Yes I support the plan 2030 No. Slow the rate of population growth. Source food locally. No. I agree with some but not others. - I agree with some but not others I agree with some but not others Yes Yes No Both No No</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. We appreciate your support for the plan and preference for the 2030 target date. Your idea to source food locally has been noted. Thank you for your engagement in this important process.</p>

123.	<p>Worrying that we are getting more deluges of rain that infrastructure cannot cope with. Travelling locally becomes a trauma as those working need to find another route and I am in their way - aggressive driving as everything is based on time and money. Yes. By spreading the correctly worded messages from the council no matter what party elected for the good of us all - we all live here like it or not. Yes - recycling in a better way than present. Up your game. Electrical goods - reusing - repurposing equipment / goods. Understanding the challenges and promoting them locally to those groups already paving the way by allowing them to attend council - seeing the wider picture towards London and East Sussex and Surrey borders. I support the plan. Building properties on beaches or sea flooding low land - non farming land is crazy - too late all ready happened - watch the damage you have caused. 2050 2 5 (very important) 1 (not at all important) 4 3 Yes. Building new properties fit for purpose - solar tiles / community wind farms for rural situation. Ask the locals what they want and listen. Residential help to get local tradespeople by training to advise reality cost effectiveness of reducing carbon emission. Not as a package one way or not at all - add ons to earlier schemes. Understanding how old solar panels can have safe battery connection. Developing areas of excellence - Romney Marsh engage and grow businesses. Yes. I agree with some but not others. Sea inundation rising sea levels - flooding deciding what to protect and what to abandon to nature. Continued building on gravel sites and beaches risks. So gravel pit / lakeside properties flood from rain collected from hills and drain and sea storms / low air pressure / high tides / wind direction will cause future problems to new build owners. I agree. Adjusting planning permissions on low lying ground / flood prevention in extreme weather conditions. Maintain drainage areas of land by using reeds to filter / using cattle the right breed, to graze and protect land by filtration / beavers to build dams to protect bio diversity of land - the old fashioned way! Electrical Recycling, Hythe Open Door, 101 High Street, Hythe - Saturdays only. 10-4pm Hythe Environment Community. Thoughtful food group @gmail.com and instagram@thoughtfulfoodgroup - community allotments via Lyminge Newsletter sent to all residents by hand. Editor I agree No Yes Yes No Both Yes No</p>	<p>Names and Addresses Redacted - Thank you for your detailed feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. We understand your concerns about the increasing frequency of heavy rainfall and the resulting strain on infrastructure, as well as the challenges of aggressive driving due to congestion. Your support for spreading well-crafted messages from the council and enhancing recycling efforts is appreciated. The council's Sustainable Futures Forum has proved a successful way to involve the community in climate change projects, and we will explore opportunities to expand this further.</p> <p>We acknowledge your support for the plan, particularly your emphasis on building properties that are fit for purpose with sustainable features like solar tiles and community wind farms. Environmental standards for new development will also be set out in the council's new local plan and design codes, which will be subject to public engagement before they are finalised. Your concerns about sea level rise, flooding, and the risks associated with building on low-lying or gravel sites are important insights. We also recognise your suggestions for using natural methods, such as reeds and beavers, to maintain drainage areas and protect biodiversity. Your input on engaging local communities and businesses, like those in Romney Marsh, is valuable.</p> <p>We will consider your feedback as we refine the plan to better address these issues and enhance communication with residents.</p>
124.	<p>Yes. Buy local produce in season. Yes. Solar. I support the plan 2050 Yes Yes. I agree. Transport. I agree I agree No Yes Yes Yes Both Yes Yes</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. We appreciate your commitment to supporting local produce and renewable energy sources like solar power. Your endorsement of the plan and preference for the 2050 target date are noted, along with your agreement on the importance of addressing transportation issues.</p>

125.	<p>Yes Yes I don't support the plan. I cannot see any clear, practical, smart objectives, just wasted (unrecycled?) paper with lob of incomprehensive gobbledegook. No. Invest in infrastructure. Government waste of money. No. I do not agree. Water wastage. Poor transport forcing use of cars. I agree with some but not others I do not agree No No No Climate adaptation What a waste, do what is right!</p>	<p>Thank you for sharing your perspective on the District Wide Carbon Plan. We appreciate your feedback, particularly your concerns about the clarity and practicality of the objectives presented. Your suggestions for investing in infrastructure and addressing water wastage and transportation issues are valuable inputs.</p>
126.	<p>More space for wildlife to thrive. Yes. Take their rubbish home. Don't litter our countryside and rivers. The water company can do more with sewage and overflows into our rivers and seas. Yes. I have already done this. I do not drive a car now. I use less energy and save water. Use the appropriate bins for my rubbish etc. I support some of the actions but not others. Not everyone can afford to purchase a new car especially electronic vehicle / hybrid vehicles. Not everyone can afford solar power panels or change to heat pumps. And may I add if we do who is going to pay for it. 2050 3 4 No. Stop building on marshland. Build on grey sites only. Stop the overflows of waste water into our rivers and streams and sea coast. What are China, India and other countries doing about climate change. It is not just us on this planet that we share. They contribute to more carbon than most countries. Yes. I agree with some but not others. Higher sea level. Sewage in rivers and streams and over spills in our seas. Should be dealt with immediately. I agree with some but not others. Plant more trees. More peat land protection. Stop sewage in waterways and rivers. Respect our countryside. Stop fly tipping. Stop building on marshland and peat land. I agree with some but not others No No Yes No Both Yes Yes. No building on marsh land. Build on grey field sites only. Deal with fly tipping in our countryside. Stop sewage wastage in our rivers and streams and seas.</p>	<p>Thank you for your detailed feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. We appreciate your commitment to environmental stewardship, including your efforts to reduce energy use, save water, and properly manage waste. Your concerns about affordability of new technologies like electric vehicles and solar panels are noted and will be considered as we develop strategies.</p> <p>We understand your preference for the 2050 target date and your emphasis on protecting marshland, peatland, and addressing water pollution issues. Your suggestions for planting more trees, focusing development on brownfield sites, and dealing with fly-tipping are valuable inputs. Development sites will be identified in the council's new local plan recognising, however, that the council is required to meet housing targets set by government to address the national housing crisis, within the recognised environmental constraints of the district.</p> <p>We acknowledge your concerns about global climate action and the need for international cooperation. While our plan focuses on local actions, we recognise the importance of broader efforts.</p> <p>Your input on risks like sea level rise and sewage pollution will help shape our approach to climate adaptation. We'll consider your suggestions for immediate action on water quality issues as we refine the plan. The issue of sewage discharges was also strongly reflected in feedback on our draft Corporate</p>

		Plan, 'Our district, our world', and we have committed in the Corporate Plan to use our influence with water companies to reduce river and coastal pollution.
127.	Yes. Reducing use of home energy. Energy efficient products. Reduce use of transport. Undecided - 2040 2 1 (not at all important) 4 5 (very important) 3 Yes Yes. I agree. Transport. Industry and commerce. I agree I agree with some but not others No Yes No No Both Yes No	Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. We appreciate your focus on reducing home energy use, utilising energy-efficient products, and minimising transportation use. Your partial support for the plan and preference for a 2040 target date are noted, along with your prioritisation of Carbon Absorption and Biodiversity as most important.
128.	Yes. Recycling. Low emission cars. Helping cars. Undecided I support the plan 2050 Yes Yes. I agree. Appalling weather, high winds and resulting damage. I agree I agree with some but not others No Yes No No Climate adaptation No No	Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. We appreciate your emphasis on recycling and the use of low-emission vehicles as part of your efforts to support environmental sustainability. Your partial support for the plan and preference for the 2050 target date are noted.
129.	No Undecided I support the plan 2050 3 5 (very important) 4 2 1 (not at all important) Yes Gas and oil exploration. Yes. I agree. Changes in Government environmental policies. I agree I agree No Yes No No Climate mitigation Yes No. No offsetting.	Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. We appreciate your support for the plan and preference for the 2050 target date. We've noted your prioritisation of the pillars, with Carbon Absorption and Biodiversity as most important.

130.	No Undecided I don't support the plan No No. I do not agree. - I do not agree I do not agree No No No No Climate adaptation No No	Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan.
131.	Yes. The power of the people. Undecided I support some of the actions but not others 2050 3 5 (very important) 4 2 1 (not at all important) Yes Yes. I agree with some but not others. Sewage. I agree with some but not others. Sewage treatment plants. I agree with some but not others No No No No Climate mitigation Yes More tree planting.	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. We appreciate your emphasis on "the power of the people" and your partial support for some actions in the plan. We've noted your preference for the 2050 target date.</p> <p>Your concern about sewage and sewage treatment plants as key challenges is an important insight. The issue of sewage discharges was also strongly reflected in feedback on our draft Corporate Plan, 'Our district, our world', and we have committed in the Corporate Plan to use our influence with water companies to reduce river and coastal pollution.</p> <p>We understand your agreement with some aspects of the plan's approach to risks and opportunities.</p>
132.	Mental well being and financial. Yes. Changing lifestyles - living in a more environmentally friendly manner. BUT our contribution is miniscule - grant corporations and government needs to change. Yes. Already do so. Use car less. However, the reduction in the bus service makes this difficult particularly for elderly who find it difficult to cope with bus cancellations and reduction in service. Also change of diet and recycling where possible. To be honest most of it is waffle. 2050 5 (very important) No, but you need to be far more specific as to what these actually mean. No. I agree with some but not others. Flooding. I agree with some but not others. Yes. 1. Improve public transport. 2. Improve sewerage. 3. Whilst the area needs tourism - there needs to be an overall plan to avoid negative environmental effects on the area. Litter picking. I agree with some but not others Yes Yes Yes No Both No	<p>Thank you for your detailed feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. We appreciate your efforts to live in a more environmentally friendly manner, including using your car less and changing your diet. We understand your concerns about the reduction in bus services, particularly for elderly residents, and the challenges this poses for sustainable transportation. We will continue to work with partner organisations including Kent County Council (the local transport authority), bus and rail operators and Highways England, to improve public transport and cycling and walking infrastructure.</p>
133.	Not at all. No. We need to get India and China to reduce use of coal etc. No I don't support the plan. The plan is based on untested and / or incorrect information. 2050 5 (very important) 1 (not at all important) 3 4 2 No. Needs rewriting to remove prejudice. No. I do not agree. We need to have a new nuclear power station at Dungeness. I do not agree. Cheap nuclear energy. I do not agree No No No Yes. Reducing council expenditure is the priority. Both No No. This is not a genuine questionnaire. It is designed to come up with support for the authors.	<p>Thank you for sharing your perspective on the District Wide Carbon Plan. We appreciate your feedback, even though it differs from the plan's current approach. Your concerns about the plan's foundations and priorities have been noted. The great majority (almost 87%) of people who responded to the consultation on the District Wide Carbon Plan supported the proposed actions set out in the plan or put forward additional suggestions of their own.</p> <p>We understand your view that global action, particularly from large emitters like India and China,</p>

		<p>is crucial for addressing climate change. Your suggestion for a new nuclear power station at Dungeness as a source of cheap, low-carbon energy is an interesting point that merits consideration in our energy strategy discussions. Major energy-generating proposals such as new nuclear power stations are likely to fall within the remit of the Nationally Significant Infrastructure Projects (NSIP) process, where a recommendation is made by planning Inspectors and the decision is taken by the Secretary of State for Energy Security and Net Zero, although the council will be able to give views on proposals for the Inspectors to consider.</p>
--	--	---

134.	<p>Yes. Absolutely, I believe we all can make small changes and they can add up to big savings in carbon emissions. when people are informed & understand they can make an impact through there own choices, then they can make informed & environmentally sound decisions around food shopping, eating less meat, buying sustainable clothing, choosing to holiday by train, use public transport more frequently. Yes. Eat less meat, have it once a week as a family meal, or cut it out altogether. consume less dairy and opt for alternatives, buy more sustainably. Consider taking a train on holiday (Europe) instead of flying. Ground heat pump for heating, when its time to change the boiler. Solo panels. bike to work. Look into ways of harvesting & utilising rain water more effectively. I support the plan. Road & Transport in terms of infrastructure it is what it is, what has worked well for us at work, we have agreed on a green model for delivery's this has reduced the amount of times vans & lorries come to site. 2040 1 (not at all important) 3 4 2 Yes. Legislation to show all consumers commercial & industrial how much CO2 was produced in providing their products & services. Yes. I agree. Waste water getting pumped into our coast line & fresh waterways. I agree. Educating the wider community to show the difference how are choices impact CO2, for example, just occasionally substituting meat for a veggie option, or swapping out an animal product for a plant-based alternative – it all adds up to a big difference. Check out how much carbon you could save by choosing vegetarian or vegan options over meat or fish: • 1 vegetarian day per week (52 days a year) can save nearly 100kgs of CO2 per year. • 1 vegetarian week per month (12 weeks a year) can save nearly 153kgs of CO2 per year. • 1 vegan day per week (52 days a year) can save nearly 143kgs of CO2 per year. • 1 vegan week per month (12 weeks a year) can save nearly 231kgs of CO2 per year. Don't eat meat or fish? You could still save tonnes by going from veggie to vegan now and again: • 1 vegan day per week (52 days a year) can save nearly 50kgs of CO2 per year. • 1 vegan week per month (12 weeks a year) can save nearly 80kgs of CO2 per year. I work in catering for Swiss Re Folkestone office, we have been working with a company called Eaternity https://eaternity.org/ to measure & calculate our c02 units. Folkestone has a very good footprint overall. Our average KPI is ranging between 2.3 and 2.6 CO2e/daily food unit, which is one of the lowest footprints at Swiss Re globally. We aim at the KPI 1.9 by 2030 – so it's a brilliant status for 2023. we have achieved this by looking at the data to see where we could improve beyond the usual suspects Beef, pork & dairy. we have run awareness days to show customers how what they choose to eat impacts c02 . I agree No Yes Yes No Both Yes No</p>	<p>We will take your recommendations into account as we refine our strategies, especially regarding improving public transport and addressing wastewater management issues. The issue of sewage discharges was also strongly reflected in feedback on our draft Corporate Plan, 'Our district, our world', and we have committed in the Corporate Plan to use our influence with water companies to reduce river and coastal pollution.</p> <p>Your experience working with Eaternity to measure CO2 emissions in catering is commendable and highlights practical steps that can be taken within local businesses.</p> <p>Thank you for your engagement in this process and for advocating for a more sustainable and environmentally responsible district.</p>
135.	<p>Nothing, only this political charade, the burden of insane ideology. No. This is a fake survey. No I don't support the plan. 2.1.4 - the tax and bureaucracy of "net zero" is unjust nonsense. 3.1 "Net zero". 3.4 - it's communist. 2050 No. The council chamber must capture its ??? . I do not agree. The hot air in the council chamber. I do not agree. The hot air in the council chamber.The hot air in the council chamber. No I do not agree Yes. Stop sending paper questionnaires. No No No Climate adaptation No -</p>	<p>I appreciate you taking the time to share your perspective on the District Wide Carbon Plan, even though you strongly disagree with its approach. While I understand you have significant concerns about the plan's goals and methods, I want to assure you that we take all feedback seriously as we aim to develop policies that work for our entire community. The great majority (almost 87%) of people who responded to the consultation on the District Wide Carbon Plan</p>

		supported the proposed actions set out in the plan or put forward additional suggestions of their own.
136.	Financially we are all being made to pay through taxes etc for measures which are having little or no benefit. No No I don't support the plan. Net zero is unachievable in the timescale and will only cause higher costs for residents for little or no benefit. This is all being done as 'lip service' to the green lobbyists. 2050 4 No No. I agree with some but not others. - I do not agree I agree with some but not others No No No No Climate adaptation No No	Thank you for sharing your perspective on the District Wide Carbon Plan. I appreciate your feedback, even though you disagree with the plan's approach. The great majority (almost 87%) of people who responded to the consultation on the District Wide Carbon Plan supported the proposed actions set out in the plan or put forward additional suggestions of their own. Your concerns about the financial impact on residents and scepticism about achieving net zero targets in the proposed timeframe are important points that deserve consideration. We've noted your preference for the 2050 target date, should any actions be taken. Your partial agreement with some aspects of the plan's approach to challenges, risks, and opportunities is acknowledged.
137.	Yes. Be conscious of the changes that are occurring and act accordingly. Yes I support the plan 2050 2 Yes Yes. I agree. Car engine pollution. I agree I agree No Yes No No Both Yes -	Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. We appreciate your recognition of the importance of being conscious of environmental changes and your support for the plan, particularly with a target date of 2050.
138.	None apparent. Yes. Waste disposal and use of plastic reduction. Yes. Changing car to electric. Enhanced recycling. I support the plan 2030 4 Yes Yes. I agree. Transport. I agree. Unsure. No I agree No Yes Yes No Climate mitigation Yes No	Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. We appreciate your commitment to waste disposal and plastic reduction, as well as your support for transitioning to electric vehicles and enhancing recycling efforts. Your endorsement of the plan with a target date of 2030 is noted
139.	Yes Yes I support the plan 2040 3 5 (very important) 2 1 (not at all important) 4 Yes Yes. I agree. - I agree I agree No Yes Yes No Both Yes No	Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. We appreciate your support for the plan and your preference for the 2040 target date.
140.	See above No. Or only by influencing others. Yes. Using buses or taxis instead of personal transport. I support the plan 2030 5 (very important) 3 4 2 1 (not at all important) Yes Yes. I agree. Overall it's the sources of energy. Then there will be challenges because of climate caused damage. I agree I agree No Yes No No Both Yes -	Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. We appreciate your support for the plan and your emphasis on the council's role in influencing others to reduce emissions, given that the council's direct emissions are only a small fraction of the district total. Your suggestion about promoting the use of buses or

		<p>taxis instead of personal transport aligns well with the plan's focus on sustainable transportation. We will continue to work with partner organisations including Kent County Council (the local transport authority), bus and rail operators and Highways England, to improve public transport and cycling and walking infrastructure.</p> <p>We've noted your preference for the ambitious 2030 target date and your prioritisation of the pillars, with Waste and Water as most important.</p>
141.	<p>Yes. I run a hybrid car. Undecided I support some of the actions but not others. City car charges. 2050 3 2 5 (very important) 1 (not at all important) 4 Yes. Stop local councils chopping down trees! Yes. I agree with some but not others. CO2 emissions. I agree with some but not others. Grow more trees! No I agree with some but not others No Yes No No Climate mitigation Yes No</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. We appreciate your efforts to reduce emissions by using a hybrid car and your partial support for some actions in the plan. Your concerns about city car charges and tree removal by local councils are noted. Tree planting projects by community groups could form the basis of bids to the council's recently launched Green Grants Programme.</p>
142.	<p>Financially, due to cost of repairs, health wise due to our being pensioners with chronic health conditions and limited funds to spare. All causing stress. Vulnerability. Yes. Many people are still blissfully unaware of steps they could be taking. We recycle or refurbish, grow our own veg, save water wherever possible. Minimise on car travel. Yes. Insulation in the bungalow roof. Not achieved due to cost of replacing floor joists (current ones too short). Solar panels (not financially affordable currently). I support the plan 2040 3 Yes. Less reckless development, causing services to overload and fail. More environmental projects - tree planting, soil improvement. Protecting our coast line and the channel and seas from pollution and erosion. Yes. I agree with some but not others. Safe, carbon free transport for the elderly and infirm. More localised services to avoid the need to travel far for medical appointments. I agree with some but not others None locally. But I know the RSPB, Wildlife Trust and other charities are trying to unit on environmental matters. Likewise the farming community. Commercial property developers and builders could and should do more. I agree Yes No Both Yes -</p>	<p>Thank you for your detailed and thoughtful feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. We appreciate your support for the plan and your efforts to live sustainably, such as recycling, growing your own vegetables, saving water, and minimising car travel.</p> <p>We understand the financial and health challenges you face as pensioners, particularly regarding home improvements like insulation and solar panels. Your point about the stress and vulnerability caused by these issues is important and will be considered as we develop support mechanisms.</p>

143.	Financially. No Undecided I support some of the actions but not others 2050 2 4 3 No No. I agree with some but not others. Flooding. I agree with some but not others I agree with some but not others No No No No Both No No	Thank you for your feedback, particularly your concerns about flooding and financial impacts, aligns with some of the key issues identified in the plan. Section 2.3 of the plan discusses climate risks and vulnerability assessment, noting that "Most of the population of the district live in coastal towns where areas may be prone to flooding from the sea, rivers and other sources"
144.	Yes. Most cars on the road only have one person. Yes. I would be willing to shop locally. - 5 (very important) 3 2 1 (not at all important) 4 - . - - -	Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan.
145.	No. The driver of climate change is China and India - until these are addressed. No I don't support the plan. By trying to implement walking and cycling you will kill of Folkestone town centre and Cheriton. People will use their cars to shop out of area. In case you haven't seen it the local bus service is getting much worse ... at a time where you are saying improving public transport!! No No. I do not agree. India and China. I do not agree. None - please do not use taxpayer money on such a venture. None - please do not use taxpayer money on such a venture. No I do not agree No No No No Both No No	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. You expressed strong concerns about the plan, particularly regarding the impact of promoting walking and cycling on local businesses in Folkestone and Cheriton, suggesting that it may lead to reduced foot traffic as people opt to shop elsewhere. You firmly oppose using taxpayer money for these initiatives and do not support the current plan. However, the great majority (almost 87%) of people who responded to the consultation on the District Wide Carbon Plan supported the proposed actions set out in the plan or put forward additional suggestions of their own. Regarding council resources, the council's new Corporate Plan 'Our district, our world' highlights the importance of being a well-run council working within our budget to deliver statutory services, while also working in partnership with other organisations to bring wider environmental benefits where we can.</p> <p>Your insights are valuable, and we appreciate your engagement in this important discussion. Thank you for sharing your thoughts.</p>

146.	<p>Yes Yes I support the plan 2040 3 Yes. There are many inefficiencies in all five priority pillars of focus that could have an impact on reducing climate change immediately. Using our intelligence could reduce with immediate effect. Examples - switching off all electrical appliances at the sockets rather than letting them sleep controlling better and more efficiently heating use etc etc. A lot can be achieved but at a costly expense, cost will overrule implementation albeit we are fully aware of the implications!! Yes. I agree. Emissions. Rising water levels / impact of storms. Ever increasing requirements for an expanding population. I agree. I am not up to speed so currently I am unaware. I agree No Yes No No Both Yes Yes. I am not up to speed - but there must be some good existing framework.</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. You expressed strong support for the plan and emphasised the importance of addressing inefficiencies across all five priority pillars to achieve immediate reductions in climate change impacts. Your suggestions for practical actions, such as turning off electrical appliances and improving heating control, are valuable insights. We will consider these ideas as we revise the plan to ensure it is both effective and implementable.</p>
147.	<p>Yes. With own recycling. Being aware of electric usage. More laws need to be in place for tackling climate change. Making everyone accountable. Yes. Any to improve and safeguard the world's climate. I support the plan 2040 3 2 Yes Yes. I agree with some but not others. - I agree I agree No Yes No No Both Yes Yes</p>	<p>Thank you for your thoughtful feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. Your support for the plan and suggestions for personal actions like improving recycling and being aware of electric usage are valuable. We appreciate your emphasis on the need for stronger laws and accountability to tackle climate change effectively. We will consider your input, including your preference for a 2040 target date and support for both climate mitigation and adaptation approaches, as we refine the plan to create a more sustainable Folkestone & Hythe.</p>
148.	<p>None No No - 2050 2 3 4 5 (very important) 1 (not at all important) No. If you really want to help the planet, put all goods to and from Europe on rail, also reduce the use of all plastics by 80%. Stopping pollution. No. I do not agree. Loss of farming due to building on farm land for housing to accommodate immigration, solar panels, wind farms. I do not agree I do not agree No No No No Climate adaptation No No</p>	<p>Thank you for sharing your perspective on the District Wide Carbon Plan. Your feedback highlights important concerns about transportation of goods, plastic use reduction, pollution, and land use changes. We appreciate your prioritisation of the plan's pillars and your emphasis on climate adaptation. We will carefully consider your suggestions, particularly regarding rail transport and plastic reduction, as we refine the plan to address the diverse needs and concerns of our community.</p>
149.	<p>Yes Undecided I support some of the actions but not others 2040 4 No Yes. I agree with some but not others. Cars / water. I agree with some but not others I agree with some but not others No No No No Climate adaptation -</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. Your partial support for some actions in the plan and preference for a 2040 target date are noted. We appreciate your insights on cars and water as key challenges, as well as your agreement with some aspects of the plan's approach to risks and opportunities. We will consider your input, particularly your emphasis on climate adaptation, as we refine the plan to address the diverse needs and concerns of our community.</p>

150.	<p>No Yes I support some of the actions but not others 2050 1 (not at all important) 3 No No. I agree with some but not others. Pollution. I agree with some but not others. A reliable bus service to reduce car ownership. I agree with some but not others No No No No. I cannot believe you are wasting so much time and money on a useless exercise. Have not Folkestone and Hythe Council got nothing better to spend their money on. How much has this cost the taxpayer? Is this was we pay Council Tax for? Both No No. Climate change has been going on since the world existed. Mankind will not change anything. Climate change is a natural phenonem and will not be sorted by mankind.</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. You expressed scepticism about the plan's effectiveness, emphasising that climate change is a natural phenomenon and questioning the financial expenditure on such initiatives. However, the great majority (almost 87%) of people who responded to the consultation on the District Wide Carbon Plan supported the proposed actions set out in the plan or put forward additional suggestions of their own. Regarding council resources, the council's new Corporate Plan 'Our district, our world' highlights the importance of being a well-run council working within our budget to deliver statutory services, while also working in partnership with other organisations to bring wider environmental benefits where we can.</p> <p>You highlighted the importance of addressing pollution and suggested improving public transportation to reduce car ownership. We will consider your concerns and suggestions, particularly regarding cost-effectiveness and transportation improvements, as we refine the plan to better address community needs and priorities. We will continue to work with partner organisations including Kent County Council (the local transport authority), bus and rail operators and Highways England, to improve public transport and cycling and walking infrastructure.</p>
151.	<p>Yes Yes I support the plan 2040 3 Yes Yes. I agree. Waste and water. I agree I agree No Yes Yes No Climate adaptation Yes No</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan.</p>

152.	<p>Yes. By using less electricity, reducing car mileage, and wastage of food. Stopping the throw away way of life - refurbish and reuse whenever possible. Yes. Although I can't think of any changes I could make. I support the plan 2040 2 5 (very important) 3 4 1 (not at all important) Yes Yes. I agree. Carbon emission and biodiversity. I agree No knowledge of any action. I agree No Yes No No. I am completely isolated. Both Yes Don't know</p>	<p>Thank you for your detailed feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. Your support for the plan and suggestions for personal actions like reducing electricity use, car mileage, food waste, and promoting reuse and refurbishment are valuable contributions. We appreciate your prioritisation of the plan's pillars, with Carbon Absorption and Biodiversity as most important, and your agreement with the identified challenges and risks. We will consider your input, including your preference for a 2040 target date and support for both climate mitigation and adaptation approaches, as we refine the plan to create a more sustainable Folkestone & Hythe.</p>
153.	<p>No Undecided I support some of the actions but not others 2050 3 Yes Yes. I agree with some but not others. - I agree with some but not others I agree with some but not others No Yes No No Both Yes No</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan.</p>
154.	<p>Financially. Yes. Even small things help e.g. recycling. Yes. Cannot really do more than I already do. I try not to waste anything - electricity, gas, water. I recycle wherever and whatever food possible. I use peat free compost and no chemicals. I support the plan 2040 Yes Yes. I agree. Transport. Fossil fuels. I agree. Maybe renewable energy sources could be provided somehow. I agree Insulating older properties. Solar energy panels on all new properties. Yes Yes No Both Yes No</p>	<p>Thank you for your detailed feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. Your support for the plan and commitment to sustainable practices like recycling, conserving resources, and using eco-friendly gardening methods are commendable. We appreciate your insights on key challenges like transport and fossil fuels, as well as your suggestions for renewable energy and improving energy efficiency in buildings. Environmental standards for new development will also be set out in the council's new local plan and design codes, which will be subject to public engagement before they are finalised.</p> <p>We will consider your input, including your preference for a 2040 target date and support for both climate mitigation and adaptation approaches, as we refine the plan to create a more sustainable Folkestone & Hythe.</p>
155.	<p>No No I support some of the actions but not others 2050 4 3 1 (not at all important) 2 5 (very important) No No. I do not agree. - I do not agree I do not agree No No No No Climate adaptation No No</p>	<p>Thank you for providing your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. Your partial support for some actions in the plan and preference for a 2050 target date are noted.</p>

156.	No Undecided I support some of the actions but not others 2050 5 (very important) Yes No. . - Both No	Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan.
157.	Find high temperatures oppressive. Yes. If enough people work together. Yes. Trying to create less waste. I support the plan 2050 2 1 (not at all important) 3 5 (very important) 4 Yes. Packaging (commercial and industrial). Yes. . - Work in schools as children are able to influence parents significantly. Yes Yes Yes. Advertise through charity shops (you have to go through their HQs) but recycling is an avenue to explore. Both Yes -	Thank you for your detailed feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. Your support for the plan and efforts to reduce waste are appreciated. We've noted your prioritisation of the pillars, with Carbon Absorption and Biodiversity as most important, and your emphasis on addressing packaging waste. Your suggestion to work with schools to influence parents through children is a valuable insight. The council has held a number of events with schools to talk about the issue of climate change, including the first meeting of the council's new Youth Forum held in February 2025. We will consider your input, including your preference for a 2050 target date and support for both climate mitigation and adaptation approaches, as we refine the plan to create a more sustainable Folkestone & Hythe.
158.	No Undecided I don't support the plan 2050 No Yes. . - No Climate mitigation -	Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan.
159.	Yes Yes. Use less fuel. I support the plan 2040 4 5 (very important) 3 1 (not at all important) 2 Yes Yes. I agree. Fuel waste. I agree I agree No Yes No No Climate mitigation Yes No	Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. You expressed support for the plan and emphasised the importance of using less fuel, reducing waste, and addressing issues like fuel waste and transportation. Your prioritisation of the plan's pillars, particularly regarding Climate Mitigation, is noted. We will consider your insights as we refine the plan to ensure it effectively addresses community concerns and promotes sustainable practices in Folkestone & Hythe.

160.	<p>Yes. We can think more, when we buy products, are they environmentally friendly. Recycle when possible. Stop selling plastic carrier bags etc. Yes. Recycle more, try not to buy with plastic etc. I support the plan 2030 Yes Yes. I agree. Plastic. I agree I agree No Yes No No Climate mitigation Yes No</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. You emphasised the importance of considering the environmental impact of products before purchasing, advocating for increased recycling and the elimination of plastic carrier bags. Your support for the plan and preference for a 2030 target date are noted, along with your prioritisation of addressing plastic waste. We will take your suggestions into account as we refine the plan to promote sustainable practices and enhance community engagement in Folkestone & Hythe.</p>
161.	<p>Yes, but only if every country does the same. Yes. Reducing use of car if public transport alternative is available. I support the plan 2050 Yes Yes. I agree. M20 motorway regularly used as a car park (Operation Brock / Stack) causing additional emissions from stationary traffic with engines running. I agree. Keep M20 use to its purpose ie not used as a car park. I agree Yes. Stop Operation Brock / Stack on M20. Yes Yes No Both Yes No</p>	<p>Thank you for your detailed feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. Your support for the plan and willingness to reduce car usage in favour of public transport alternatives are appreciated. We've noted your concerns about emissions from stationary traffic during Operation Brock/Stack on the M20 motorway. Your suggestion to keep the M20 functioning as intended and stop using it as a car park is a valuable insight.</p> <p>We will consider your input, including your preference for a 2050 target date and support for both climate mitigation and adaptation approaches, as we refine the plan to address transportation issues and reduce emissions in Folkestone & Hythe. We will continue to work with partner organisations including Kent County Council (the local transport authority), bus and rail operators and Highways England to explore transport and improvements in the district.</p>
162.	<p>ULEs fine on trip to London. Yes. Be economical with central heating. No bonfires in garden. Push bike/walking. Cut school run. Yes. More push biking and walking. I support the plan 2050 2 5 (very important) 3 4 1 (not at all important) Yes. House insulation. Sustainable energy production. Nuclear - wind - wave - solar. Yes. I agree. - I agree with some but not others. Encourage nuclear new build at Dungeness. Sea defences. Dyke and ditch management. I agree Encourage solar water heating on residences. Yes No Yes. Local community hub. Both Yes No</p>	<p>Thank you for your detailed feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. Your support for the plan and suggestions for personal actions like economical heating use, avoiding garden bonfires, and promoting cycling and walking are valuable. We appreciate your prioritisation of the plan's pillars, with Transport as most important, and your ideas for sustainable energy production including nuclear, wind, wave, and solar. Major energy-generating proposals such as new nuclear facilities are likely to fall within the remit of the Nationally Significant Infrastructure Projects (NSIP) process, where a recommendation is made</p>

		<p>by planning Inspectors and the decision is made by the Secretary of State for Energy Security and Net Zero, although the council will be able to give views on proposals for the Inspectors to consider.</p> <p>Your suggestions for house insulation, sea defenses, and dyke management are noted. We will consider your input, including your preference for a net zero 2050 target date and support for both climate mitigation and adaptation approaches, as we refine the plan to create a more sustainable Folkestone & Hythe.</p>
163.	<p>No No I don't support the plan 2 5 (very important) 1 (not at all important) 4 3 No Yes. I do not agree. Too many new builds. Impinging on local services. I do not agree. Invest in infrastructure. Published data not supported by entire scientific community. I do not agree No. UK contribution to alleged carbon emissions is negligible. No No No Climate adaptation No No</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. You expressed disagreement with the plan, highlighting concerns about new builds impacting local services and questioning the scientific consensus on climate data. Future development will be guided by the council's new local plan recognising, however, that the council is required to meet housing targets set by government to address the national housing crisis, within the recognised environmental constraints of the district.</p> <p>You emphasised the need for investment in infrastructure and noted that the UK's contribution to global carbon emissions is negligible. We will consider your input, particularly your emphasis on climate adaptation and infrastructure investment, as we continue to refine the plan to address community concerns.</p>
164.	<p>None Yes Yes I support the plan 2040 3 Yes Yes. I agree. Everything needs to be looked at. I agree Just happy that some people are trying. I agree No Yes No No Both Yes No</p>	<p>Thank you for your detailed feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan.</p>

165.	<p>Yes. Education on fuel. Diet. Yes. Local sourced produce. Recycling. Fuel reduction. I support the plan 2040 Yes. Residential - addressing landlords lack of respect for the environment with unsuitable living conditions i.e. poor heating, property disrepair! Excessive rents encourages poorer lifestyle choices. Continue with reduced public transport costs (bus!). Re-introduce closed routes to encourage less vehicles on the roads. Yes. I agree. Flooding! I agree I agree Yes Yes No Both Yes No</p>	<p>We appreciate your insights, especially regarding housing conditions and public transportation improvements. We will continue to work with partner organisations including Kent County Council (the local transport authority), bus and rail operators and Highways England, to improve public transport and cycling and walking infrastructure.</p> <p>We will consider your input, including your preference for a 2040 target date, as we refine the plan to create a more sustainable and equitable Folkestone & Hythe.</p>
166.	<p>No Undecided I support some of the actions but not others 2040 3 1 (not at all important) No Population increase. No. I agree with some but not others. Rainfall. I agree with some but not others. Not really. Not aware. I agree with some but not others No No No No Both Yes No. Just don't have any idea.</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. Your partial support for some actions in the plan and preference for a 2040 target date are noted. We appreciate your identification of rainfall increases as a key challenge. We understand you have limited awareness of current initiatives but agree with some aspects of the plan's approach. We will consider your input, including your support for both climate mitigation and adaptation approaches, as we refine the plan to address the diverse needs and concerns of our community.</p>

167.	<p>Became poorly, stressed, short of money. Yes Undecided I support the plan. I support it but currently feel things are worse than they were. 1. Drains not being cleaned so floods. 2. Building on green belts. 3. Bus service went from one bus on route to 2 and now buses stopping or having to collect bus away from home - all without passengers. People losing jobs. 4. Cutting loads of trees down. It's a huge task! 2040 4 1 (not at all important) 3 5 (very important) 2 Yes Power plants etc. No. I agree with some but not others. Waste / water. Transportation. Commercial / businesses, etc. I agree with some but not others None. If anything things are worse. I agree with some but not others More advertising and community input. Writing about it - not good enough. Yes Yes No. Heard nothing about it till now and don't see much action to help - all getting worse. Both Yes -</p>	<p>Thank you for your detailed feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. Your support for the plan is noted, along with your concerns about current challenges such as flooding, green belt development, reduced bus services, and tree cutting. We appreciate your insights on key issues like waste, water, transportation, and commercial activities. Your suggestion for more advertising and community input is valuable. The council's Sustainable Futures Forum has proved a successful way to involve the community in climate change projects, and we will explore opportunities to expand this further.</p> <p>We will consider your input, including your preference for a 2040 target date and support for both climate mitigation and adaptation approaches, as we refine the plan to address the issues you've raised and improve communication about climate initiatives in Folkestone & Hythe.</p>
168.	<p>None No Undecided I support the plan 2050 Yes. Cut the amount of houses being built in my area. Most families these days have more than one vehicle. No. I agree. Too many houses being built. I agree with some but not others I agree No Yes No No Both Yes Yes</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. Your support for the plan and preference for a 2050 target date are noted. We appreciate your concerns about housing development in the area and its impact on vehicle usage. Your suggestion to reduce house building is a valuable point to consider. Future development will be guided by the council's new local plan recognising, however, that the council is required to meet housing targets set by government to address the national housing crisis, within the recognised environmental constraints of the district.</p>

169.	<p>Health of elderly who find the extreme heat or cold difficult to manage. Yes. Stop house owners digging up front lawns to use as a parking space. Recycling more. Yes. Recycling all items that can be recycled. Using public transport (if available). Shower, no baths, and shorter showering. Using a water butt. I support the plan 2050 4 3 5 (very important) 2 1 (not at all important) Yes. No. No Yes. I agree. Transport availability e.g. buses coping with Dover Docks and Channel Tunnel. I agree. No. No, perhaps 'Bee Kind'? I agree with some but not others No Yes No No Both Yes -</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. Your support for the plan and suggestions for actions such as reducing house owners' use of lawns for parking, increasing recycling, and using public transport are appreciated. You highlighted the importance of addressing transport availability and infrastructure issues, particularly around Dover Docks and the Channel Tunnel. We will consider your input, including your preference for a 2050 target date and emphasis on climate mitigation, as we refine the plan to better address community needs and environmental challenges in Folkestone & Hythe.</p>
170.	<p>It has affected by asthma and have to use my steroid inhaler a bit more. Yes. By using public transport to reduce fumes from cars. Undecided I support the plan 2040 Yes Yes. I agree. Traffic. Better air quality. Planting more trees to help the ozone. I agree. None known. No No Yes No No Both Yes No</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. You highlighted the impact of extreme temperatures on health, particularly for those with asthma, and emphasised the importance of using public transport to reduce vehicle emissions. We will continue to work with partner organisations including Kent County Council (the local transport authority), bus and rail operators and Highways England, to improve public transport and cycling and walking infrastructure.</p> <p>Your support for the plan and preference for a 2040 target date are noted, along with your suggestions for improving air quality through traffic management and tree planting. We will consider your input, including your focus on climate mitigation and community engagement, as we refine the plan to address these critical issues in Folkestone & Hythe. The council's Sustainable Futures Forum has proved a successful way to involve the community in climate change projects, and we will explore opportunities to expand this further.</p>

171.	<p>Flooding has affected friends lives. Yes. Taking responsibility for waste, water usage and reduction in plastic usage. Yes. I already do. I support the plan. No. 2050 Yes. All action is necessary. More free bus travel, particularly all day. . I agree with some but not others. Huge increase in traffic - better public transport for all. I agree with some but not others. Better public transport to get cars off the road. Sandgate Environmental Action Group I agree with some but not others Yes. Better public transport - free all day to get cars off the road. Yes No Both Yes -</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. You expressed concern about flooding affecting lives and emphasised the importance of taking responsibility for waste, water usage, and reducing plastic consumption. Your support for the plan and preference for a 2050 target date are noted, along with your suggestions for more free bus travel and improved public transport to reduce traffic congestion. We will continue to work with partner organisations including Kent County Council (the local transport authority), bus and rail operators and Highways England, to improve public transport and cycling and walking infrastructure.</p> <p>We appreciate your insights regarding the need for better transportation options and community engagement, including your mention of the Sandgate Environmental Action Group. We will consider your input as we refine the plan to enhance sustainability and address community needs in Folkestone & Hythe.</p>
172.	<p>No. This narrative comes from The Club of Rome's Dolvment 'The First Global Revolution' (1991). I object to unelected globalists dictating my life. Just look at P114 - 'the common enemy of man is man!'. Read it for yourself. No I don't support the plan No. None. None No. I do not agree. None. I do not agree. None. None I do not agree No No No No No No</p>	<p>We appreciate your input and will take your concerns into account as we continue to engage with the community on this important issue. However, the great majority (almost 87%) of people who responded to the consultation on the District Wide Carbon Plan supported the proposed actions set out in the plan or put forward additional suggestions of their own. We believe that acting locally can address many issues that contribute to global improvements while also enhancing the health and well-being of our residents. Local actions often have multiple benefits, such as reducing air pollution, improving energy efficiency, and creating more liveable communities.</p>
173.	<p>Yes. But only a tiny tiny way. Yes. Walking or cycling instead of using car. I support some of the actions but not others. If any are expensive. Not another level of bureaucracy with additional costs. No no no. 2040 5 (very important) No Yes. I agree with some but not others. Water and flooding. I agree with some but not others No I agree with some but not others No No No No Climate adaptation No No</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. You expressed partial support for the plan, indicating that while you agree with some actions, you have concerns about potential costs and bureaucratic expansion. You emphasised the importance of walking and cycling to reduce car use, and you noted significant issues such as water management and flooding. We will continue to work with partner organisations including Kent County Council (the local transport authority), bus and rail</p>

		<p>operators and Highways England, to improve public transport and cycling and walking infrastructure.</p> <p>Your preference for a 2040 target date and the prioritisation of these issues are important considerations.</p>
174.	- - - 2040 5 (very important) - Yes. I agree with some but not others. - I agree I agree with some but not others No No No No Both Yes Yes	Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan.
175.	Not aware of anything specific. Yes. Installing solar panels. Using public transport/electric car. Using less water. Yes. Investing in electric / hybrid car. I support the plan. I support it, but find it difficult to understand - too formal - would rather know what you are doing. 2040 5 (very important) 2 3 4 1 (not at all important) Yes. Not sure. Not sure No. I agree. Public transport - cutting bus routes. Water. Flooding and sewerage outtages. New building - large housing development agreed without sufficient infrastructure - only a 'nod' to ecology. I agree. No. Cannot see the examples - did I get all the info? I am sure they exist. I agree No. Outline of actions very limited. No No No. I am sure good work is happening and I will do my best but the plan and questionnaire is too long, formal and off-putting. Both Yes Not sure of answer for above. I do not know of any.	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. You expressed support for the plan but noted that it can be difficult to understand due to its formal nature. Your commitment to actions like installing solar panels, using public transport or electric cars, and reducing water usage is commendable. You raised concerns about the need for more transparency regarding actions taken, particularly in relation to public transport cuts, flooding issues, and infrastructure inadequacies with new housing developments. We will continue to work with partner organisations including Kent County Council (the local transport authority), bus and rail operators and Highways England, to improve public transport and cycling and walking infrastructure.</p> <p>Your preference for a 2040 target date and emphasis on the importance of public transport are important considerations.</p>
176.	Yes. Only by reducing personal carbon footprint omission. Yes I support the plan 2040 Yes Yes. I agree. The finance available to carry out the proposed changes, especially household emisissions. I agree I agree No Yes No No Climate mitigation Yes No	Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. You expressed support for the plan and highlighted the importance of reducing personal carbon footprints, particularly through actions like using public transport and installing solar panels. We will continue to work with partner organisations including Kent County Council (the local transport authority), bus and rail operators and Highways England, to improve public transport and cycling and walking infrastructure. The council also works in partnership with Kent County Council and the other Kent local authorities to promote solar panels to homeowners, small businesses and local groups

		through the Solar Together Kent project. You raised concerns about the financial resources needed to implement proposed changes, especially regarding household emissions. Your preference for a 2040 target date and emphasis on climate mitigation are noted.
177.	None known. No No I don't support the plan 2 5 (very important) 3 4 1 (not at all important) No No. I agree with some but not others. Flooding. I agree with some but not others I do not agree No No No No Both No No	Your feedback indicates you do not support the plan and highlighted specific areas of importance, such as the need for effective management of flooding issues. However, the great majority (almost 87%) of people who responded to the consultation on the District Wide Carbon Plan supported the proposed actions set out in the plan or put forward additional suggestions of their own.
178.	It's a worry, older people like myself, it's not going to have the effect, that mankind's extravagances through greed or insecurity leave for tomorrow's children. Yes. Education is a useful tool, teaching people simple rules to reduce unnecessary emissions, simple things turning things off which aren't being used, communicating wisdom is good. Yes. Use my bike for all local trips instead of the car. Heat only the rooms I'm using. Turn taps off. Use eco bulbs. Plan trips so multiple tasks are achieved. I support some of the actions but not others. The plan isn't simply delivered or easily understandable. you've made it too complicated for most citizens to understand. 2040 Yes. Making education an important part of your worthy plans, kids can have a big (impact) result on how adults need to adjust. Having responsible government who take a real interest in the world we live in ie raw sewage discharge into the sea doesn't wave any flags for save the planet. And discarded litter has a big affect on ????. No. . Changing people's inherited behaviour. I agree. Giving people a simple easy to understand road map to help you in your efforts. Brockhill Park. Rangers at Saltwood. I agree with some but not others Yes. Education is everything - lead by the front. Yes No Both Yes Not aware of any.	<p>Thank you for your thoughtful feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. You expressed concerns about the impact of climate change on future generations, particularly for older individuals, and emphasised the importance of education in reducing emissions. You also highlighted that the plan is overly complicated and not easily understandable for most, suggesting a need for clearer communication and simpler guidelines.</p> <p>You advocated for the inclusion of education as a key component of the plan, noting that children can significantly influence adult behaviour towards more sustainable practices. The council has held a number of events with schools to talk about the issue of climate change, including the first meeting of the council's new Youth Forum held in February 2025.</p> <p>Your concerns about environmental issues like raw sewage discharge and littering reflect a desire for responsible governance and community engagement. The issue of sewage discharges was also strongly reflected in feedback on our draft Corporate Plan, 'Our district, our world', and we have committed in the Corporate Plan to use our influence with water companies to reduce river and coastal pollution.</p>

		We appreciate your insights and will consider your suggestions
179.	<p>Wellbeing, lack of commitment from central and local government. Trust. Yes. But they need to feel confidence from those implementing the rules. There is no sign of that other than paying 'lip service'. Yes. Living a proper and well defined low carbon lifestyle supported by proper, tough and fair policy. I don't support the plan. It is still very debatable whether changes to electric vehicles, house insulation, solar etc actually reduce emissions worldwide. Public transport certainly does. 1 (not at all important) No. You have recently cut back on bus services. This shows a total disrespect towards climate control. It is well documented that animal food production causes more climate effect than all transport put together. Changing to an animal free diet has immediate effect on the climate, saves money and is free of infrastructure changes and costs. The council should support this option aggressively by subsidising this practice. It is so easy and cheap to implement and has the potential to mitigate your total transport plan with zero costs. No. I agree. Coastal erosion and loss of property value. Look up Fairbourne in Wales for a similar disaster pending you! I agree. If you want the public to be behind you you need to show that you can make radical moves. You don't show this at present. Animal based foods are much more dangerous to climate change than anything else. PS I am not a vegan, in case you think I am. I know that this is the biggest and easiest area to reduce carbon. Nuclear war will solve climate change! I agree with some but not others Yes. Animal foods! Stop eating them. It's cheap. It's immediate. It works! Yes Yes No Climate adaptation Yes Yes. Sea level. Global temperature.</p>	We appreciate your insights and will consider your suggestions for more aggressive support of sustainable dietary practices and clearer communication about the plan's actions. Your perspective is invaluable as we work to refine our approach to climate action in Folkestone & Hythe.

180.	<p>Yes - - 2050 You have left out the most important pillar, over population which is also the cause of all our problems today. Can we control it, NO, but families could be encouraged to have smaller families. 1-2 children good, 4 or more not good. I am 82 and have seen the population of this country nearly doubled in my life time. More people, more houses, more sewage, more roads, more cars, more concrete. Less farmland hence more fertiliser. Bigger crops move insecticide which also kills the food for wildlife. When was the last time you saw a swallow or housemartin. No more flocks or plovers or the number of bats that frequented the sky at twilight. So sad. . - - -</p>	<p>Your insights about the need for responsible governance and clear communication regarding environmental actions are valuable. We appreciate your perspective and will consider your concerns about wildlife conservation as we continue to refine the District Wide Carbon Plan in Folkestone & Hythe.</p>
181.	<p>Yes. Using less hot water. Insulation. Recycling. Yes. Less flights. I support the plan 2040 2 4 1 (not at all important) 3 5 (very important) Yes Yes. I agree. Rising sea levels. Unsustainable housing development. I agree I agree with some but not others No Yes No No Both Yes No</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. Your support for the plan, particularly in relation to reducing hot water usage, improving insulation, and increasing recycling efforts, is noted. You emphasised the importance of addressing rising sea levels and unsustainable housing development, which are critical issues for the community. Future development will be guided by the council's new local plan recognising, however, that the council is required to meet housing targets set by government to address the national housing crisis, within the recognised environmental constraints of the district. You expressed a preference for a 2040 target date and highlighted that while you support some actions, there are areas where you feel more clarity is needed.</p>

182.	<p>Changes made to make property more efficient (loft insulation, cavity wall insulation, new windows/doors, new gas boiler). Purchase of air conditioner for summer months. Recycle more (soft plastics, rice packets). Use of environmentally friendly household goods (detergent, washing up liquid, cleaning spray, washing up sponges etc). Yes. Lots of little changes can make a big difference. Those who hold more control / resources have a bigger onus to be responsible (corporations / businesses / governments). Yes. Continue making changes, as mentioned in question 4. Solar panels in the future. Heat pumps to replace boiler. Solar panels and heat pumps must be affordable. I support the plan 2050 2 1 (not at all important) 3 4 5 (very important) Yes. Engaging with large commercial organisations / government agencies that have a significant footprint on the district to collectively reduce carbon for the benefit of the district (e.g. Eurotunnel). . I agree. Reducing our reliance on fossil fuels (transport, housing), starting with focusing on what individual residents can do, in the first instance. Starting at the most significant factors, then considering others on a lower scale. I agree I agree More opportunities for greater recycling in the district. Early involvement with schools / education for the next generation. Yes Yes No Climate mitigation Yes No</p>	<p>You expressed support for the plan with a target date of 2040 and underscored the necessity of engaging with large organisations to collectively reduce carbon emissions. Your focus on reducing reliance on fossil fuels and promoting public transport aligns with broader climate mitigation efforts. We will continue to work with partner organisations including Kent County Council (the local transport authority), bus and rail operators and Highways England, to improve public transport and cycling and walking infrastructure. Additionally, you noted the importance of early involvement with schools to educate future generations about sustainability. The council has held a number of events with schools to talk about the issue of climate change, including the first meeting of the council's new Youth Forum held in February 2025.</p> <p>Your perspective on the need for clear communication and actionable steps is valuable as we continue to refine the District Wide Carbon Plan in Folkestone & Hythe. Thank you for your insights.</p>
183.	<p>Yes Yes. Subject to cost. I support some of the actions but not others 2050 3 5 (very important) 2 4 1 (not at all important) Yes. No. No No. I agree with some but not others. Unsure, I agree with some but not others No I agree with some but not others No Yes No Yes Climate adaptation Yes No</p>	<p>Your call for a clearer understanding of which actions are most impactful aligns with a desire for more straightforward communication from the council. We appreciate your insights and will consider your suggestions as we work to refine the plan, ensuring it effectively addresses community needs and fosters greater engagement in climate action within Folkestone & Hythe.</p>
184.	<p>Yes No I support some of the actions but not others. Why are we locally wanted to achieve net zero by 2030, when the country is 2050. Also small areas don't make much difference when much larger countries do not comply. Why is there very little being said about the destruction of rain forests when this has a great affect on climate change. 2050 No. For it to be worldwide and not small areas. No. I agree with some but not others. The lack of protection from the sea across the ranges. If the sea rises there is no sea wall for protection (the MOD ranges along Dymchurch Road). I agree with some but not others I agree with some but not others No No Both No -</p>	<p>Your preference for a 2050 target date aligns with a desire for a more comprehensive global approach rather than localised efforts alone. We appreciate your insights and will consider your concerns about effective communication, adaptation strategies, and the broader context of climate action as we refine the plan to better address community needs in Folkestone & Hythe.</p>

185.	<p>Yes. Pollution in air. Fuel in air - reduce to electric cars. Yes. Recycling more off. Electric buses, cars, trucks in local areas. I support some of the actions but not others 2040 4 Yes Yes. I agree. - I agree Construction - ??? electric machinery. Electric bikes in area to commute around local area - great idea. I agree Yes Yes No Yes Both Yes No</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. You raised important concerns about the effectiveness of local initiatives in the context of broader global challenges, particularly regarding deforestation. We will include your insights about the need for a more comprehensive approach and effective communication in our plan revisions.</p>
186.	<p>Yes No I support some of the actions but not others 2040 3 5 (very important) 1 (not at all important) 4 2 - No. . - I agree with some but not others I agree with some but not others No No No No Both No No</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan.</p>
187.	<p>Increased rainfall and damp conditions affect my chest. Yes. I am employed with a respectable wage but as a single person with only my wage I find it difficult to pay for things like solar panels etc that could make a difference. Yes I support the plan 2040 3 2 1 (not at all important) 5 (very important) 4 Yes No. I agree. Rising sea levels and increased rainfall. I agree I agree with some but not others Yes Yes No Both Yes No</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. You highlighted the impact of increased rainfall and damp conditions on your health, as well as the financial challenges you face in implementing energy-efficient solutions like solar panels. The council works in partnership with Kent County Council and the other Kent local authorities to promote solar panels to homeowners, small businesses and local groups through the Solar Together Kent project.</p> <p>We will incorporate your concerns regarding affordability and the importance of addressing rising sea levels and increased rainfall into our plan revisions.</p>

188.	<p>Yes. I would love to be involved but the language the policies are written leaves me mystified. What are you trying to get across to me and other ordinary people and not just the friends of friends. Undecided I don't support the plan. I can't understand what you want to do to Folkestone. 2050 4 1 (not at all important) 2 3 5 (very important) No. Hydrogen buses or trams, rethink tram road. More buy to let houses. Old fashion council houses. Reduce all the hot air coming out of the council offices. No. I agree with some but not others. Lack of housing at a price to allow young people to stay, start up homes and maybe bring life back to town (Folkestone is dead). I agree with some but not others. Climate change will be achieved when you take all of the people. Not just the people who sit on all the same committees and nod even if they don't understand. I agree with some but not others Yes. The council to reinstate the bus stations. The new road layout and cycle lanes which will make it more dangerous to move about. The heart of Folkestone has already been ripped out, don't make worse. The council to reinstate the bus stations. The new road layout and cycle lanes which will make it more dangerous to move about. The heart of Folkestone has already been ripped out, don't make worse. No No No. More trees to lift pavements, leaves on the pavements for me to sleep on, after the Folkestone flood the drains were cleared (not now). Climate adaptation No No. More meetings, more biscuits, the same people on every committee, some for the best reasons, some for what if they can get out of it (money).</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. You expressed a desire to be involved but highlighted that the language used in the policies is confusing and not accessible to ordinary residents, which raises concerns about transparency and community engagement. We will take your suggestions for clearer communication and more inclusive planning into account as we revise the plan to better address the needs and perspectives of all residents in Folkestone & Hythe.</p>
189.	<p>Just very unpredictable weather. Yes. Lower emissions exhaust. Less driving. Yes. Not sure at present. I support the plan 2030 Yes . I agree. Flooding. I agree I agree No Yes No No Both Yes No</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. You expressed concerns about unpredictable weather and the importance of reducing emissions through measures like lower exhaust emissions and less driving, while also supporting the plan with a target date of 2030. We will incorporate your insights regarding flooding and the need for clearer communication about the plan's objectives as we revise our approach to better engage with the community in Folkestone & Hythe.</p>
190.	<p>Government and councils are hitting people financially because of the scare tactics of the above and other organisations ie Greenpeace, Friends of the Earth etc. No No I don't support the plan. Because this will cost the people of Britain money we do not have. 2050 5 (very important) No No. I do not agree. - I do not agree I do not agree Yes. Stop taking any form of action. No No No Both No No</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. We will take your insights regarding affordability and the need for a more comprehensive approach into account as we revise the plan to better address community concerns in Folkestone & Hythe. However, the great majority (almost 87%) of people who responded to the consultation on the District Wide Carbon Plan supported the proposed actions set out in the plan or put forward additional suggestions of their own.</p>

191.	<p>Yes. By taking extra care with water, fuel, gas and electric usage. Yes. Not using coal on steam engines and steam boats. I support the plan 2040 Yes. Gridlocked traffic in Hythe - cars standing still pumping out pollution, sometimes for 10 mins plus. Worse at schools opening and closing and work related hours. Log burning stoves as gas and electric, oil are so expensive. Yes. I agree with some but not others. Pollution from gridlocked traffic in Hythe. It is very noticable in Hythe main roads. I agree with some but not others Hythe Methodist Anglican Church in a bronse eco church. I agree with some but not others No Yes No Yes Both Yes Yes</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. You expressed a commitment to reducing resource usage and highlighted concerns about pollution from gridlocked traffic in Hythe, particularly during peak hours. We will incorporate your insights regarding traffic management and the importance of clear communication into our plan revisions to better address community needs in Folkestone & Hythe. We will continue to work with partner organisations including Kent County Council (the local transport authority), bus and rail operators and Highways England, to improve public transport and transport infrastructure in the district.</p>
192.	<p>Worry as to how the current climate changes will affect our grandchildren and their children. Yes. Needs to remove money based CEO's worldwide to switch from personal profit into new infrastructures to provide eco systems. Yes. Utilise wind systems more efficiently to provide electrical support. I support the plan. Electric cars / transport until batteries are safer and the countries electric grid has been enhanced to accomodate all electric vehicles in the future. 2050 3 Yes. Ensuring land and trees are kept in volume to maintain carbon stability. Better development for wind systems to provide cheap and stable power source. No. I agree with some but not others. Sea pollution and discharge. Contamination of food supply from sea. I agree with some but not others. Sea level rising and the need to ensure the risk to everyone between Folkestone and Rye are protected. I agree with some but not others Consider alternative air conditioning systems and the use of refridgerant gases across all office and transport systems. Yes Yes Yes. Base engineering in front of money to save the world. Both No Yes. How to best replace carbon into the atmosphere.</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. You expressed concerns about the long-term impacts of climate change on future generations and emphasised the need for a shift from profit-driven motives to sustainable infrastructure, as well as better utilisation of renewable energy sources like wind power. We will incorporate your insights regarding financial considerations, pollution, and the importance of protecting coastal areas into our plan revisions to ensure a comprehensive approach to climate action in Folkestone & Hythe.</p>
193.	<p>Yes Yes I support the plan 2040 1 (not at all important) 3 2 4 5 (very important) Yes. More onus on businesses. Less waste of resources (including printing out thousands of copies of this plan! - include a link or direction to where it can be viewed). More incentives for residents / public. Food production. No. I agree with some but not others. Emissions (impact of). Flooding (risk). I agree with some but not others. Ban on single use products for businesses, including local government. I agree with some but not others Yes. Fines for businesses clearly flouting rules and disrespecting environment. Less waste of resources. Ban on single use. Yes Yes No Both Yes No</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. You emphasised the need for businesses to take more responsibility, suggested reducing resource waste, and called for incentives for residents, highlighting the importance of addressing food production and pollution. We will incorporate your suggestions regarding clearer communication, incentives, and avoiding the use of single-use products into our plan revisions to better align with community needs in Folkestone & Hythe.</p>

194.	<p>Unsure of long term impact weather will have on house, house insurance. Yes. Turning off lights, turning down heating. Sharing transport. Switching to available public and affordable transport. Yes. Have already attempted. I support the plan 2050 3 5 (very important) Yes Yes. I agree. Impact of weather. Lowering cost of public transport. New builds. I agree. Increase of bus routes. I agree No Yes No No Climate adaptation Yes -</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. You expressed concerns about the long-term impacts of climate change on your home and highlighted the importance of reducing resource usage and improving public transport options. We will continue to work with partner organisations including Kent County Council (the local transport authority), bus and rail operators and Highways England, to improve public transport and cycling and walking infrastructure in the district.</p> <p>We will incorporate your insights regarding climate adaptation, traffic management, and the need for clearer communication into our plan revisions to better address the needs of the community in Folkestone & Hythe.</p>
195.	<p>Yes. But very minor. Yes. Within reason. I support the plan 2040 2 4 1 (not at all important) 5 (very important) 3 No. Energy generation. Energy generation. No. I agree with some but not others. - I agree with some but not others. Reduction of paperwork. I agree with some but not others No Yes Yes No Both No No</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. You mentioned supporting the plan but expressed concerns about the importance of energy generation and the need to reduce paperwork, emphasising the significance of efficiency in communication and action. We will incorporate your suggestions regarding clearer messaging and the reduction of unnecessary documentation into our plan revisions to better align with community needs in Folkestone & Hythe.</p>
196.	<p>Yes. Use less disposable items. Recycle more. Less littering. Yes. Use less disposable items. Recycle. Reducing household supply usage. Less food waste. I support the plan 2040 4 5 (very important) 1 (not at all important) 2 3 Yes. Food waste. Yes. I agree. Waste produced overall. I agree I agree Yes No No Both Yes No</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. You emphasised the importance of reducing waste, recycling more, and minimising food waste, while supporting the plan with a target date of 2040. We will incorporate your insights regarding overall waste reduction and the need for greater emphasis on sustainable practices into our plan revisions to better address community needs in Folkestone & Hythe.</p>

197.	<p>One family member monitors river levels and the equality of water. The other family member assess flood risks in different areas country wide! Yes. We are all responsible for our actions, not purchasing too much. Mending what we already own rather than throw it away. Manufacturers should make / design things to last! Yes. Buying an electric car. Better recycling facilities. Buying less, less waste. I support some of the actions but not others. I feel limiting car access in Folkestone will kill the town, make it difficult for the majority of the residents. Make people drive further away, making the emissions worse elsewhere - which would be pointless and needless! 2040 5 (very important) 3 4 2 1 (not at all important) No. The focus should be countrywide, by doing certain aspects it just pushes the problem to another district or county! Empty properties should not be allowed to be empty longer than 3 years - sell them or fine them! The local buses should be electric or a tram system or resurrect the Leas lift. Improve parking to encourage people to shop locally. No. I agree with some but not others. Too many people in offices - pen pushing instead of people clearing drains, road sweeping. Making Folkestone somewhere to visit and people to be proud of. I agree with some but not others. The floral displays on the Leas, when they are changed the old plants are dumped down the Warren. These could be given to community projects or schools to nurture to save waste and improve the environment! I am aware of them, I feel the groups are too small and should combine their efforts and focus! Yes, I feel there should be a wider consultation, let the residents vote on such actions. I agree with some but not others Improve waste collection. Improve opening times at the recycling centre. Open up a Mend it Hub to encourage fixing things i.e. electrical items. Yes No No Climate adaptation Yes Yes</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. You highlighted the importance of responsible consumption, such as mending items instead of discarding them, and emphasised the need for better recycling facilities and local transport options. We will continue to work with partner organisations including Kent County Council (the local transport authority), bus and rail operators and Highways England, to improve public transport and cycling and walking infrastructure.</p> <p>We will incorporate your insights regarding the impact of policies on local businesses, the importance of community involvement, and the need for efficient waste management into our plan revisions to better serve the residents of Folkestone & Hythe.</p>
198.	<p>Yes Yes. External insulation. PV panels. Electric car. I support some of the actions but not others 2040 2 1 (not at all important) 3 5 (very important) 4 - . I agree. - I agree I agree with some but not others No Yes No Yes. Offer incentive for insulation and PV feedback. Climate mitigation Yes -</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. You expressed support for actions like external insulation, PV panels, and electric vehicles, while also emphasising the importance of incentives for these initiatives. The council works in partnership with Kent County Council and the other Kent local authorities to promote solar panels to homeowners, small businesses and local groups through the Solar Together Kent project.</p> <p>We will incorporate your insights regarding the need for clear communication and effective climate mitigation strategies into our plan revisions to better address community needs in Folkestone & Hythe.</p>
199.	<p>Re-inforced the need for drastic behaviour and systemic change. My well being and all other living creatures depend on it changing. Yes. We must reduce our impact by consuming less. We currently live in a 'deplorable' society - this must change. We must use less stuff. Yes. Flying less. Consuming less meat. I support the plan 2040 5 (very important) 4 2 3 1 (not at all important) Yes I think all above are very important and of equal weight. No. I agree. Flooding. Food shortage. Fire. Plant / animal die out. Forced migration due to climate change around world. I agree Yes. Climate carnival, restock shop, Green Party in local government. I agree No Yes Yes No Climate mitigation Yes -</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. You emphasised the urgent need for systemic change to reduce our impact on the environment and highlighted the importance of consuming less, reducing meat consumption, and flying less. We will incorporate your insights regarding climate adaptation and community initiatives into our plan revisions to ensure a comprehensive approach to sustainability in Folkestone & Hythe.</p>

200.	<p>Anxiety when seeing local flooding and transport disruption. Yes. Encouraging and supporting tree planting and related programmes. For example I contribute to Woodland Trust. Yes. Gas heating removal once green electricity is affordable. I support some of the actions but not others 2040 5 (very important) 4 2 Yes. The buses serving Folkestone are old, dirty, too big - double deckers, often empty, have a kerb weight of 11,570 kg. Must have park and ride using old railway line with car part at top near sewage pumping station. Yes. I agree with some but not others. Lack of clear traffic and transport strategy. Make more allotment areas available, encouraging all schools to be actively involved in growing own vegetables. Yes. Build new homes on brownfield sites, not on greenfield or golf courses. Act to prevent empty residential properties staying unused. Enforce refurbishment, well insulated. Yes No No Climate mitigation No</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. You expressed concerns about local flooding and transport disruptions while highlighting the importance of supporting tree planting initiatives and transitioning away from gas heating. We will incorporate your insights regarding transport improvements, housing development on brownfield sites, and community engagement into our plan revisions to better address the needs of residents in Folkestone & Hythe. Future development will be guided by the council's new local plan recognising, however, that the council is required to meet housing targets set by government to address the national housing crisis, within the recognised environmental constraints of the district.</p>
201.	<p>Yes Yes. By reducing unnecessary use of transport, particularly air travel. I support the plan 2050 3 Yes. We live in a throw away age. Food surplus should be distributed when possible. Synthetic materials to be avoided. Plastic waste seems almost possible to eradicate. Yes. . - Recent discontinued local bus routes should be reinstated to encourage use of public transport. Both Yes I have inadequate knowledge of the wider action. I am 82 and my mobility is not good.</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. We will incorporate your insights regarding public transport improvements and the importance of sustainable practices into our plan revisions to better address the needs of residents in Folkestone & Hythe. We will continue to work with partner organisations including Kent County Council (the local transport authority), bus and rail operators and Highways England, to improve public transport and walking and cycling infrastructure.</p>
202.	<p>Health reasons. Yes Yes I support the plan 2030 4 Yes Yes. I agree. Traffic, transport etc. I agree I agree No Yes No No Both Yes Yes</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan.</p>
203.	<p>Yes Yes I support the plan 2050 Yes Yes. I agree. - I agree I agree Yes No No Both Yes No</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan.</p>
204.	<p>No Undecided I support some of the actions but not others 2050 4 - Yes. I agree with some but not others. - I agree with some but not others I agree with some but not others No No No Yes. Another nuclear power station. Both Yes Yes. Independent evaluation.</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. We will incorporate your insights regarding diverse energy solutions and the need for thorough assessments into our plan revisions to better align with community perspectives in Folkestone & Hythe.</p>

205.	Well being. No. It's got to be all of us. Undecided - 2040 No No. I agree with some but not others. Cleaner sea water would be a good start. I do not agree I agree with some but not others No Yes No No Both No No	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. Importance of cleaner sea water as a starting point for improvement. The issue of sewage discharges was also strongly reflected in feedback on our draft Corporate Plan, 'Our district, our world', and we have committed in the Corporate Plan to use our influence with water companies to reduce river and coastal pollution.</p> <p>We will incorporate your insights regarding the necessity of a unified approach and the significance of environmental quality into our plan revisions to better serve the community in Folkestone & Hythe.</p>
206.	No No I don't support the plan No No. I do not agree. - I do not agree I do not agree No No No No -	Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan.
207.	Travel adversely impacted. Yes. But very limited impact. Yes. Less travel. Less consumerism. I support the plan 2050 2 1 (not at all important) 4 5 (very important) 3 No, should focus on delivering what is achievable. No Yes. I agree. Flooding. Summer heat waves. Housing stock is in need to massive investment. I agree. The realistic opportunities are nowhere near enough to achieve net zero; hence why I am pessimistic. But we have to make an effort. I agree No Yes No No Both Yes No	Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. You expressed concerns about the impacts of climate change on travel and emphasised the need for achievable actions, such as reducing flooding and investing in housing stock. The council has undertaken significant work through the Social Housing Decarbonisation Fund to improve the energy efficiency and comfort of its council homes. We will incorporate your insights regarding realistic opportunities for achieving net zero and the importance of a focused approach into our plan revisions to better address community needs in Folkestone & Hythe.
208.	Depends on situation and what negatives are applied. Undecided - 2050 1 (not at all important) 2 5 (very important) 3 4 - No. I agree with some but not others. - I agree with some but not others I agree with some but not others Yes. Just leave transport alone as this will cause more financial burden on everybody living on breadline who need transport to get about e.g. diesel cars. Yes No No No No	Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. You expressed concerns about the financial burden of transport policies on residents, particularly those with limited means, and emphasised the need for a balanced approach that considers achievable actions. We will incorporate your insights regarding the importance of addressing flooding and transport issues without imposing undue hardship on the community into our plan revisions for Folkestone & Hythe.

209.	<p>No change yet. No. Insignificant on the world stage. No I support some of the actions but not others. Lost to the taxpayers who are already financially stressed. 2050 5 (very important) Yes. Should offer all council tax paying properties financial help to install PV panels etc. Disagree with solar and wind farms, making arable ground totally non productive. No. I agree with some but not others. Dover docks - thousands of lorries and cars, big marine diesel engines in the ferries, helicopters and planes. I agree with some but not others. Much better public transport plan is needed. I agree with some but not others All the Romney Marsh ditches should be looked at now as most are blocked as the Environment Agency only maintains the main sewers. No No No Both Yes No. I don't know of any.</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. You expressed concerns about the financial impact of climate initiatives on taxpayers and emphasised the need for practical solutions, such as financial assistance for installing renewable energy systems like PV panels (Solar Together). Additionally, you highlighted issues related to transport emissions, particularly from Dover docks, and called for better public transport planning and maintenance of local drainage systems. We will continue to work with partner organisations including Kent County Council (the local transport authority), bus and rail operators and Highways England, to improve public transport and cycling and walking infrastructure.</p> <p>We will incorporate your insights regarding achievable actions and the importance of addressing local environmental concerns into our plan revisions for Folkestone & Hythe.</p>
210.	<p>Yes Yes. Already recycle, use less electricity, keep garden and allotment green, buy second hand clothes. I did look into solar panels but too expensive and not worth my while. A better bus service - more frequent would help to reduce car use and a passenger train on Lydd / Ashford line would also help. I support the plan 2040 2 4 1 (not at all important) 3 5 (very important) Yes Yes. . - I agree Solar together I agree No No No No Climate mitigation Yes No</p>	<p>Your support for the plan targeting 2040 reflects a desire for actionable steps, particularly in improving public transport and promoting renewable energy, despite concerns about the affordability of solar panels. The council works in partnership with Kent County Council and the other Kent local authorities to promote solar panels to homeowners, small businesses and local groups through the Solar Together Kent project. We will take your insights into account as we revise the plan to ensure it meets community needs and encourages collective efforts toward sustainability in Folkestone & Hythe.</p>

211.	<p>I have bought modern house and added more insulation and solar power. Yes. Become more economical with resources and reduce dependency on gas or grid for electricity. Yes. Heat pump tech to remove gas. Wind turbine to supplement solar. Others? Yes, open to suggestions / ideas. I support some of the actions but not others. Not convinced that electric cars are much better for planet but agree they are better for emissions. 5 (very important) 1 (not at all important) 3 2 4 Yes. Grid should pay fair price for power or domestic solar investment will be slow. Cost and ownership. Plan not achievable unless it's easy to afford and everyone feels they have a part to play. Yes. I agree with some but not others. Flooding, using M20 as a lorry park, inclusion. Don't see any effort to involve or incentivise people. I agree with some but not others. Incentivise and involve people. If they start going green they will naturally apply at home / work and travel. I agree with some but not others Yes. Get online - use social platforms instead of paper. Yes Yes No Both No No</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. You highlighted your commitment to sustainability through actions like improving insulation, utilising solar power, and considering heat pump technology. You also expressed openness to additional suggestions for reducing dependency on traditional energy sources. Your support for the 2050 plan reflects a recognition of the importance of affordable solutions and community involvement in achieving climate goals.</p>
212.	<p>No Undecided I support some of the actions but not others 2040 5 (very important) 3 1 (not at all important) 4 2 Yes Yes. I agree with some but not others. Transport - more buses could mean less cars. Not a viable option due to availability and cost for a family to use a bus so cars have to be used for the foreseeable. I agree with some but not others. Social housing receiving renewable energy sources - no help for private residents yet paying over £3000/year council tax means residents are unable to renew / replace / invest in any energy efficiency measures. I agree with some but not others No No No No Climate mitigation Yes No</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. You highlighted the importance of collective action and expressed support for some actions while remaining undecided about others. Your emphasis on the need for a more viable public transport system and equitable support for private residents, especially those facing high council tax, is crucial. We will continue to work with partner organisations including Kent County Council (the local transport authority), bus and rail operators and Highways England, to improve public transport and cycling and walking infrastructure in the district.</p>
213.	<p>No Undecided I support some of the actions but not others 2050 No No. I agree with some but not others. - I agree with some but not others I agree with some but not others No No No No Climate mitigation No No</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan.</p>
214.	<p>Yes. Ensuring you take appropriate action to reduce your families carbon footprint, through the amount of energy you use in your house or car. Yes. Solar panels. Insulating your home and walking more. I would consider an electric car if the charging infrastructure was improved. I support some of the actions but not others. I am not sure if Ules or taxing older cars will help, it's just unfair. I do not know if that is in the wider plan. 2040 2 1 (not at all important) 3 5 (very important) 4 Yes. If residential is the highest contributor then more help / incentive needs to be allocated to households to encourage use of solar panels or reducing energy. I feel that there needs to be less new housing developments on land that was open space. I would like to see a cap on building new homes. Yes. I agree with some but not others. Population growth and the additional affect this will have on climate. The changes to weather and how extreme these are. I agree with some but not others Solar Together Kent Project. I agree with some but not others No Yes Yes No Both Yes No</p>	<p>We will consider your insights as we revise the plan, particularly regarding the need for equitable support for households, sustainable housing practices, and effective public transport solutions. We will continue to work with partner organisations including Kent County Council (the local transport authority), bus and rail operators and Highways England, to improve public transport and cycling and walking infrastructure in the district.</p>

		Your feedback will help ensure that our approach is inclusive and addresses the community's concerns in Folkestone & Hythe.
215.	<p>Yes. By being aware of how their life impacts climate change. Yes. Using public transport more. Recycling as much as possible. Using less water and electric. I support the plan 2050 3 Yes Yes. I agree. Extreme weather. I agree. Getting owners of old property to improve insulation of their property and installing double glazing. I agree Yes. Make it easier to insulate your home and install double glazing by giving grants to homeowners. Yes No No Both Yes No</p>	<p>We will consider your insights as we revise the plan, particularly regarding support for residential energy improvements and enhancing public transport options. We will continue to work with partner organisations including Kent County Council (the local transport authority), bus and rail operators and Highways England, to improve public transport and cycling and walking infrastructure in the district.</p> <p>Your feedback will help ensure that our approach is effective and inclusive for all residents in Folkestone & Hythe.</p>
216.	<p>Health related No. Most are in industrial areas. Undecided I support the plan. Not specifically. 2030 4 1 (not at all important) 5 (very important) 3 2 Yes Yes. . Generally common challenges include rising temperatures, extreme weather events. Sea level rise. I agree Don't know any. I agree No Yes No No Climate mitigation Yes No</p>	<p>We will consider your insights on enhancing support for residential energy improvements and improving public transport options in our revisions. We will continue to work with partner organisations including Kent County Council (the local transport authority), bus and rail operators and Highways England, to improve public transport and cycling and walking infrastructure in the district.</p> <p>Your feedback will help ensure that our approach is effective and inclusive for all residents in Folkestone & Hythe.</p>
217.	<p>As per question 3 this is a meaningless question which will first invite people to respond with weather related events and so spurious responses. No. Given that climate change is a natural phenomenon with global warming a fact since end of last ice age and a fluctuating increase in temperature since then, which will continue until start of next ice age, it is ridiculous to suggest individuals can have any impact. No I don't support the plan. The concept of declaring a climate change and ecological emergency is nonsense and any plan to support such a concept without merit. 1 (not at all important) No. There is no scientific evidence to support carbon effective climate change / producing global warming. Some papers written by pseudo climate experts! The fact is carbon has increased over history in respect to temperature increase, it does not drive global warming. Yes the plan should provide the scientific basis for suggesting carbon emissions responsible for global warming as per my response to Q6 they clearly are not as CO2 constitutes 0.04% of the atmosphere and has no significant impact on anything. . . There are no pressing climate challenges and risks facing the district today. I do not agree. Nothing further needed to support the flawed thinking that has gone into the plan. I do not agree No. As per previous answers the ??? of the concept is futile. No No No Climate adaptation No No</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan.</p> <p>We acknowledge your perspective on the scientific basis for carbon emissions and their role in global warming, and we will consider these views as we refine the plan. Your emphasis on the need for a solid scientific foundation in our proposals is noted and will be taken into account in our revisions.</p> <p>Your insights regarding the perceived lack of pressing climate challenges in the district will also be reflected upon as we aim to create a plan that resonates with all community members. However, the great majority of people who responded to the consultation on the District Wide Carbon Plan identified climate change as a threat, and supported</p>

		the proposed actions set out in the plan or put forward additional suggestions of their own. Thank you for sharing your thoughts; they are valuable as we work towards a comprehensive approach in Folkestone & Hythe.
218.	<p>Loss of greenfield sites impact on the wellbeing of so many. Yes. Waste management. Many other ways, but most cost (power, transport). Undecided I support the plan. No. 2040 4 5 (very important) 3 2 1 (not at all important) Yes. Local takeaways especially fish and chip shops, burger houses, KFC create so much waste they should be charged extra rates because of the litter packaging causes, needing clean up operations. Restrict building to brownfield sites much more. Yes. I agree. Cost and funding biggest factor. I agree. Building on greenfield areas does not help with plan. There are many against the plan, if building approvals at Lyminge, Sandgate/Hythe where plan is ignored. I agree Yes. Stop building on greenfield sites. Yes No Yes. Charge takeaway businesses extra to fund clean up operations. Climate mitigation Yes No</p>	<p>We will consider your insights regarding charging takeaway businesses for cleanup operations and the need to halt development on greenfield sites as we revise the plan. Future development in the district will be guided by the council's new local plan recognising, however, that the council is required to meet housing targets set by government to address the national housing crisis, within the recognised environmental constraints of the district.</p> <p>Your feedback is valuable in shaping a comprehensive approach that addresses community concerns in Folkestone & Hythe.</p>
219.	<p>Yes. But financial limitations inhibit many changes being made by individuals. Yes. Already have, reduced car use, turned down heating, never waste food. Cost prohibits changes, heating system, installation of solar panels etc. I support the plan 2040 4 5 (very important) 3 2 1 (not at all important) Yes. Encouragement of food production locally, eating seasonally would help reduce lorries on our roads. Only build where adequate transport is available so often new builds need a car due to lack of supply of frequent buses. Yes. I agree. Flooding from increased rainfall and sea level rises, poor air quality due to over reliance on cars because of infrequent or non existence bus services (e.g. Shornccliffe area housing). I agree. Attempt to change public attitudes re (1) over heated homes (wear a jumper and be toasty), (2) food waste (how can people afford to throw so much away?), (3) car use - walk or bus good for you, your purse and the environment. No I agree Yes. Raise public awareness, behaviour must change, less waste, cooler homes, less car and plane travel, it is everyone's responsibility. Cost to individuals is the biggest barrier. Yes No No Both Yes No</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. We appreciate your suggestions regarding local food production and the need for adequate transport options for new developments, which align with our goals to enhance sustainability and reduce emissions. We will continue to work with partner organisations including Kent County Council (the local transport authority), bus and rail operators and Highways England, to improve public transport and cycling and walking infrastructure in the district.</p> <p>Your insights on flooding and air quality issues will also be considered as we revise the plan to ensure it addresses the community's concerns effectively.</p>

220.	<p>Health of elderly parents during heatwaves. Damage to property in winter storms. Yes. Green sources of energy. Energy conservation, recycling. Such methods must be affordable to all. Yes. Already do. I choose carbon zero energy, carbon offset car insurance etc. I support some of the actions but not others. The plan is very light on specifics. 2040 4 2 1 (not at all important) 5 (very important) 3 Yes. Listed buildings need to be allowed specifically to modernise their buildings. For example double glazing or solar panels. No. I agree. Coastal storms and sea levels. I agree I agree with some but not others Yes No No Both Yes -</p>	<p>Your support for green energy sources and energy conservation is noted, as well as your emphasis on affordability for all residents. We will consider your insights regarding the need for more specifics in the plan, particularly concerning support for listed buildings to modernise with energy-efficient solutions like double glazing and solar panels. While much of the framework is set at national level through legislation and national planning policy, we will seek to provide more guidance for homeowners on the installation of solar panels and other energy generation and efficiency measures so that the rules are clearer. Your acknowledgment of coastal storms and rising sea levels highlights critical challenges we face, and we will incorporate your suggestions into our revisions to ensure a comprehensive approach that addresses community needs in Folkestone & Hythe.</p>
221.	<p>Financial - loss of money due to flooding. Health - stress on people. Well being - worrying when it rains. Yes. Educating people, taking ownership, working together. Yes. I consider this daily from - reducing water intake, recycling, switching off lights. I support the plan. I do struggle with this. Climate change has been here for a long time now. I do not think the local / district council do enough. 2040 2 4 3 5 (very important) 1 (not at all important) No. Stop building, plant more trees, use of solar, utilise empty houses in the district. Education. No. I agree with some but not others. Flooding. I agree with some but not others. Improve infrastructure. Council to be accountable and not just talk about it. I don't have the internet, where is Section 5.39 in the district wide carbon plan. I agree with some but not others No No No Both Yes No</p>	<p>We will consider your suggestions regarding stopping new builds on greenfield sites, increasing tree planting, and utilising empty houses as we refine the plan. Future development will be guided by the council's new local plan recognising, however, that the council is required to meet housing targets set by government to address the national housing crisis, within the recognised environmental constraints of the district.</p> <p>Your call for improved infrastructure and accountability from the council is crucial for ensuring effective implementation of climate initiatives. We will take these insights into account in our revisions to create a more robust and actionable plan for Folkestone & Hythe.</p>
222.	<p>Extremes in weather conditions ie more storms. No Undecided I support some of the actions but not others 2050 4 5 (very important) 1 (not at all important) 3 2 Yes. No. No Yes. I agree. Don't know. I agree No I agree No Yes No No Both Yes No</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan.</p>
223.	<p>Yes Yes I support the plan 2040 Yes Yes. I agree. - I agree No I agree No Yes Yes No Both Yes No</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan.</p>

224.	None No No I don't support the plan. All. 2 3 No Over population. No. I do not agree. The population. I do not agree. You will not make any difference. Get a life. I do not agree Yes. One child per family (see China). No No No No	Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan.
225.	Yes Yes. Not sure as yet. I support the plan 2050 3 1 (not at all important) 5 (very important) 1 (not at all important) 4 Yes Yes. I agree. Coastal flooding. I agree I agree No Yes Yes No Both Yes -	Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan.
226.	Yes Yes. Cycling sometimes rather than driving. We have purchased an electric car also. I support some of the actions but not others 2050 5 (very important) 3 4 2 1 (not at all important) Yes Yes. I agree. I think flooding on Romney Marshes presents a particular risk. I am concerned at the 'knock on' environmental effect of new house building and natural flood plain erosion. I agree with some but not others I agree No Yes No No Climate adaptation Yes No	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. You highlighted your personal efforts to reduce emissions through cycling and purchasing an electric car, which aligns with our goals for sustainable transportation. We will continue to work with partner organisations including Kent County Council (the local transport authority), bus and rail operators and Highways England, to improve public transport and cycling and walking infrastructure in the district.</p> <p>Your concerns about flooding on Romney Marshes and the environmental impact of new housing developments on natural flood plains are crucial points that we will consider carefully. Future development will be guided by the council's new local plan recognising, however, that the council is required to meet housing targets set by government to address the national housing crisis, within the recognised environmental constraints of the district. These issues relate directly to our focus on climate adaptation and resilience in the face of changing weather patterns. Thank you for sharing your thoughts; they are valuable in shaping our approach to climate action in the district.</p>

227.	<p>Extreme weather events causing repeated damage and distress. Increased frequency of extreme weather events causing disruption, delays, inconvenience. Yes. If you can convince enough of them in ways they can take action. Yes. I have already made significant lifestyle adjustments e.g. run a hybrid vehicle since 2014, solar panels installed since 2008, cut travel by air significantly. I support the plan. No. But some prioritisation is required to make real impact. 2040 5 (very important) 1 (not at all important) 2 4 3 Yes. Some exploration of tidal energy should be explored by a consortium of districts with coastlines. Also on land wind turbines for small housing developments. Yes. I agree. Residential is the most pressing challenge because there is least pressure or incentive to make changes. Road transport is clearly very important but Gov't plans for electric vehicles will drive change here. I agree. You have the main areas identified. Would suggests some intermaterial research of comparative communities to explore ideas and strategies. I agree Yes. Explore incentives at the residential level to encourage local residents to invest in green technology eg council tax reduction for those investing in solar panels. Yes Yes Yes. Some thoughts and ideas but no longer active. Climate mitigation Not aware of any? Don't know enough about the Carbon Innovation Lab to comment.</p>	<p>Thank you for your detailed feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. Your suggestions for exploring tidal energy and small-scale wind turbines for housing developments are innovative ideas we will consider. Environmental standards for new development will also be set out in the council's new local plan and design codes, which will be subject to public engagement before they are finalised.</p> <p>We appreciate your emphasis on prioritising residential changes and incentivising green technology investments through measures like council tax reductions. Your support for the 2040 target and emphasis on climate mitigation will help shape our approach. We'll also look into improving awareness of initiatives like the Carbon Innovation Lab based on your feedback. The council's Sustainable Futures Forum has proved a successful way to involve the community in climate change projects, and we will explore opportunities to expand this further.</p>
228.	<p>- Undecided - - . . - -</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan.</p>
229.	<p>Yes. We must all play our part, and it may make a difference? Yes. Turn my heating down, walk if I can instead of using my car. I support the plan. No. 2030 4 5 (very important) 1 (not at all important) 2 3 Yes Aircraft from Heathrow Yes. . Transport and the cost. I agree Yes No No Both Yes No</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. Your support for the plan and willingness to take personal actions like reducing heating and walking more align well with our goals for community engagement in climate action.</p>

230.	<p>No. Climate change requires large groups / countries to act. Individuals couldn't make a significant enough difference unless under the direction / legal requirement of a council / country. Yes. Reducing mileage on car - more walking to local amenities. Recycling. Home improvements to be more environmentally friendly. I support some of the actions but not others. The plan is not specific. What was included in the pack was 90% waffle and very short on actual plans. What actually is the SFRA plan? How will the council increase EU charging points? 2040 4 5 (very important) 1 (not at all important) 2 3 Yes. Wind and water energy. We have these resources in our area, how can we create 'free' energy by local wind farms or use the sea to create energy. No. I agree with some but not others. Storm damages caused by high winds due to climate change. Traffic, specifically the ongoing blockages / road works on the M20. Delays cause congestion and vehicles going through Hythe / Cheriton / Folkestone causing more fumes. I do not agree. Wind farms locally. Surely we could use the sea, through 'wave' energy creation. More local buses - not less! Not enough being advertised on local actions. I agree with some but not others Yes Yes No No Both Yes No</p>	<p>Thank you for your detailed feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. Your insights are valuable as we work to refine our approach to climate action in Folkestone & Hythe.</p> <p>We appreciate your perspective on the need for large-scale action while also acknowledging individual efforts like reducing car mileage, recycling, and home improvements. Your support for some actions and critique of the plan's specificity will help us improve its clarity and actionability.</p> <p>Your suggestions for local renewable energy initiatives, particularly wind and wave energy, align well with our goals for sustainable power generation. We'll consider these options as we develop more concrete plans for the district.</p>
231.	<p>It did not affect me personally. Yes. Definitely yes! Everyone can change habits eg save water/energy consumption, waste recycling. Yes. Focus more on recycling. I don't support the plan 2040 Yes Yes. I agree. - I agree I agree No No No No Both Yes No</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan.</p>
232.	<p>Yes Yes I support some of the actions but not others 2050 4 5 (very important) 3 2 1 (not at all important) Yes Yes. I agree with some but not others. Waste. I agree with some but not others I agree with some but not others No Yes No No Climate adaptation Yes No</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan.</p>
233.	<p>No Undecided I support some of the actions but not others 2030 No No. I agree with some but not others. - I agree with some but not others I agree with some but not others No No No No Both No No</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan.</p>

234.	<p>Yes. Take less holidays abroad. Reduce cost of solar panels. Yes. Possibly change to hybrid car, not electric as infrastructure (charging points) is not good enough. I support some of the actions but not others. You want to improve public transport, but want to change bus station in Folkestone. 2050 3 5 (very important) 4 1 (not at all important) 2 Yes Get people to switch off car engines. I see lots of people sitting in car with their engines running for no reason. No. I agree with some but not others. Transport - foreign lorries on our motorways and local roads. I agree with some but not others I agree No Yes No No Both Yes No</p>	<p>Your support for some actions in the plan while having reservations about others is noted. We will consider your feedback on prioritising different sectors, particularly your emphasis on transport issues. Regarding Folkestone bus station, the planning application for the change of use of the existing bus station to an outdoor park, including the construction of new surfaces, seating areas and landscaping (24/1650/FH), was considered by the council's planning committee on 18 March 2025 and planning permission was granted. The proposals were supported by Stagecoach which stated that the relocated facilities would overcome a number of operational challenges which exist with the current configuration of the bus station and will greatly improve the pedestrian experience in the area. We'll also look into ways to address vehicle idling and manage the impact of foreign lorries on local roads.</p>
235.	<p>Unclear. Yes. It's more incumbent on national / supranational gov't. Undecided Either include plannings in post properly OR be clear on accessing online. Hard to rummage around online to find out / understand what the Five Priority Pillars of Focus are. 2040 1 (not at all important) 2 3 4 5 (very important) Hydrogen ICE. "Electric" cars just offset emissions to a potentially environmental unfriendly power station. Hydrogen fuel-cell powered cars are FAR better for the environment AND they're more practical. Can we encourage infrastructure for this locally/pilot scheme. No. I agree with some but not others. Water cleanliness. Water drainage. Water levels and availability. I do not agree I agree with some but not others Yes. Fight for national investment so that the district can do something solid and ground breaking. Something specific to tackle a big problem like plastic waste. No No No Both Yes Why ask me? You get some ideas THEN ask me what I think, that's how leadership and consultation works best in a democracy.</p>	<p>Thank you for your detailed feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. Your insights are valuable as we work to refine our approach to climate action in Folkestone & Hythe. We appreciate your perspective on the need for national and supranational government action, while also acknowledging the importance of local initiatives. Your suggestion for clearer communication about the Five Priority Pillars of Focus is noted, and we'll work to make this information more accessible. Your proposal for exploring hydrogen fuel-cell powered vehicles and related infrastructure is innovative. We'll consider this as a potential pilot scheme to complement our existing plans for sustainable transportation. We will also continue to work with partner organisations including Kent County Council (the local transport authority), bus and rail operators and Highways England, to improve public transport and cycling and walking infrastructure.</p>
236.	<p>Yes Undecided I support the plan 2030 Yes No. I agree. - I agree with some but not others I agree with some but not others No Yes No No Climate mitigation Yes No</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan.</p>

237.	Yes Yes. Change car. Turn heating down. Use public transport more. - 2030 2 1 (not at all important) 5 (very important) 3 4 - . I agree with some but not others. - I agree with some but not others I agree with some but not others Build all new houses with solar panels and 'grey' water collection facility and triple glazing in all windows. Yes No No Climate mitigation Yes -	We note your emphasis on climate mitigation, which will help guide our focus as we refine the plan. Thank you for sharing your thoughts; they are valuable in helping us create a more effective and locally relevant climate strategy for Folkestone & Hythe.
238.	Yes. With careful waste management. Yes. Using less heating energy. I support some of the actions but not others. Do not truly understand any of it. 1 (not at all important) 2 - . . - Yes No No Both Yes -	Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan.
239.	No Undecided I support the plan 2040 5 (very important) 1 (not at all important) 3 2 4 Yes Yes. I agree. Because of climate and changes by global warming we are facing shortages of water, temperate high and grounds seem dry, etc. I agree. No. No I agree No Yes Yes No Both Yes No	We appreciate your agreement with the identified climate challenges and risks. Your support for improving public awareness of climate issues through online platforms is noted and will be incorporated into our communication strategies.
240.	No. Climate change is driven by countries such as China, India, Russia and the US. Individuals in this country will make no difference. Yes. I have been extremely environmentally aware for many years and do whatever is in my limited capability to help and protect the environment. I support some of the actions but not others. Anything that may be imposed upon us which may restrict our freedom of choice or movement, or which has a financial burden on the individual. No No. I agree. Lack of tree planting etc along the Ranges, Dymchurch Road. The whole area is open and exposed with very little planting of any sort. This would also suppress noise pollution from the Ranges. I agree with some but not others. The area has plans for enormous building developments which will increase the population beyond the capability of the infrastructure. Building = population increase = pollution = climate change. Not aware of any. I agree with some but not others In this area? No. Worldwide? Yes. India / China / Russian / US. No No No Both No No	<p>Your suggestion for increased tree planting to address both environmental and noise pollution issues is valuable. We'll consider how to incorporate more green infrastructure in our planning policies, following the council's existing Green and Blue Infrastructure Strategy (2023). Environmental standards for new development will also be set out in the council's new local plan and design codes, which will be subject to public engagement before they are finalised.</p> <p>Thank you for sharing your thoughts. Your feedback will help us refine our approach to create a more balanced and locally relevant climate strategy for Folkestone & Hythe.</p>
241.	No Undecided I support some of the actions but not others 2050 Yes. Motor racing. Motor racing. Yes. I agree with some but not others. Not sure. I agree with some but not others I agree No No No No Both Yes No	Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan.
242.	Yes Yes I support some of the actions but not others 2050 2 Yes Yes. I agree with some but not others. - I agree with some but not others I agree with some but not others No Yes Yes No Both Yes No	Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan.

243.	No No I don't support the plan 2050 1 (not at all important) No No. I agree with some but not others. Waste water. I do not agree I agree with some but not others No No No No Climate adaptation No No	Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan.
244.	Yes. Use car less, walk more. Electricity in the home - wear more clothes, use less heating. If you can afford it have solar panels. Petrol rationing? Yes I support the plan Yes . I agree. Air pollution. Trees - nature generally - wild animal life. Sea bed. I agree I agree with some but not others No Yes Yes No Both Yes No	Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan.
245.	Yes. Be more aware of contributing factors. Yes. Carbon footprint. I support the plan 2050 2 1 (not at all important) 3 4 5 (very important) Yes Yes. I agree. - I agree Not aware. I agree No Yes Yes No Climate mitigation Yes No	Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan.
246.	Yes Yes I support some of the actions but not others 2050 4 5 (very important) 3 1 (not at all important) 2 Yes Yes. I agree. - I agree I agree No Yes Yes No Both No No	Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan.
247.	Yes. Water butts. Only boil a cup (or 2) for tea/coffee etc. Yes. Doing quite well already. Solar panels, v good insulation etc. I support the plan. No. 2040 4 Yes. Less waste in the N/H. Less plastic. Pretty comprehensive already. . I agree. Flooding. Disposal of waste. I agree None. Hopefully more innovation. I agree No No No No Both Yes No. More information needed.	Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. You highlighted the importance of large-scale action by countries and expressed your commitment to environmental practices within your capacity. We will consider your insights regarding tree planting, infrastructure challenges, and the need for clear communication in our revisions of the plan.

248.	<p>Flooded roads, damaging storm winds and their frequency which is increasing. Health problems during hotter summers. Yes. I try and recycle what I can, not use too much fuel, electric and water. Try and shop local. Yes. I already do as much as I can. I support the plan. No. I don't think the human race in general is taking this problem very seriously. 2050 Yes. Probably but people wouldn't do it. We have to work and live local and much more simpler lives. This means giving up almost all modern life. Doesn't go far enough like all these plans. We need to humanely reduce the human population but no one mentions that ever. No. I agree. In the next 100 years if nothing is done the human race will cease to exist in its present form. Life will be unbearably wretched for those unlucky enough to survive. I agree. Probably but as I said previously no one will be prepared to change their lifestyle so dramatically. Most of what is done now is pathetically laughable. Again I don't think this matter is being taken seriously by anyone. I agree Yes. Again this wouldn't be done as people wouldn't like completely rejecting modern life in favour of what would be a very harsh abrupt change. Yes Yes No. If there are they are well hidden. As far as I can tell we are looking for and burning more fossil fuels than ever. CO2 emissions have increased since every COP summit. Both Yes Yes. But they are all unpalatable when compared to modern life. No one wants to give up what they have now but at some point we will be forced to. I shall hopefully be very old or dead by then anyway.</p>	<p>Thank you for your thoughtful feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. You expressed concerns about increasing extreme weather events, health impacts of hotter summers, and the need for more drastic lifestyle changes to address climate change effectively. We will consider your insights on, local living, and the challenges of implementing significant lifestyle changes as we revise the plan to create a more comprehensive and impactful approach for Folkestone & Hythe.</p>
249.	<p>- - - 2050 2 1 (not at all important) 3 5 (very important) 4 - Yes. I agree. Flooding. Extremely hot summers. I agree I agree No Yes No No Both No No</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan.</p>
250.	<p>Yes Yes I support the plan 2050 Yes Yes. I agree. - I agree I agree Yes Yes No No Both No No</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan.</p>
251.	<p>It is the main focus of my professional life. Yes. Small scale actions in personal and community life. Supporting political change at all levels in society. Yes. We have a continued programme of improving our home insulation. Cutting down on car and air travel especially. Shift in diet away from red meat. I support the plan 2050 3 4 5 (very important) 2 1 (not at all important) Yes. Social welfare inclusion and wellbeing. The move to net zero across the wider community pivots around the quality of the social fabric. Education at all levels. Technological solutions on their own are not sufficient to deal with the challenges of carbon and climate change. Yes. I agree. The difficulty of promoting behaviour change at a time when many people feel economic hardship. I agree. A key strategy will be to help community groups establish 'hubs' which focus on climate change and sustainability issues. The most active and relevant groups are already involved with the Carbon Innovation Lab and Sustainability Forum. Youth Climate Change Assembly. I agree Yes. There needs to be sufficient funding to support and promote carbon reduction policies. This depends on government support at a national, district and local level. Yes Yes Yes. Aviva Community Fund (will contribute £2 for every £1 raised). Both Yes I don't know.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comprehensive feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. You highlighted the importance of personal actions, community engagement, and political change in addressing climate challenges. We will consider your insights on social welfare inclusion, education, and the need for community hubs focused on sustainability as we revise the plan to create a more holistic and effective approach for Folkestone & Hythe. There may be potential for a sustainability hub to be part funded through the council's Green Grants Programme, if such an initiative is promoted by a local group.</p>

252.	No. Not in the UK, when India and China produce so much CO2. No I support some of the actions but not others. Any approach that will cost residents money. 2050 2 1 (not at all important) 4 Yes Yes. I agree with some but not others. - I agree with some but not others I agree with some but not others Yes. Housing in Shepway is in crisis, you need to building affordable houses, with low carbon output for the residents, to replace the council houses you sold. No No No Both No No	Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. You expressed concerns about global emissions from countries like India and China, and emphasised the need for affordable, low-carbon housing in the district. We will consider your insights on housing priorities and cost concerns for residents as we revise the plan to create a more balanced approach for Folkestone & Hythe.
253.	Yes Yes. Greater use of recycling, use fewer disposable products. I support the plan 2040 4 3 Yes Yes. I agree. Rising sea levels. I agree I agree No Yes No No Climate adaptation No No	Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan.
254.	They haven't, other than being constantly bombarded by climate change hysteria in the media! No. Look at China and India etc first! No I don't support the plan. On a worldwide scale, F&H's actions are totally irrelevant! You are wasting tax payers money for nothing! 2050 3 2 1 (not at all important) 4 5 (very important) No. You are cutting back on buses so more have to use cars. You continue to build more houses without ensuring a sufficient water supply. Stop virtue signalling and work to benefit the council tax payers! No. I do not agree. Lack of attention to cleaning drains etc and this causing flooding. I do not agree I do not agree Yes. Stop building houses here. No No No Both No No	Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. You expressed strong scepticism about local climate action and concerns about housing development, water supply, and public transportation, however, the great majority (almost 87%) of people who responded to the consultation on the District Wide Carbon Plan supported the proposed actions set out in the plan or put forward additional suggestions of their own. We will consider your views on prioritising local services and infrastructure as we revise the plan to better address the needs and concerns of Folkestone & Hythe residents.
255.	Warmer weather means less gas used for heating. No No I don't support the plan. Other countries are doing nothing. Whatever we do will make no difference. 2050 No No. I do not agree. The money being wasted on these projects. I do not agree It is all a load of WOKE clap trap. I do not agree No No No No Climate adaptation No No. This so called consultation is just a smokescreen. You will ignore the results and do what you wanted in the first place. As usual. Another waste of rate payers money!	Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. You express strong scepticism about the effectiveness of local climate action and concerns about the use of public funds for these initiatives, however, the great majority (almost 87%) of people who responded to the consultation on the District Wide Carbon Plan supported the proposed actions set out in the plan or put forward additional suggestions of their own. We will consider your views on prioritising other local issues and the consultation process as we revise the plan to better address the concerns of Folkestone & Hythe residents. The council's new Corporate Plan 'Our district, our world' highlights the importance of being a well-run council delivering on our statutory services within a balanced budget, while also working in partnership with other

		organisations to bring wider environmental benefits where we can.
256.	Yes. Re-use, recycle as much as possible. Eat seasonally. Yes. Taking breaks in the UK and not flying, using trains. using water butts to lessen use of hosepipe. I support the plan 2050 3 1 (not at all important) 2 4 5 (very important) Yes Yes. I agree. - I agree I agree No Yes Yes No Both Yes No	Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. You highlighted personal actions like recycling, eating seasonally, and reducing air travel to support sustainability efforts. We will consider your insights on prioritising infrastructure and transport improvements, as well as your support for the 2050 target, as we revise the plan to create a more effective approach for Folkestone & Hythe.
257.	Yes Undecided I support some of the actions but not others 2040 Yes Yes. I agree with some but not others. - I agree I agree No Yes No No Both -	Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan.
258.	None Yes, keeping gutters and drains clear of debris where possible. Yes. Recycling. Walking rather than relying on the car for shorter trips. I support the plan. No. 2050 1 (not at all important) 3 5 (very important) 2 4 Yes. No. More effective public transport - bus routes being cut will only encourage more reliance on cars. Yes. I agree. Poor public transport and ??? roads, many flood at the first drop of rain, encouraging people to forego walking in favour of driving. I agree No I agree No Yes No No Climate adaptation Yes No	Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. We will consider your insights on improving public transportation, addressing flooding issues on roads, and prioritising climate adaptation as we revise the plan to create a more effective approach for Folkestone & Hythe.
259.	Yes Yes I support some of the actions but not others. Collaborating with private investors to fund local infrastructure projects - unclear exactly what you're talking about but this should have strict governance around it. 5 (very important) 3 4 2 1 (not at all important) Yes. Public transport needs to be improved to reduce private car use. Our buses should be electric. Increased vehicle charging, this district has lots of older properties where EV charging can't be installed, these residents cannot switch without public charging points. No. I do not agree. Cost. % of old buildings in the district. Declining public transport. I agree with some but not others I agree with some but not others Yes Yes No No Both Yes -	<p>Thank you for your detailed feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. You highlighted the need for improved public transportation, increased electric vehicle charging infrastructure, and concerns about collaborating with private investors on infrastructure projects. We will continue to work with partner organisations including Kent County Council (the local transport authority), bus and rail operators and Highways England, to improve public transport and cycling and walking infrastructure.</p> <p>We will consider your insights on prioritising public transport improvements, addressing challenges with older buildings, and ensuring proper governance for public-private partnerships as we revise the plan to create a more effective approach for Folkestone & Hythe.</p>

260.	<p>Yes. We could all try to use less carbon. Recycle waste. Less travel. Walk / cycle more. Yes. I walk and cycle most days. Only use car or motorbike if either going long distances (bike) or food, big shops. I support some of the actions but not others 2050 4 5 (very important) 3 2 1 (not at all important) Yes No. I agree with some but not others. Carbon emissions. Landfill. I agree with some but not others Yes aware of some via social media. I agree with some but not others No Yes Yes No Climate mitigation Yes No</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. You highlighted personal actions like recycling, walking, and cycling to reduce carbon emissions. We will consider your insights on prioritising carbon emission reductions, addressing landfill issues, and focusing on climate mitigation as we revise the plan to create a more effective approach for Folkestone & Hythe.</p>
261.	<p>Hot dry summer. Very heavy rain. Yes. By not flying in jumbo jet. No I support some of the actions but not others 2040 5 (very important) 4 3 2 1 (not at all important) No. Tax aviation fuel. Aircraft fuel. Yes. I agree with some but not others. Rising sea level. I agree with some but not others. Need better house insulation. I agree with some but not others No No No No Climate adaptation No No</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. You highlighted concerns about extreme weather events, rising sea levels, and the need for better home insulation. While the council has no powers to tax aviation fuel, we will consider prioritising climate adaptation measures, and improving energy efficiency in housing as we revise the plan to create a more effective approach for Folkestone & Hythe. Environmental standards for new development will also be set out in the council's new local plan and design codes, which will be subject to public engagement before they are finalised.</p>
262.	<p>Having to remain vigilant at all times during periods of heavy rain. Invested in water pump. Yes. Driving less when it's possible to walk. I don't own a car only a bike but I rely on public transport. Yes. Almost vegetarian diet. Cooking meals from scratch to avoid plastic. I support some of the actions but not others. Some of the initiatives listed (solar panels, encouraging drivers to switch to electric / hybrid vehicles) are still too expensive to carry out. Drivers - not many taxis in this area unlike London. 2050 2 1 (not at all important) 3 4 5 (very important) No No. I do not agree. Rising water levels. Unclean waterways (debris should be removed regularly). Waste water in the sea. I do not agree Not aware of any projects. I do not agree No. Apart from being more responsible on an individual level. Education is important. Yes Yes No Both Yes No</p>	<p>Thank you for your detailed feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. You highlighted personal actions like driving less, using public transport, and adopting a mostly vegetarian diet to reduce environmental impact. We will consider your concerns about rising water levels, waterway cleanliness, and sea pollution, as well as your emphasis on education and individual responsibility, as we revise the plan to create a more effective and affordable approach for Folkestone & Hythe residents. The issue of sewage discharges was also strongly reflected in feedback on our draft Corporate Plan, 'Our district, our world', and we have committed in the Corporate Plan to use our influence with water companies to reduce river and coastal pollution.</p>
263.	<p>Yes. Use friendlier ways to keep warm. Yes. Reduce central heating use. Use car less and use public transport. I support the plan 2040 5 (very important) 4 2 1 (not at all important) 3 Yes Yes. I agree. Flooding. I agree I agree No Yes No No Both Yes No</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan.</p>

264.	No Yes I don't support the plan 2050 1 (not at all important) 4 No Yes. I agree with some but not others. - I agree with some but not others I agree with some but not others No No No No Climate mitigation Yes No	Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan.
265.	No Undecided I support some of the actions but not others. 2.1.4 Local. Over ambitious. 2040 5 (very important) No Yes. I agree with some but not others. - I agree with some but not others I agree with some but not others No Yes No No Both No No	Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan.
266.	Yes Yes. Using public transport more. I support the plan 2040 3 5 (very important) 4 2 1 (not at all important) Yes Yes. I agree. Local flooding. I agree Not aware. I agree with some but not others No Yes No No Climate adaptation Yes No	Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan.
267.	Yes Yes. Trying to help in anyway we can. I support the plan 2050 Yes Yes. I agree. - I agree I agree No Yes Yes Yes Both Yes -	Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan.
268.	Causes me stress affecting my health on flooding my garden. Financially if it gets wetter and colder more expensive on my energy bills. Yes. So long as every individual plays that role together for it to be effective. Yes. Turning my heating thermostat down. Quicker showers. I support the plan 2050 Yes. Better maintenance of our roads to keep traffic running smoothly. Better public transport links for Romney Marsh so people don't have to use cars as much. Stop spending all the money on helicopters and boats trying to stop all the immigrants coming across the channel. Yes. I agree. Transport. I agree Not aware. I agree with some but not others No. My concern if we have too many electric items and forms of transport how are we going to provide all this electric. Yes Yes No Both Yes No	Thank you for your detailed feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. You highlighted concerns about flooding, energy costs, and the need for improved road maintenance and public transportation, especially in Romney Marsh. We will consider your suggestions on reducing energy use, improving traffic flow, enhancing public transport links, and addressing concerns about electricity supply for increased electric vehicle usage as we revise the plan to create a more effective and balanced approach for Folkestone & Hythe residents. We will continue to work with partner organisations including Kent County Council (the local transport authority), bus and rail operators and Highways England, to improve public transport and cycling and walking infrastructure in the district.
269.	Yes Undecided I support the plan 2040 1 (not at all important) 3 2 4 5 (very important) Yes Yes. I agree. - I agree -	Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan.

270.	<p>Extreme weather. The UK is stil nowhere close to supporting non carbon fuels around the country to make an impact. Yes. Carbon footprint. Less waste. Yes. Cycling, walking. Getting up later to save on electricity bills. I support the plan 2050 2 4 1 (not at all important) 5 (very important) 3 Yes Yes. I agree. Need a bypass through New Romney. Not sufficient as a main thoroughfare from Dover to south coast route. I agree Electric power points. I agree No No No No Both Yes -</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. You highlighted concerns about extreme weather and the need for better infrastructure to support non-carbon fuels. We will consider your suggestions on improving transportation infrastructure, particularly the need for a bypass through New Romney, as well as increasing electric vehicle charging points. We'll also take into account your support for cycling and walking initiatives as we revise the plan to create a more effective approach for addressing climate change and improving sustainability in Folkestone & Hythe. We will continue to work with partner organisations including Kent County Council (the local transport authority), bus and rail operators and Highways England, to improve public transport and cycling and walking infrastructure in the district.</p>
271.	<p>Having COPD and Asthma I find it more harder to breath because of the fumes from diesel fumes. Yes. Not using cars etc every day when they can walk instead. Yes. Making it easier for people to walk to shops example walkways. I support the plan 2040 Yes. More trees to be planted. Yes. I agree. Too many lorries and cars passing through Folkestone (Churchill Ave). I agree. Not enough local buses in rural districts so people using own cars. I agree No No No No Both Yes Yes. Too many foreign lorries on our roads producing toxic fumes, also English lorries.</p>	<p>Thank you for your detailed feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. You highlighted important concerns about air quality, particularly diesel fumes, and their impact on respiratory health. We appreciate your support for the plan and the 2040 target. We'll take into account your concerns about both foreign and domestic lorry traffic contributing to air pollution as we revise the plan to create a more effective approach for improving air quality and reducing emissions in Folkestone & Hythe. We will continue to work with partner organisations including Kent County Council (the local transport authority), bus and rail operators and Highways England, to improve public transport and cycling and walking infrastructure in the district..</p>
272.	<p>Yes Undecided - - . . Flooding. Climate adaptation -</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan.</p>
273.	<p>Yes Undecided - 2040 5 (very important) No No. I agree with some but not others. - I agree with some but not others I agree with some but not others No Yes Yes No Climate mitigation Yes No</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan.</p>
274.	<p>Yes. If we all done one thing different every day this would change the outcome. Yes. Anything that would make a change. I support some of the actions but not others. 2040 3 1 (not at all important) 5 (very important) 2 4 No Yes. I agree with some but not others. - I agree with some but not others I agree with some but not others No Yes Yes No Both No No</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan.</p>

275.	<p>Yes Yes. Already made several. Less use of car. Changed job so I can walk to work. Very careful with water and electricity. Recycle. I support some of the actions but not others. 2030 2 1 (not at all important) 4 5 (very important) 3 Yes No. I agree. Water pollution - streams, rivers, sea. I agree Only vague. I agree with some but not others No Yes No Climate adaptation Yes Not sure.</p>	<p>We appreciate your support for some of the plan's actions and your preference for a 2030 target. We will consider your concerns about water pollution in streams, rivers, and the sea as we revise the plan. The issue of sewage discharges was also strongly reflected in feedback on our draft Corporate Plan, 'Our district, our world', and we have committed in the Corporate Plan to use our influence with water companies to reduce river and coastal pollution.</p> <p>We'll also take into account your prioritisation of climate adaptation measures and your interest in seeing more concrete local projects</p>
276.	<p>No impact. No. My solar panels are not enough. Yes. Battery storage for my solar panels. New more efficient electric boiler for heating and hot water. I support the plan. 2050 2 1 (not at all important) 5 (very important) 4 3 Yes Unless China and America fully participate Folkestone and Hythe's tiny % of the UK's 1% will not make enough of a difference. Yes. I agree. New housing developments. I agree No I agree No No No No Climate mitigation Yes No</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. We will consider your concerns about the global impact of climate action, particularly regarding China and America's participation, as well as your views on new housing developments. Future developments will be guided by the council's new local plan, recognising, however, that the council is required to meet housing targets set by government to address the national housing crisis, within the recognised environmental constraints of the district.</p> <p>We'll take into account your support for climate mitigation efforts and the 2050 target as we revise the plan to create a more effective approach for Folkestone & Hythe, while acknowledging the importance of global cooperation in addressing climate change.</p>
277.	<p>No No I don't support the plan 3 2 4 1 (not at all important) 5 (very important) No No. I do not agree. - I do not agree I do not agree No No No No No No</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan.</p>
278.	<p>Yes Yes I support the plan 2030 Yes No. I agree. - I agree I agree No Yes Yes Both Yes Yes</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan.</p>

279.	Am planning more shade for garden and drought resistant plants. Yes. Individuals can apply political pressure to support climate control and create a climate of opinion pushing the green agenda. Yes. Less dependence on the car. I support the plan 2050 3 Yes What action is to be taken to combat rising sea levels and its impact on residential areas? Yes. I agree with some but not others. Sea levels, over development, poor maintenance of infrastructure. I agree with some but not others. Easy waste disposal of large items - present appointment system discriminates against those without cars or computers. Not aware of a cohesive action plan. I agree No No No No Both Yes No	We appreciate your support for the plan and the 2050 target. We will consider your concerns about sea level rise, development practices, and infrastructure maintenance as we revise the plan. We'll also look into improving accessibility of waste disposal services for those without cars or computers. As we refine the plan, we'll aim to provide clearer information about specific initiatives addressing sea level rise and how residents can get involved in sustainability efforts across Folkestone & Hythe.
280.	Have gotten dry/wet rot due to drains not cleared by residents. Heavy traffic re pollution and road damages. No. Too much waste, not suitable roads leading to flooded roads. Yes I support the plan 2030 Yes. Parking and road pollution and damage. No. I agree. Pollution, road and parking, emissions, flooding risks. I agree No, New Romney always misses out on improvements. I agree Better parking, better roadways, lessening high traffic population. Yes Yes No Both Yes -	As we revise the plan, we'll aim to create a more balanced approach that addresses these local concerns while working towards our broader sustainability goals. We'll also look into ways to ensure that improvements are more evenly distributed across the district, including areas like New Romney. Your feedback helps us better understand the specific challenges faced by different communities within Folkestone & Hythe.
281.	Yes. Driving less not using fossil fuels. Undecided I support the plan 2050 Yes Burning rubbish, burns old mattress causing 2 days of black smoke, need to fine people for burning rubbish and polluting the air. Yes. I agree. Flooding. I agree. Stop people burning old rubbish and burning incorrect wood in fires causing smoke (black and dangerous). None I agree Yes. Stop all this war with Russia and Israel as it's polluting the atmosphere. Yes Yes No Climate mitigation Yes No	You've raised a significant issue about people burning rubbish. We'll use your feedback to strengthen our approach to air quality management, waste disposal education, and enforcement of environmental regulations. Thank you for helping us create a more effective plan for Folkestone & Hythe.

282.	<p>Increased costs due to repairs to buildings. Concern over how bad the next winter will be. Shortages over materials to effect repairs and delays in the supply chain. Yes. Everyone has a part to play as it is us, ALL OF US, who have brought on this climate emergency. If every individual thought about their actions and reduced use of fossil fuels, use of single use plastic etc and then acted on it, we would bring about change. People must stop being complacent. Yes. We already do a great deal e.g. cut down on waste, electricity use. We would make far greater use of public transport if the buses were electric and far more frequent. We would add more solar panels if there were suitable grants for batteries. We would buy an electric car if there were far more charge points e.g. ALL car parks, council offices, recreation grounds etc. I support the plan 2030 4 2 5 (very important) Yes. Education - 1. Greatly increased publicity including local radio / tv and social media to emphasis the aims, objectives and progress of the plan. 2. Emphasis on schools, youth groups etc. Farming. Is agriculture in the commercial aims of the Plan? If not encouragement to go green - reduce use of chemical fertilisers, herbicides etc. Re-wilding. This does not mean abandonment of land. It means, tree planting, sowing and maintenance of wild flowers, eg in your cemeteries, verges etc. Encourage introduction of hedgerows around council land rather than fences. See/read about Isabella Tree and Charlie Burrell at the Knepp Estate. No. I agree. Flooding of low lying areas including farmland. Increased use of electricity powered by fossil fuels - mitigate by solar panels and wind power. Lack of charge points for electric vehicles. I agree. No apart from in our own church where we are shouted down by those who are against even the smallest change. No I agree Yes. Be far more specific outlining and acting on actions. Publicity and help on flood prevention on domestic properties. All council buildings using solar panels and batteries. Solar panels over car parks. Persuade all commercial and industrial premises to do the same and housing as well. All council and contract vehicles to be electric. Very many charge points in parking spaces. Yes No. Alas not in this country! Both Yes No</p>	<p>Thank you for your thoughtful feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. You emphasised the importance of individual actions in combating climate change and highlighted specific areas for improvement, such as enhancing public transport and increasing support for renewable energy initiatives. We will carefully consider your insights as we work to refine the plan and ensure it effectively addresses the needs of the community. Regarding community engagement, the council's Sustainable Futures Forum has proved a successful way to involve the community in climate change projects, and we will explore opportunities to expand this further. The council has also held a number of events with schools to talk about the issue of climate change, including the first meeting of the council's new Youth Forum held in February 2025.</p>
283.	<p>We have to keep eyes on what we buy food wise. If cars that are green were more affordable I think more people would consider having one. Yes. Having a full electric vehicle if council would put a very low lip in the footpath outside my flat and to my wall. Do the lip very low so people can still walk on the path so can charge my car. I support the plan 2030 Yes. For the council to stop selling greenland to build flat and house, and use place that are empty and make them more greener also stop cutting trees down. Give us residents more places to recycle things. Yes. I agree with some but not others. - I agree with some but not others. No. No I agree Yes Yes No No Both Yes No</p>	<p>Thank you for your thoughtful feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. You highlighted important points about food choices, electric vehicle affordability, and charging infrastructure, as well as concerns about development on greenfield sites and tree preservation. Future development will be guided by the council's new local plan, recognising, however, that the council is required to meet housing targets set by government to address the national housing crisis, within the recognised environmental constraints of the district. We will carefully consider your suggestions for improving recycling options, repurposing empty buildings, and enhancing green spaces as we work to refine the plan and create a more sustainable Folkestone & Hythe.</p>
284.	<p>Yes Yes. Using car less, opting where possible local produce. I support the plan 2050 2 5 (very important) 3 Yes No. I agree with some but not others. - I agree with some but not others I agree with some but not others No Yes No No Both No No</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan.</p>

285.	Yes Undecided I support the plan 2040 4 5 (very important) 3 1 (not at all important) 2 Yes Yes. I agree with some but not others. - I agree with some but not others I agree with some but not others Yes No No Both Yes No	Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan.
286.	Yes. Household waste. Cars. Solar. Gas use. Undecided I support some of the actions but not others. We cannot afford anymore cost implications. 2030 3 5 (very important) 1 (not at all important) 4 2 Yes No. I agree with some but not others. - I agree with some but not others I agree with some but not others No Yes No No Both No No	Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. We appreciate your support for some actions while expressing reservations about potential cost implications. We will carefully consider your prioritisation of certain areas and concerns about affordability as we work to refine the plan and create a balanced approach that addresses climate goals while being mindful of economic impacts on residents.
287.	Financial. Yes. Fighting vested interests. Yes. Reduce fuel consumption. I support the plan 2050 3 4 2 1 (not at all important) 5 (very important) Yes. Stop Sene Valley Golf Club cutting down 1,000 trees over 10 years! No. I agree. Removal of woodland. I agree. Stop Sene Valley Golf Club cutting down 1,000 trees over 10 years. I agree Yes No No No Climate mitigation No No	Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. You highlighted concerns about tree removal, particularly at Sene Valley Golf Club, and emphasised the importance of reducing fuel consumption. We will carefully consider your input on prioritising climate mitigation efforts and preserving woodland areas as we work to refine the plan and create a more sustainable approach for Folkestone & Hythe.
288.	Yes. We can reduce our negative impact on the environment by our choices. To what degree this impacts the global climate is speculative at best. Yes. I currently use an electric motorcycle for local journeys to reduce emissions and traffic congestion. I source meat and milk from local farms and avoid fruit and veg flown in from afar. I support the plan 2040 1 (not at all important) 5 (very important) 4 3 2 Yes. For cycling to be a viable alternative to car transport, safe cycle ways that genuinely connect the district are needed. Too many cycle lanes simply disappear or exist in isolated stretches. Yes. I agree. Sewage in our swimming beaches is awful. Our local buses are too large for narrow roads (Sandling Road and school buses) and spew smoke. Smaller electric minibuses might be more efficient, safer and more suited to our roads. I agree I agree Yes Yes No Both Yes Yes. Implement carbon trading locally to enhance investment in mitigation.	<p>We appreciate your support for the plan and your suggestions for improving sustainable transportation options. We will carefully consider your ideas about implementing safe, connected cycle routes and exploring the use of smaller electric buses as we work to refine the plan. We will continue to work with partner organisations including Kent County Council (the local transport authority), bus and rail operators and Highways England, to improve public transport and cycling and walking infrastructure in the district.</p> <p>Your suggestion about local carbon trading for mitigation investment is an interesting concept that we'll examine further as we develop our approach to creating a more sustainable Folkestone & Hythe.</p>

289.	<p>Family lost their home - work, pets moved house several times before going home, mother so stressed. Trauma, upheaval. No. It's great blaming the individual, but the government insists that's "in charge" so it's up to them to stop the pollution of our air and waters with industry (global, not just UK) imports, packaging, vehicles, oil heating, buses, recycling, solar panels, building. Yes. Insulation / vehicular / work from home / e car / heat pump / solar panels - sad truth is I can't afford it, over 60, no pension yet, old house. I've been let down by government and left to break up old pallets to burn cos can't afford electric heating. I support the plan. Huge cars, new houses, it's so out of my league. I'm surviving, not living and can't afford to have a fresh veg box delivered every week - I have to drive my old banger to the supermarket and buy cheap food from foreign countries. 2030 2 5 (very important) 3 4 1 (not at all important) Yes. Plant trees everywhere. Insulation, insulation and insulation! No. I agree with some but not others. If we stop oil, we need transport or local amenities - surgeries, sports, schools, water, food. I agree with some but not others. Transport - electric buses. Charging points, delivery vehicles. I wish. The Treecouncil.org I agree Yes. Proper grants for people to improve their homes and transport. We are all skint and can't contribute. Yes Yes No. Please, point me in the right direction. Both Yes Look to Europe and Scandinavia. We can learn from our neighbours.</p>	<p>We appreciate your support for the plan's goals while acknowledging the difficulties in implementing changes at an individual level.</p> <p>Your suggestions about planting trees, improving insulation, and enhancing public transportation are valuable. We will continue to work with partner organisations including Kent County Council (the local transport authority), bus and rail operators and Highways England, to improve public transport and cycling and walking infrastructure in the district.</p> <p>We will carefully consider your input on the need for proper grants to help residents improve their homes and transportation options, as well as your recommendation to look to European and Scandinavian examples for guidance. As we refine the plan, we'll aim to address the economic challenges you've highlighted and explore ways to make sustainable choices more accessible and affordable for all residents of Folkestone & Hythe.</p>
290.	<p>Health. Yes. Improve energy use, change of cars. Undecided I support some of the actions but not others 2040 2 3 5 (very important) 1 (not at all important) 4 Yes Yes. I agree with some but not others. - I agree with some but not others Water / Env improvements car emissions. New reservoir at Canterbury. Sea foul water discharges I agree with some but not others Yes. Switch off more energy / lights at night. Yes No No Both Yes Yes</p>	<p>We appreciate your support for some actions in the plan and your prioritisation of certain areas. We will carefully consider your suggestions about water management, reducing emissions from vehicles, and improving energy efficiency as we work to refine the plan. The issue of sewage discharges was also strongly reflected in feedback on our draft Corporate Plan, 'Our district, our world', and we have committed in the Corporate Plan to use our influence with water companies to reduce river and coastal pollution.</p>

291.	<p>Damage to property. Yes. Everyone can help by recycling more. Yes. Recycling more, buying fewer clothes and generally making things last longer, using less gas by reducing heating. I support the plan 2040 1 (not at all important) 2 3 5 (very important) 4 Yes All new homes should be built to take advantage of reusable power. Yes. I agree. Pollution of water sources and problems of building necessary housing. I agree I agree No Yes No No Both Yes No</p>	<p>We appreciate your support for the plan and your emphasis on individual actions to reduce environmental impact. We will carefully consider your suggestion about integrating renewable energy into new housing developments as we refine the plan. Environmental standards for new development will also be set out in the council's new local plan and design codes which will be subject to public engagement before they are finalised.</p> <p>Your concerns about water pollution and housing needs will also be taken into account as we work to create a balanced approach to sustainable development in Folkestone & Hythe. The issue of water pollution was also strongly reflected in feedback on our draft Corporate Plan, 'Our district, our world', and we have committed in the Corporate Plan to use our influence with water companies to reduce river and coastal pollution.</p>
292.	<p>Yes. If everyone did a small amount would make a big change. Yes. Consider paper packaging no plastic. I support the plan 2040 2 1 (not at all important) 4 3 5 (very important) Yes Yes. I agree. Pollution. I agree I agree with some but not others No Yes No No Climate adaptation Yes No</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan.</p>
293.	<p>Yes Yes. Less packaging choices when shopping. Using public transport and cycling. Recycling and reusing, less waste. Buying ethically. I support the plan 2030 Yes. Promoting recycling: not paying for garden waste bins = this should be free! More bins with recycling choices. Reducing bus fares to encourage less cars - i.e. park and ride with free parking? Water management: clean sea and waterways. Expanding homes with no improvement to infrastructure and taking green spaces. No. I agree. Water management - sewage and pollution. Increase in housing. I agree I agree Yes Yes Yes No Both Yes No</p>	<p>We appreciate your support for the plan and your emphasis on individual actions to reduce environmental impact. We will carefully consider your suggestions about improving recycling services, enhancing public transportation options, and addressing water management issues as we refine the plan. The issue of sewage discharges was also strongly reflected in feedback on our draft Corporate Plan, 'Our district, our world', and we have committed in the Corporate Plan to use our influence with water companies to reduce river and coastal pollution.</p> <p>Your concerns about balancing housing development with infrastructure needs and preserving green spaces will also be taken into account as we work to create a more sustainable approach for Folkestone & Hythe. Future development will be guided by the council's new local plan, recognising, however, that the council is required to meet housing targets set by</p>

		government to address the national housing crisis, within the recognised environmental constraints of the district.
294.	Financially and worry. Yes Yes. Food - energy - transport. I support the plan 2050 3 1 (not at all important) 5 (very important) 2 4 Yes Yes. I agree with some but not others. Weather. I agree with some but not others I agree No Yes No No Both Yes No	Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan.
295.	Prompted me to make changes to lifestyle - see later - and become more involved in green issues. Yes Yes. I haven't eaten meat for 30 years. I installed solar panels at a previous address. I have an electric car. I plan to get a heat pump. I support the plan 2030 4 5 (very important) 3 2 1 (not at all important) Yes. Encouragement to reduce meat and dairy consumption. Habitat protection for wildlife. Ending sewage pollution of sea and rivers. No. I agree with some but not others. Coastal erosion, sea levels, sewage pollution, along with general harm of more turbulent weather, higher temperatures and habitat loss. I agree with some but not others I'm a member of the Green Party which campaigns on these issues. I agree with some but not others Yes. Already mentioned - many ideas well known. Yes Yes No Both Yes No	<p>We appreciate your support for the plan and your extensive personal efforts to reduce environmental impact. We will carefully consider your suggestions about promoting sustainable diets, enhancing habitat protection, and addressing water pollution issues as we refine the plan. The issue of water pollution was also strongly reflected in feedback on our draft Corporate Plan, 'Our district, our world', and we have committed in the Corporate Plan to use our influence with water companies to reduce river and coastal pollution.</p> <p>Your concerns about coastal erosion and sea level rise will also be taken into account as we work to create a more comprehensive approach to climate change adaptation and mitigation for Folkestone & Hythe</p>
296.	Yes Yes I support the plan 2040 Yes Yes. I agree with some but not others. - I agree with some but not others I agree with some but not others No Yes No No Climate adaptation Yes Yes	Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan.
297.	Yes. Anything is possible. Undecided I support the plan 2050 No Yes. I agree. Road transport. Waste and water. I agree New adventure playground is opened in my area. Rumours of a superstore opening on same land? I agree with some but not others Yes. Reconsider smaller bus (rather than double decker) to the No 70 Shorncliffe Route? Yes No No Climate adaptation Yes No	<p>We appreciate your support for the plan and your emphasis on climate adaptation. We will carefully consider your suggestion about potentially using smaller buses on routes like the No 70 Shorncliffe Route as we refine our approach to sustainable transportation. We will continue to work with partner organisations including Kent County Council (the local transport authority), bus and rail operators and Highways England, to improve public transport and cycling and walking infrastructure in the district.</p> <p>Your concerns about road transport, waste, and water management will also be taken into account as we work to create a more comprehensive plan for addressing climate change in Folkestone & Hythe.</p>

298.	- - - 2050 1 (not at all important) 4 2 5 (very important) 3 - Yes. I agree with some but not others. - I agree with some but not others I agree with some but not others No No No Yes Both Yes Yes. More litter picking and street cleaning and more repairs to the road. Patched them up is a waste of time, give it a week or two and it needs doing again.	Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan.
299.	The way this country is running right now we will be lucky to make it to 2030! Yes, but whether they choose to is a different issue. Undecided I don't support the plan. Think your targets unrealistic and you are going to enforce emission sones and fine people! 2050 2 4 1 (not at all important) 3 5 (very important) No. Use of private jets for Rishi Sunak! His footprint is bigger in one day than my whole year! No. I do not agree. Poverty - deprivation and pressure on those who cannot afford changes - damp council properties as an example. I agree with some but not others. Think it's best I don't get started on this one. No! Maybe speed cameras count? I do not agree Yes. No point listing them - it won't change - you have made decisions - this is a tick box exercise. No No No Climate mitigation No No	Thank you for sharing your perspective on the global and local impacts of climate action. There is indeed overwhelming scientific consensus on climate change, and we appreciate your input. While global challenges can seem daunting, we believe that acting locally can address many issues that contribute to global improvements while also enhancing the health and well-being of our residents. Local actions often have co-benefits, such as reducing air pollution, improving energy efficiency, and creating more liveable communities. We value your feedback as we revise the District Wide Carbon Plan to create a more effective and inclusive approach for Folkestone & Hythe.
300.	I dispute that current weather pattern cycles are solely the consequence of Co2 emissions. Media take no proper account of sun spots correlation, population density etc. No. Nature prevails some behaviour change may make a minor difference. Undecided I don't support the plan. The UK is the cause of 0.9% of global emissions, China 30.9%, USA 13.5%, India 7.3%. You have not provided data demonstrative Folkestone's contribution to UK stats, anything done locally is a drop in the ocean! 2050 2 3 1 (not at all important) 4 5 (very important) No. Provide some meaningful cost benefit analysis starting with the cost of this survey. Yes reinvest in nuclear power, upgrade Dungeness. No. I agree with some but not others. The biggest risk is to the wellbeing and financial viability of residents. No actions or options that impact these factors should be introduced in the short term - affordable sustainable change only. I agree with some but not others. Review all road junctions - redesign or rephase traffic lights to keep traffic flowing. Ensure new developments are self contained with sufficient employment opportunities to avoid road / rail travel. I have yet to see compelling evidence this is an immediate problem facing the UK. That doesn't necessarily mean we shouldn't bake in solutions when redevelopment the borough. I agree with some but not others Yes. Introduce more dedicated cycle routes by road widening not painting lines on existing roads to claim gov grants. Reward people for their actions, reduce council tax for those with solar panels or electric car. No No No Both No No. This should be light touch governance - cost neutral to local taxpayers.	Thank you for sharing your perspective on climate change and local action. While there is overwhelming scientific consensus on human-caused climate change, we acknowledge that views on this topic can vary. We appreciate your input on the global context of emissions and the need for cost-benefit analysis. However, the great majority (almost 87%) of people who responded to the consultation on the District Wide Carbon Plan supported the proposed actions set out in the plan or put forward additional suggestions of their own. Local actions, even if small in scale, can have meaningful impacts on our community's health, economy, and quality of life beyond just emissions reduction. For example, improving air quality, enhancing energy efficiency, and creating more liveable communities. We value your practical suggestions on traffic flow, cycle routes, and incentives for sustainable choices.
301.	No Undecided I support the plan 2040 3 Yes Yes. I agree. Transportation. I agree I agree No Yes No No Both Yes No	Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan.

302.	<p>Yes. Climate change needs everyone's input. There is always something that can be done. Yes. Some ways are expensive for some people and will take time. Already I only use my car for as little time as possible when the bus is out of the question. Little waste of rubbish. Recycling clothes. Taking showers not baths. I support some of the actions but not others. Ules because cars going 20mph are letting more emissions into the atmosphere because of the lower gear. It defeats the object. Not everyone can afford to change their cars yet. 2040 - Yes. I agree. - I agree I agree No Yes No No Both Yes No</p>	<p>We understand your concerns about the affordability of some climate actions, particularly around vehicle changes. As we revise the District Wide Carbon Plan, we will carefully consider how to balance ambitious climate goals with economic realities for residents. We aim to create a plan that includes a range of actions accessible to all, while also looking at longer-term strategies for more significant changes. Your feedback helps us develop a more inclusive and effective approach to sustainability in Folkestone & Hythe.</p>
303.	<p>I keep getting taxed my living are mental for political why? Trouble is they get elected and cost us a fortune. Yes. It's been the case since I was a kid in the 1970s when we weren't forced to use plastics! I don't support the plan. You're going to bankrupt us! No. Trust people - we do know we do our best. Leave us alone - educate without political bias. No. I do not agree. You don't have a clue. I do not agree. Made up by clueless politicised watermelons. There is greater need for the extravagant costs involved. Stop taxing us so we can afford to be more green. I do not agree Yes. Stop wasting money on financial political dreams. Yes. You're putting your politics before real people again. No</p>	<p>Your input helps us understand the concerns of residents as we work to create a more balanced and inclusive approach. We'll carefully consider how to address affordability and avoid undue burdens as we refine the plan for Folkestone & Hythe.</p>
304.	<p>Not impacted. No No I don't support the plan. All of it, it's a con. 2050 No No. I do not agree. No risks. I do not agree I do not agree No. Kent is fast becoming the 3rd world, improve basic services before you start worrying about climate. No No No No No</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan.</p>
305.	<p>Yes. Smokeless fuel. Recycling. Yes. Electric car. Solar panels. I support the plan 2040 4 Yes Yes. I agree with some but not others. - I agree I agree Yes Yes No No Both Yes Yes</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan.</p>

306.	<p>No. If I, or indeed the entire nation, make changes it will make no difference. While China and India, for example, continue on their present course initiatives like this are largely a waste of effort. They make those involved feel good about themselves but that's really as far as it goes. No I support some of the actions but not others. Involvement of the "CI-LAB" who chose these people? Who elected them to speak for us? Are any of us doubtful about the whole thing represented there? The phrase "special interest groups" used in the description of them bodes particularly badly. 2050 No Yes. I agree with some but not others. Probably potential flooding. I agree with some but not others I agree with some but not others No No No No. Ah yes, funding ...it would upset me greatly to see my council tax being used on what I would describe (largely) as a sort of moral vanity project. Climate adaptation No No. As I said earlier, I dislike / distrust this sort of grouping. Giving unelected self promoters official standing / power is never a good idea. A major motivator here is self aggrandisement and an opportunity to feel virtuous (probably at someone else's expense). This should never be encouraged.</p>	<p>Your input regarding the potential financial burden on residents and scepticism about the motivations behind certain initiatives is valuable. However, the great majority (almost 87%) of people who responded to the consultation on the District Wide Carbon Plan supported the proposed actions set out in the plan or put forward additional suggestions of their own.</p> <p>We appreciate your suggestions for focusing on practical, affordable solutions that prioritise the well-being of residents. As we revise the plan, we will consider your perspective on ensuring that actions taken are both meaningful and beneficial to the community without imposing undue costs. Your feedback helps us strive for a more inclusive approach that genuinely reflects the concerns and needs of Folkestone & Hythe residents</p>
307.	<p>Absurd disruption by lunatic climate action groups. No. No one can make any difference whatsoever to the climate, which is controlled by the cycles of sun spots and other solar phenomenon. No The whole climate change hysteria is total nonsense - a complete waste of time and money. Nothing we do will make the slightest difference to the climate. 2050 No. Carbon and CO2 are vital to all life and we should not be interfering with these natural processes. They have nothing to do with climate change. No - carbon emissions are completely irrelevant to the global climate. Refer to the Global Climate Intelligence Group. No. I do not agree. None at all. This is a complete waste of time and money. If it wasn't for fossil fuels we would still be riding on horseback and sewing and reaping by hand! I do not agree. Stop wasting our money on this irrelevant nonsense. CLINTEL I do not agree No. Nothing we do will make any difference at all to the climate. Net zero is a complete waste of time and money. We shall make full use of our gas and oil riches. No No No. Stop wasting council money on this nonsense. Climate adaptation No Yes. See the Global Climate Intelligence Group.</p>	<p>Thank you for sharing your thoughts on the District Wide Carbon Plan. We understand your concerns about the effectiveness of local actions in the face of global emissions and the potential financial implications for residents. We will incorporate your feedback into our revisions of the plan to ensure it addresses these important perspectives. However, the great majority (almost 87%) of people who responded to the consultation on the District Wide Carbon Plan supported the proposed actions set out in the plan or put forward additional suggestions of their own.</p>
308.	<p>Yes. Through recycling, using personal vehicles less, insulating homes and being mindful of energy use. Yes. Recycling effectively. Insulating my home properly / monitoring energy use. Using private transport less. Consider solar / electric vehicle use. I support the plan 2040 2 1 (not at all important) 3 5 (very important) 4 Yes. Ensuring that the transport element of the plan incorporates both shipping and aviation. Ensuring all new build homes incorporate solar power as standard. Yes. I agree. Transport and travel, particularly from the number of lorries on our roads from Dover Port and the Channel Tunnel. I agree. Ensuring solar panels are installed on all new build housing, as part of granting planning permission. Consider increased use of wind turbines for commercial premises. Solar Together Project. I agree Yes. Increased use of solar, wind power. Consider using tidal power (where practical). Yes Yes No Both Yes No</p>	<p>Thank you for your thoughtful feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. We appreciate your emphasis on practical actions such as recycling, reducing vehicle use, and improving home insulation, as well as your support for incorporating renewable energy solutions like solar and wind power in new developments. Environmental standards for new development will also be set out in the council's new local plan and design codes, which will be subject to public engagement before they are finalised.</p>

		We will take your suggestions into account as we revise the plan to ensure it effectively addresses these important areas and aligns with community priorities.
309.	It has made me aware of climate change and I think about things more. Yes Yes I support the plan 2050 Yes Yes. I agree. - I agree We need better roads. I agree Yes. Need more schools, dentist, doctors so people don't have to travel so far, also hospitals. Yes Yes Both Yes -	Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan.
310.	Yes. If everyone did small things it would begin to help. Yes. Buy local. Less car journeys. - 2040 3 Yes Yes. I agree with some but not others. Transportation and residential. People do not have the money to upgrade their cars or homes. Electric cars, heat pumps costs too much. I agree with some but not others I agree with some but not others No Yes No No Both Yes No	Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. We appreciate your emphasis on the importance of individual actions, such as recycling, reducing car journeys, and home insulation, as well as your concerns about the financial burden of upgrading vehicles and homes. We will incorporate your insights into our revisions of the plan to ensure it addresses both community needs and practical solutions for a sustainable future.
311.	No Undecided I support some of the actions but not others. Personally feel it's all come about with the fast food way of life and the rubbish they put into space who cars what's on the moon. It's all gone wrong since then, we had seasons years ago! 2050 1 (not at all important) 5 (very important) 4 3 2 Too many houses being built, prices out of reach for youngsters. Taking every bit of space and green land over. It is never ending. The world has gone crazy. Ruined as from my great childhood. Basically not interested as will not live long enough to see any changes. Too many idiots running the country. THankful I had a good childhood and upbringing. No. I agree with some but not others. Not a clue really, lots needs sorting, everything is sadly neglected, no care for roads, paths, drainage, water everywhere. I agree with some but not others. Not a clue! None. Does not seem they are capable of anything, roads are poor, bus services diabolical unreliable and you want people to use them! Joke they need to run properly. Personally don't care as they always get shelved if they start them. Too many indians not enough chiefs with brains! I agree with some but not others Yes. Stop trying to bung stuff in orbit then we can get back to the 4 seasons and live normal. No No No. Don't get a big enough pension that I worked for to support only myself! Climate adaptation No No	Thank you for sharing your perspective on the District Wide Carbon Plan. We understand your concerns about rapid development, affordability issues for younger generations, and the loss of green spaces. Future development will be guided by the council's new local plan, recognising, however, that the council is required to meet housing targets set by government to address the national housing crisis, within the recognised environmental constraints of the district. Your observations about changes in seasons and environmental shifts over your lifetime are noted. While you express scepticism about the effectiveness of current initiatives, your input is valuable as we work to address these issues and incorporate these ideas into the plan.

312.	Yes Undecided - 2050 1 (not at all important) 3 2 4 5 (very important) No Yes. I agree with some but not others. - I agree with some but not others I agree with some but not others No Yes Yes No Climate adaptation Yes No	Thank you for providing your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. We appreciate your input on the prioritisation of different aspects of the plan. Your support for climate adaptation efforts is noted, as is your agreement with some but not all of the proposed actions.
313.	Yes. Less plastic use - recycling. Yes. More effort on purchasing eco friendly products - reducing plastics. I support the plan 2040 3 4 1 (not at all important) 2 5 (very important) Yes. More energy from wind and solar. Yes. I agree with some but not others. Pollution - traffic - more public transport, less cars. Greener public transport - to cut emissions. Easier recycling needed. I agree with some but not others Yes No No Both Yes No	Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. Your support for the plan and emphasis on reducing plastic use, recycling, and purchasing eco-friendly products are appreciated. We note your prioritisation of energy from wind and solar, as well as your concerns about pollution and traffic.
314.	Costs of repair/restoration. Yes. Climate proofing / infrastructure at houses but this is costly. Yes. Less travel, more use if possible of public transport. I support the plan. Greater partnership with the private sector and that they pay from profits a greater share of costs. 2050 1 (not at all important) 3 2 4 5 (very important) Yes. There maybe grants from GEF as well, EU, UN to explore! Work with other governments if possible! No. I agree. - I agree. Think about recycle, reduce, reuse as well - circular economy. Not here but look at GEF, UNEP, governments, overseas. Many websites are English. Look at Netherlands and Denmark. I agree No Yes Yes No Both Yes Yes. Look at UNEP, GEF, EN-ROADS (climate interactive.org/en_roads. Also consult with people like Kate Raworth and 'doughtnut economics' imperative reading.	Thank you for sharing your perspectives on the District Wide Carbon Plan. While there are diverse views on climate change, there is overwhelming scientific consensus about its impacts. We appreciate your input and will carefully consider your concerns as we revise the plan to ensure it addresses local needs, balances economic realities, and creates practical, sustainable solutions for Folkestone & Hythe.
315.	No No I support the plan 2040 Yes Yes. I agree. - I agree I agree No Yes No No Both Yes No	Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan.
316.	Yes Yes I support the plan 2040 3 4 Yes No. I agree. Energy reduction. I agree with some but not others I agree with some but not others No Yes No No Both Yes No	Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan.
317.	Illness asthma etc. Yes. Make electric cars a lot cheaper as most people can't afford them. Undecided I support some of the actions but not others. There must be help for people to make changes. 2040 Yes. Power stations most important. Coal fires. Cars. Lorries. Yes. I agree with some but not others. Lorries, cars, people need help to buy electric cars. Buses. I agree. More trees. Less building houses. No not aware. I agree with some but not others Yes. Cars, lorries, buses. All transport. Yes No No Both Yes No. Monitor transport as I live on the main road.	Thank you for sharing your thoughts on the District Wide Carbon Plan. We appreciate your concerns about affordability of electric vehicles, the need for support to make changes, and the impact of transportation on emissions. We will continue to work with partner organisations including Kent County Council (the local transport authority), bus and rail operators and Highways England, to improve public transport and cycling and walking infrastructure in the district.

		Your suggestions for prioritising power stations, reducing coal use, and increasing tree planting are noted.
318.	Yes. Personal choices around alternative energies and modes of transport. Yes. Very few given my advanced age of 95. I don't drive or travel abroad. I don't / can't have solar panels installed. I need to heat my home constantly in the winter months. Don't know - too much to read! - . . Air pollution. Water pollution. Yes No No Both -	Thank you for sharing your perspective on the District Wide Carbon Plan. We appreciate your acknowledgment of personal choices in alternative energies and transport, as well as your honesty about limitations due to your age and circumstances. Your concerns about air and water pollution are noted. The issue of sewage discharges was also strongly reflected in feedback on our draft Corporate Plan, 'Our district, our world', and we have committed in the Corporate Plan to use our influence with water companies to reduce river and coastal pollution.
319.	Yes. Recycling. Less plastic waste. Composting. Undecided I support the plan 2050 5 (very important) 2 4 1 (not at all important) 3 Yes Yes. I agree. - I agree I agree No Yes No No Climate adaptation Yes No	Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan.
320.	Yes. As residents in the district we ALL need to think about our use of the car and mileage and cut down our usage when we can. Improve our home insulation and use less energy and be aware of the temperatures in the home. Actively recycle, re use items and buy less! Maintain our gardens, include wildlife areas, ponds to increase biodiversity. Yes. Use public transport more / car share where possible. Use local shops more that I can walk to. Try to buy products that use less packaging and recycle as much as I can. I support the plan 2040 Yes Yes. I agree. Sea level rise. Flooding. Warmer / hot weather. Pollution / contamination of sea water. Air pollution / log burners used at night and during the day. We need to have clean air act in all residential areas. I agree I agree No Yes Yes No Both Yes No	Thank you for sharing your thoughtful and comprehensive feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. Your suggestions align closely with the council's vision of creating a more sustainable Folkestone & Hythe, with practical recommendations like reducing car usage, improving home insulation, recycling, and supporting biodiversity.
321.	Yes Yes I support the plan 2030 Yes Yes. I agree. - I agree I agree No Yes No No Both Yes No	Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan.
322.	My wife and I have reduced the number of flights we take each year, recycle as much as we can, reduced our house temperature by lowering heating settings. Yes Yes. Not sure what else we should do in addition to actions already taken. I support the plan 2030 Yes. Consider mandatory solar installations in all new building and major developments. Focus most on what can be done locally, regardless of national uncertainties. Encourage major organisations eg SAGA, not just to join but to lead collaborative actions. Develop sector specific efforts to engage with this agenda, eg the motor industry, hospitality sector. Yes. I agree. Decarbonisation in all its forms. I agree. Use the private sector to help build engagement and energy for climate action. The council does not have to drive it all! I agree Yes Yes No No Both -	Thank you for sharing your perspectives on the District Wide Carbon Plan. While there is overwhelming scientific consensus on climate change, we recognise the diverse views within our community. Your input highlights the importance of balancing ambitious climate goals with practical, affordable solutions that do not disproportionately burden residents. We appreciate your suggestions about local actions, concerns about global emissions, and the need for cost-effective strategies. As we revise the plan, we will carefully consider how to create an approach that is both environmentally

		responsible and economically sensitive, ensuring that our efforts to reduce carbon emissions in Folkestone & Hythe are collaborative, transparent, and beneficial to all residents.
323.	No No I don't support the plan. Ends up that the least well off in society end up footing the bill. 4 3 1 (not at all important) 2 5 (very important) No Somebody else gets the CO ie electric cars are manufactured in plants that are powered by coal burning electric. The power that comes f=out of our sockets mostly come from fossil fuel power stations. No. I do not agree. - I do not agree Not really interested in looking. I do not agree No No No No No No	Thank you for sharing your perspective on the District Wide Carbon Plan. We understand your concerns about the potential financial impact on less affluent members of society and the complexities surrounding emissions reduction efforts. Your points about electric vehicle manufacturing and power generation are noted.

324.	<p>Property damage. Yes. Recycling, limiting new clothes or e.g. designer items. Blended working from home and office (not all workers but those that can). Walk short journeys if possible. Choice of energy supplier. Support new nuclear! Yes. Use of charity shops for used clothes (even though we can afford new). Delaying new car purchase. Working from home where appropriate to do so. Trying to shop more locally and use internet suppliers less. I support some of the actions but not others. Transportation - the provision of active travel cycle lanes etc is good in principle but only if it does not increase congestion and hence risk of accidents and pollution or delay emergency response with excessive traffic calming (lessons from London boroughs here). 2050 2 3 5 (very important) 4 1 (not at all important) Yes. Where is the support for new nuclear in the plan?? Wind farms and solar will not achieve net zero without it due to massive costs of energy storage! Needs far more emphasis on home insulation / business / council offices, roof top solar support and support for a rapid charging EV hub (with local bus co/refuse vehicles). No. I agree. Probably mitigation of future storms and flooding, given the coastal location. I agree. No mention of increasing resilience or mitigation that will be necessary. SMRs at Dungeness - see our local MPs website, Damian Collins, and KCC support new nuclear at Dungeness. Potential investment in SMRs at Dungeness. I agree with some but not others Yes. Support SMRs at Dungeness. (SMR = small modular reactor, as proposed by Rolls Royce and others). No No No Climate adaptation Yes No</p>	<p>Thank you for your detailed feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. Your support for recycling, sustainable fashion choices, blended working, and local shopping aligns well with the plan's goals. We appreciate your balanced view on transportation initiatives and the need to avoid unintended consequences. We will continue to work with partner organisations including Kent County Council (the local transport authority), bus and rail operators and Highways England, to improve public transport and cycling and walking infrastructure in the district.</p> <p>Regarding nuclear energy, large scale nuclear proposals will not be decided by the council, but by the Secretary of State through the National Significant Infrastructure Project (NSIP) process, although the council will be consulted on any proposals that may come forward in the district. We will take account of your suggestions in revising the plan, particularly: Strengthening initiatives for building energy efficiency and renewable energy adoption, Enhancing our focus on climate adaptation and resilience measures, and Balancing transportation initiatives to ensure they reduce emissions without increasing congestion.</p>
325.	<p>The realisation that humans kill innocent birdlife and mammals, due to discarding plastics and nets which kills too many. Yes. Education from parents to ???, respect for living creatures and quality of life for all. Yes. Take advantage e.g. council, south facing buildings by installing solar panels. And much more use of solar panels generally. Not forgetting wind and tidal flows. You do not state in detail what your plan is. So how can I answer your questions. 2040 Common sense not "targets". . . Bureaucrats! Yes -</p>	<p>Thank you for sharing your concerns about wildlife protection and suggestions for renewable energy adoption. Your emphasis on education and respect for living creatures aligns with the plan's environmental goals. Your input helps us create a more comprehensive approach to sustainability in Folkestone & Hythe that balances environmental protection with practical energy solutions.</p>

326.	<p>Yes. But the whole world needs to work together to achieve results, not just little countries like the UK. Undecided I support some of the actions but not others. Road transport - can only mean more taxes and inconvenience to the average working person. 20mph speed limits are lunacy! 2050 4 3 5 (very important) 2 1 (not at all important) No. Unsure. ?Unsure . I do not agree. Flooding. I agree with some but not others. Not sure. No I agree No Yes No No. Most district councils are desperately short of funds, or nearly bankrupt and can't, or struggle to, provide the basic level of services, let alone trying to finance "net zero". Climate adaptation No Not sure.</p>	<p>Thank you for sharing your thoughts on the District Wide Carbon Plan. We appreciate your recognition of the need for global cooperation to address climate change effectively, while also expressing concerns about the potential impacts of local actions on residents, particularly regarding transportation measures and speed limits. Your support for the 2050 target date and identification of flooding as a key climate risk for the district are noted. We understand your concerns about council funding for climate initiatives, given the financial challenges many local authorities face. The council's new Corporate Plan 'Our district, our world' highlights the importance of being a well-run council delivering on our statutory services within a balanced budget, while working in partnership with other organisations to deliver wider environmental benefits where we can. As we revise the plan, we will carefully consider how to balance our environmental goals with economic realities and residents' concerns</p>
327.	<p>Financially for friends in and out of London area due to the recent changes to emission charges. Yes. With good communication, help and support, advice on what we can do. Yes I support - we all need to work together - good communication - have we the knowledge to do it! Will we get on and do it - from NOW! 2050 Yes. Communication between all. All agencies need to work together. Yes. I agree. Not sure how this affects climate challenges but we should be ashamed of our road conditions, surely smoother running traffic is a bonus. I agree I agree Yes. As I have indicated throughout this form - communication - work together. Yes No No Both Yes No</p>	<p>Thank you for sharing your thoughts on the District Wide Carbon Plan. We appreciate your concern about the financial impact of emission charges, especially for those traveling to London, and your emphasis on good communication, support, and collaboration. Your points about the importance of agencies working together and the need for better road conditions are noted. We will continue to work with partner organisations including Kent County Council (the local transport authority), bus and rail operators and Highways England, to improve public transport and cycling and walking infrastructure in the district.</p>
328.	<p>Yes Yes I support the plan 2050 Yes Yes. I agree. All round co-operation. I agree I agree No Yes No No Climate adaptation Yes No</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan.</p>
329.	<p>No Undecided I support the plan 2040 2 4 Yes Yes. I agree. - I agree I agree with some but not others No Yes No No Climate mitigation Yes No</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan.</p>
330.	<p>No. China / India cause most pollution. No - 2050 No No. I do not agree. - I do not agree I do not agree No No No No No No</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan.</p>

331.	Yes Yes. Reduced reliance on car for transport - walk more. Make eco considerations and purchase items that are sustainable. I support the plan 2040 4 5 (very important) 3 2 1 (not at all important) Yes No. I agree. Engagement with residential holders that are not invested into the area. I agree I agree No Yes No No Both Yes No	Thank you for sharing your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. We appreciate your support for the plan and your personal commitment to sustainable actions like reducing car use, walking more, and making eco-friendly purchases. Your prioritisation of different aspects of the plan provides valuable insight.
332.	No Yes I don't support the plan 2050 No No. I do not agree. - I do not agree None apparent. Ban electric vehicles. I do not agree No No No No Both No No	Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan.
333.	Yes Yes I support the plan 1 (not at all important) 2 5 (very important) 3 4 Yes Yes. . - I agree I agree Yes Yes No Both Yes No	Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan.
334.	Yes Undecided I don't support the plan. Costs too much. 2 1 (not at all important) 5 (very important) 3 4 No No. I agree with some but not others. - I agree with some but not others Not aware I do not agree No. Cost prohibitive. Yes No No Both No No. Pay too much council tax as it is.Extra cost will make my financial state untenable - need council to cut costs as a priority.	Thank you for sharing your perspective on the District Wide Carbon Plan. We understand your concerns about the potential costs associated with climate action initiatives. We aim to explore cost-effective solutions and prioritise actions that provide the most benefit while minimising the financial burden on residents. The council's new Corporate Plan 'Our district, our world' highlights the importance of being a well-run council delivering on our statutory services within a balanced budget, while also working in partnership with other organisations to deliver wider environmental benefits where we can. Your input helps us create a more balanced approach to reducing emissions and building climate resilience in Folkestone & Hythe that takes into account the economic challenges faced by our community.
335.	Yes Yes I support the plan 2050 4 2 3 5 (very important) 1 (not at all important) Yes Yes. I agree. - I agree I agree No Yes No No Both Yes No	Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan.
336.	Yes. Pressure to tackle the problems from the Government e.g. banning plastic wrapping / packaging. Yes. Buying sensibly. Using more public transport, switching to hybrid cars. Turning down central heating - moving to solar etc if the price were right. I support the plan 2050 4 5 (very important) 3 2 1 (not at all important) - On a national level - air travel. Yes. I agree. Lack of money. I agree. Community centres that host discussion groups. Not that I can think of. I agree Yes Yes No Both Yes Not aware of any.	Thank you for sharing your thoughtful feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. We appreciate your recognition of the global nature of climate change and the need for comprehensive action, while also expressing concerns about potential financial impacts and practical challenges. Your input highlights the importance of balancing environmental goals with economic realities, particularly for residents facing financial constraints. You've raised valuable points about the potential burden of climate initiatives on working people, the need for careful consideration of transportation measures, and the financial challenges

		<p>faced by local councils. The council's new Corporate Plan 'Our district, our world' highlights the importance of being a well-run council delivering on our statutory services within a balanced budget, while also working in partnership with other organisations to deliver wider environmental benefits where we can.</p>
337.	<p>Yes Yes. Adding solar panels - but cost is a huge factor - as working class cannot afford. I support some of the actions but not others. Transportation. 2040 5 (very important) 1 (not at all important) 4 2 3 Yes Yes. I agree. Residential - building too many homes that are not carbon neutral and destroying land / habitats. Increasing in flood risk - overwhelming already stretched systems - drainage. I agree with some but not others I agree No Yes No No Both Yes No</p>	<p>Thank you for sharing your thoughts on the District Wide Carbon Plan. We appreciate your support for some actions, particularly the addition of solar panels, while acknowledging the cost barriers for working-class residents. Your concerns about transportation, excessive home building, habitat destruction, and increased flood risk are noted. Future development will be guided by the council's new local plan, recognising however that the council is required to meet housing targets set by government to address the national housing crisis, within the recognised environmental constraints of the district.</p>
338.	<p>Yes Yes I support some of the actions but not others 2040 2 1 (not at all important) 5 (very important) 4 3 Yes Yes. I agree with some but not others. Flood defences. Industrial waste. I agree with some but not others Haven't looked. I agree with some but not others No Yes No No Climate adaptation Yes No</p>	<p>Thank you for sharing your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. Your input highlights concerns about flood defences, industrial waste, and climate adaptation, which align with the council's ongoing assessment of climate risks for the Folkestone & Hythe district.</p>
339.	<p>As a chronically ill person I cannot regulate my temperature properly and suffer very badly in the heat. I worry about it a lot also and I'm sure everyone will suffer financially in the future. Yes, but only a small amount, by recycling and being wary of our carbon footprint. Yes. Recycling. Not flying often. Reducing the amount I drive. Would love solar panels but can't afford them. I support the plan. These plans need to be put into effect as soon as possible. I support it all. 2030 Yes. All new social housing to come with solar panels and heat pumps. Have to recycle by law. Public transport should be taken back into public hands so it is efficient again. Yes. I agree. Flooding. People not being able to afford greener options. I agree I agree No Yes No No Both Yes No. Don't know?</p>	<p>Thank you for sharing your perspective on the District Wide Carbon Plan. Thank you for sharing the challenges you face, particularly the difficulties of temperature regulation and the financial barriers to adopting green technologies like solar panels. The council recognises the financial challenges of climate adaptation, especially for vulnerable residents. Our draft plan acknowledges these issues, particularly in section 2.3 on Climate Risk and Vulnerability Assessment, which discusses potential impacts of changing weather patterns on community health and well-being. Your input helps us create a more inclusive and equitable approach to reducing emissions and building climate resilience in Folkestone & Hythe.</p>

340.	<p>Financially the increase cost of petrol and electric cars are so expensive and there is not the facilities like petrol stations everywhere. Yes. If insulating homes was free to everyone not just accessed if you buy a new home everyone would do it, also solar panels being standard on new homes. This would reduce cost of energy and it would be free energy. Yes. I walk to work. I support the plan 2030 5 (very important) 1 (not at all important) 4 3 2 Yes Yes. I agree. Industry and carbon emissions. I agree No I am not. I agree with some but not others No Yes No No Both Yes Yes</p>	<p>Thank you for taking the time to share your thoughtful feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. We understand your concerns about the financial challenges of transitioning to sustainable technologies, particularly the high costs of electric vehicles, home insulation, and solar panels, and your desire for more accessible and affordable green solutions. As we revise the plan, we will carefully explore strategies to make sustainable technologies more financially viable for all residents, focusing on innovative funding mechanisms, potential subsidies, and phased implementation to reduce economic barriers to climate action.</p>
341.	<p>Yes Yes I support the plan 2040 4 3 2 1 (not at all important) 5 (very important) Yes Yes. I agree. - I agree I agree Yes. Electric buses. Yes No No Both Yes No</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan.</p>
342.	<p>Yes Yes I support the plan 2030 2 4 5 (very important) Yes Yes. I agree with some but not others. - I agree I agree Yes No No Both Yes No</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan.</p>
343.	<p>Yes. Vehicles - fuel. Yes. Change vehicle. Cutting down power use. I support the plan 2040 2 1 (not at all important) 3 4 5 (very important) Yes Yes. . - I agree I agree No Yes No No Both No</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan.</p>
344.	<p>None. Yes. Essential that everyone sees the critical need to address the issues. Yes. Installed solar panels and more insulation. Eco car. High levels of recycling. I support the plan 2040 2 1 (not at all important) 3 4 5 (very important) Yes. No mention of improved public transport to reduce car use. This should be a core part of any urban carbon strategy. Yes. I agree. Rising sea levels. I agree. Improved public transport. Sustainable Environmental Action Group SEA, an arm of the Sandgate Society. Integrated private/ public transport plan. No No Integrate plan, avoid duplication. Use the council to pull effort together. Both Yes No</p>	<p>Thank you for sharing your thoughtful feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan and highlighting the critical importance of addressing climate change through collaborative efforts. We understand your concerns about the financial challenges of transitioning to sustainable technologies, the need for improved public transport, and the potential impacts on different segments of our community. As we revise the plan, we will carefully explore strategies to make sustainable technologies more accessible, enhance public transportation infrastructure, and ensure our approach is equitable and considerate of the diverse needs of Folkestone & Hythe residents.</p>

345.	<p>Attitude towards booking holidays. Yes, small bits of changes affect large parts. Yes. Alternative transports (walking, cycling). - 2050 3 5 (very important) No. Direct some points to start actions. Tourist transportation. No. I agree with some but not others. - I agree I agree with some but not others Transport networks. Yes Yes Yes Both Yes Yes. School projects to be on curriculum.</p>	<p>Thank you for sharing your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan and highlighting the importance of sustainable transportation and education. We understand your interest in alternative transport methods, tourist transportation, and incorporating climate action into school curricula, which align with the council's goals of reducing carbon emissions and building community awareness. We will continue to work with partner organisations including Kent County Council (the local transport authority), bus and rail operators and Highways England, to improve public transport and cycling and walking infrastructure in the district. Regarding engagement with young people, the council has held a number of events with schools to talk about the issue of climate change, including the first meeting of the council's new Youth Forum held in February 2025.</p> <p>As we revise the plan, we will carefully explore strategies to enhance transport networks, promote alternative transportation options, and develop educational initiatives that can help engage younger generations in climate action efforts.</p>
346.	<p>None Yes Undecided I support some of the actions but not others 2050 No Yes. I do not agree. - I do not agree I agree with some but not others No No No No Climate adaptation No No</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan.</p>
347.	<p>Unaffected. No No I don't support the plan. Any and all.I disagree that the problem exists. No. Without a particular level of CO2 the planet will die. It is the basis of all life. We are already at a low level. Hot air from politicians who've embraced the 'carbon con!' . . The huge increases in prices due to carbon taxes. Yes. Stop spending vast amounts of money on matters that we cannot influence. No. Why would I be? I do not agree No. There are no actions that are meaningful! No No No No No</p>	<p>Your feedback indicates strong disagreement with the plan, expressing concerns about carbon taxation, and the potential economic impact of climate initiatives. While we respect your viewpoint, the council remains committed to our evidence-based approach to addressing climate risks and exploring sustainable solutions for Folkestone & Hythe, as outlined in our comprehensive draft plan. The great majority (almost 87%) of people who responded to the consultation on the District Wide Carbon Plan supported the proposed actions set out in the plan or put forward additional suggestions of their own.</p>

348.	<p>It's called weather. No No I don't support the plan. All of it we contribute 1%, try China, India, America etc. No. Spend our money more wisely. No. I do not agree. - I do not agree Not interested. I do not agree No. Not interested. No No No. Not interested. No No</p>	<p>Thank you for sharing your perspective on the District Wide Carbon Plan and expressing concerns about global emissions contributions. We understand your scepticism about the UK's relatively small carbon footprint compared to larger emitters like China, India, and the United States, and your view that resources could be allocated more effectively. As we continue to develop our climate strategy, we recognise the importance of global cooperation while also focusing on local actions that can contribute to meaningful change in our district. The great majority (almost 87%) of people who responded to the consultation on the District Wide Carbon Plan supported the proposed actions set out in the plan or put forward additional suggestions of their own.</p>
349.	<p>Installed sewage treatment plant. Got electric cars. Got air conditioners/cooling. No. Very limited impact. Yes. Already got an electric car. Next boiler will not be oil! I support the plan. 2050 1 (not at all important) 5 (very important) 2 4 3 Yes. Tax on flying. Make flying and cruising expensive to reduce demand. Promote local food and supplies. Yes. I agree. Transport issues in Kent. I agree I agree No No No No Climate adaptation No No. Unless USA and China plus other world polluters get on board, the planet is doomed, small schemes can't make up for them!</p>	<p>Thank you for sharing your perspective on the District Wide Carbon Plan and highlighting your personal efforts towards sustainability. We understand your concerns about the global impact of climate change, particularly the role of major polluters like the USA and China, while also noting your support for local initiatives such as electric vehicles, reducing oil boiler usage, and promoting local food supplies. As we revise the plan, we will continue to focus on local actions that can contribute to emissions reduction, while also advocating for broader cooperation on climate change mitigation strategies.</p>
350.	<p>No. The UK is a minute contributor to carbon emissions so can have no effect on climate change - particularly as 97% of carbon emissions come from nature. No I support some of the actions but not others No Yes. I agree. - I agree I do not agree No No No No Climate adaptation No No</p>	<p>Thank you for sharing your perspective on the District Wide Carbon Plan and expressing concerns about the UK's role in global carbon emissions. We understand your scepticism about the impact of local actions, particularly given the perception that a significant portion of carbon emissions come from natural sources. As we revise the plan, we will continue to focus on our local strategies for emissions reduction, recognising that while our individual contribution may seem small, collective action and innovation are crucial in addressing climate change. The great majority (almost 87%) of people who responded to the consultation on the District Wide Carbon Plan supported the proposed actions set out in the plan or put forward additional suggestions of their own.</p>

351.	Yes Undecided I support the plan 2040 - Yes. I agree. - I agree I agree No Yes No No Both Yes No	Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan.
352.	Yes. Recycling. Planting. Waste reduction. Lower temps for central heating. Yes. Reduction of energy use in the home. Would use public transport if it was more reliable and went to places that I need to go e.g. hospitals. Affordable solar panels. Recycling move. I support the plan 5 (very important) 2 3 Yes Yes. I agree. Extreme weather affecting agriculture e.g. flooding and drought. Rising sea levels. I agree I agree No Yes Yes No Both Yes No	Thank you for sharing your thoughtful feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan and highlighting your personal commitment to sustainability through actions like recycling, energy reduction, and waste management. We understand your concerns about public transport reliability, the need for affordable solar panels, and the potential impacts of climate change on agriculture, including extreme weather events and rising sea levels. As we revise the plan, we will carefully explore strategies to improve public transport infrastructure, make renewable energy technologies more accessible, and develop robust climate adaptation measures to address the challenges of flooding, drought, and sea level rise in the Folkestone & Hythe district.
353.	No No I don't support the plan 2050 4 No No. I do not agree. - I do not agree I do not agree No No No No No. Complete waste of time. We have no end of problems in the district. Climate change is NOT one of them!	Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan.
354.	Anxious now when it rains to make sure my husband and I stay safe. Yes. Recycling. No more new builds on flood plain areas such as Littlestone. Local NHS services - cottage hospitals. So we do not have to travel to Ashford or Folkestone or even London. Yes. Buying local produce, growing food in our gardens. Not purchasing goods from China / Japan etc. Solar power needs to be affordable. I support the plan 2050 3 - Airport? Lydd. Yes. I agree. - I agree More PR needs to be rolled out so that organisations or groups are made aware of projects/initiatives. Additionally this would be so helpful to individuals. I agree As stated travel to and from Ashford, Folkestone and London to have more local services. Yes No No Both Yes No	Thank you for sharing your thoughtful feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan, highlighting your concerns about flood risks, local services, and sustainable living practices. We understand your anxieties about flooding, particularly in areas like Littlestone, and your desire for more local services, sustainable food production, and affordable solar power. As we revise the plan, we will carefully explore strategies to enhance flood risk management, promote local food systems, improve public transportation, and look at the feasibility of more accessible renewable energy technologies for Folkestone & Hythe residents.

355.	Financial - re insurance. Yes - I support the plan 2050 2 5 (very important) 1 (not at all important) 3 4 Yes. Air and sea transport. No. I agree with some but not others. Sewage outlets. I agree with some but not others I agree with some but not others No No No Both No No	Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan.
356.	Yes. Use bus travel more if possible. A halve full bus uses less carbon than 20 cars. Undecided I support the plan. NB The District Wide Carbon Plan seems to me to be the same as the 5 pillars of focus! 2040 2 5 (very important) 4 3 1 (not at all important) Yes Yes. I agree. Flooding in certain areas. I agree I agree No Yes No No Both Yes No	<p>Thank you for sharing your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan and highlighting the importance of sustainable transportation, particularly bus travel. We will continue to work with partner organisations including Kent County Council (the local transport authority), bus and rail operators and Highways England, to improve public transport and cycling and walking infrastructure in the district.</p> <p>We understand your observation about the plan's structure and its alignment with the five pillars of focus, as well as your concerns about flooding in specific areas of the district. As we revise the plan, we will carefully review the connection between our strategic pillars and specific actions, explore ways to enhance public transportation infrastructure, and continue to develop robust flood risk management strategies for Folkestone & Hythe.</p>
357.	Yes. Correct recycling. Undecided I support the plan 2040 Yes Yes. I agree. Climate risk / vulnerability. I agree I agree No No No No Both Yes No	Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan.
358.	Yes. Everyone can make small changes that can collectively make a difference. Changing attitudes is the difficult part. Yes. I have been making changes for years - recycling, reusing. Considering what I buy and where I shop. I support local businesses. I support some of the actions but not others. The plan looks good on paper - but it will require investment and residents will need good incentives to consider expensive changes. 2040 3 2 1 (not at all important) 5 (very important) 4 Yes. The council needs to show what it is currently doing as an organisation to reduce carbon emissions - lead by example. . I agree with some but not others. The errodations of the coastline and development of green spaces. We need to preserve our beautiful coastline and nature reserves. I agree with some but not others I agree with some but not others No No No Both Yes No	Thank you for sharing your thoughtful feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan and highlighting the importance of collective action in addressing climate change. We understand your perspective on the need for investment, resident incentives, and the council leading by example, as well as your concerns about coastal erosion and the preservation of green spaces. As we revise the plan, we will carefully explore strategies to demonstrate the council's commitment to carbon reduction, develop compelling incentives for residents, and ensure the protection of our district's natural environments while working towards our sustainability goals.

359.	Inconvenience. No. I think the damage is worldwide. Yes. Limit car usage. I support the plan 2040 5 (very important) 3 4 2 1 (not at all important) Yes Limit population into the area. Yes. I agree with some but not others. It's the people that cause the problem. I agree. Not really. Less is more. I agree Already mentioned. Yes No No. Nothing to add. Both Yes No	Thank you for sharing your perspective on the District Wide Carbon Plan and highlighting the global nature of climate change challenges. We understand your concerns about car usage and the broader environmental issues affecting our planet. As we revise the plan, we will carefully explore strategies to promote alternative ways to travel to the car and develop comprehensive approaches to reducing carbon emissions in the Folkestone & Hythe district.
360.	Yes No I support the plan 2030 4 Yes No. I agree with some but not others. Water. Building more houses without improving drainage of water. I agree with some but not others. Draining renewed. I agree with some but not others No Yes No No Climate mitigation Yes No	Thank you for sharing your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan and highlighting concerns about water management and housing development. Future development will be guided by the council's new local plan, recognising, however, that the council is required to meet housing targets set by government to address the national housing crisis, within the recognised environmental constraints of the district. As we revise the plan, we will carefully explore strategies to improve drainage infrastructure, enhance water management practices, and ensure that new housing developments incorporate robust sustainable drainage solutions that align with our climate mitigation goals. Environmental standards for new development will also be set out in the council's new local plan and design codes, which will be subject to public engagement before they are finalised.
361.	Yes Undecided I support the plan 2050 4 1 (not at all important) 3 2 5 (very important) Yes Yes. I agree. - I agree I agree No Yes No No Both Yes -	Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan.
362.	Yes Yes I support the plan 2050 5 (very important) Yes Yes. I agree. Flooding. I agree I agree No Yes No No Climate mitigation Yes No	Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan.

363.	<p>I've given up my car to try to save fuel. Yes we can do our best to be aware and campaign but the larger changes have to be at governmental and corporate level. Yes. I've already given up my car and use public transport or walk. I am planning to reduce any trips by aeroplane in future. I support the plan 2030 2 3 1 (not at all important) 4 5 (very important) Yes Yes. . Flood risks and landslips. Impact of heat emergencies on residential properties and older people. I agree. I'm going to suggest to the Manager of Pleydell Court that we look into the Solar Together Kent Project to reduce energy costs. I use re-stock to buy groceries and toiletries without packaging. restockshop.co.uk. There is an EcoChurch movement which many churches are signing up to: ecochurch.arochoa.org.uk I agree What about wave energy? Yes No Benefact Trust supports churches to 'go green'. Both Yes No</p>	<p>Thank you for sharing your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan and your commitment to reducing your carbon footprint by giving up your car and using public transport. We understand your perspective that while individual actions are important, significant changes must also occur at governmental and corporate levels, and we appreciate your suggestions regarding flood risks, energy costs, and local initiatives like the Solar Together Kent Project. As we revise the plan, we will carefully consider strategies to enhance public transport reliability, promote local energy solutions, and address climate adaptation measures to ensure a more resilient community in Folkestone & Hythe.</p>
364.	<p>Roof repairs. Yes. Reduce temperature in homes, wear more clothes. Do not get air con. Drive less - 60 years ago 5,000 PA was high mileage now it's 20,000! Don't have children, world is over populated. - I support some of the actions but not others. Net zero does not seem possible, people eat and breathe. 2050 2 4 Consume less - but will reduce lifestyle / standard of living and massive unemployment - difficult. Population reduction. No. I agree. Economic contraction will be unpopular. It will not get votes. I agree with some but not others. Consume less? I agree with some but not others I am trying to reduce consumption. Am retired. No Both Yes -</p>	<p>Thank you for sharing your perspective on the District Wide Carbon Plan and highlighting personal strategies for reducing carbon emissions, such as reducing home temperatures and driving less. We understand your concerns about the challenges of achieving net zero, potential lifestyle impacts, and the complex economic and social implications of carbon reduction strategies. As we revise the plan, we will carefully explore nuanced approaches that balance environmental goals with economic considerations, seeking strategies that can reduce emissions while minimising disruption to residents' quality of life.</p>
365.	<p>Yes Undecided I support the plan 2050 4 Yes Yes. I agree. Flooding. I also think that more building of houses etc - less green fields to absorb rainwater. I agree I agree No Yes No No Both Yes No</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan.</p>

366.	<p>Yes. More solar panels. Water tanks for rain run off. All new development should require some form of low carbon energy such as solar panels or heat exchange. Extend daylight saving until the end of November to start again at the end of January. Yes. Solar panels - water collection - hybrid vehicle. I support the plan 2040 4 2 1 (not at all important) 3 5 (very important) Yes Yes. I agree. Sea level / carbon emissions / flooding - water containment. I agree Planning permission should only be granted for new houses that have solar panels/underground water collection tank. I agree Yes. Planning permission for new properties that have solar panels / underground water collection tank. Yes Yes No Both Yes No</p>	<p>Thank you for sharing your comprehensive feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan and highlighting innovative strategies for sustainable development, such as solar panel installations, water collection systems, and low-carbon energy requirements. We understand your specific recommendations about extending planning permissions to mandate solar panels and underground water collection tanks for new properties, which align with our goals of reducing carbon emissions and enhancing climate resilience. As we revise the plan, we will carefully explore strategies to incorporate low-carbon energy requirements and water management solutions into our planning and development guidelines, ensuring that new construction in Folkestone & Hythe contributes meaningfully to our district's sustainability objectives. Environmental standards for new development will also be set out in the council's new local plan and design codes, which will be subject to public engagement before they are finalised.</p>
367.	<p>Yes. Reduce plastic use. Maintain road surfaces. Undecided I support some of the actions but not others 2050 3 1 (not at all important) 4 2 5 (very important) Yes Yes. I agree. - I agree I agree Yes Yes Yes No Both Yes No</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan.</p>
368.	<p>As it is warmer financially. Yes. Everybody should be concerned. Yes. I have stopped driving. I support the plan 2050 2 Yes Yes. I agree. - I agree I agree No Yes Yes No Both Yes No</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan.</p>

369.	<p>My health has suffered. No. It's too late by about 30 years. Yes. I already have. 16 panels on my roof making electricity. I don't support the plan. All the council want to do is make people pay and pay some more. I don't see how you are going to implement these changes with so many coming into the country. 2050 3 5 (very important) 1 (not at all important) 2 4 No. Close down the Hythe little train they are still using coal! Get new people to check waste water, the ones we have now are rubbish. No. I do not agree. First: deal with the water companies. Second: Get rid of the junk on the beach (St Marys Bay) caused by the housing that needs removal. I do not agree. How are people to survive without heating? Most have gas. You can't survival to change overnight, there is a problem as they have no money. No. Quite honestly this is all too late. All of you expect us to chop everything and turn off all glas, petrol cars, motor bikes etc. What are you going to replace them with? The council will still be building houses very close together, not considering any people at all just get them paying! I do not agree Get the council to stop chopping down the trees. No No No. I will not contribute any money! Both No Show all incoming immigrants the door. France has the right idea they don't give them anything!</p>	<p>Thank you for sharing your candid feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan, highlighting your personal experiences with solar panel installation and your significant concerns about the proposed climate strategies. We understand your scepticism about the plan's feasibility, financial implications, and the challenges of implementing widespread changes, particularly in the context of existing infrastructure. However, we believe that acting locally can address many issues that contribute to global improvements, while also enhancing the health and well-being of our residents. Local actions often have multiple benefits, such as reducing air pollution, improving energy efficiency, and creating more liveable communities.</p> <p>As we revise the plan, we will carefully consider the economic barriers to climate adaptation, explore more nuanced and affordable transition strategies, and ensure that our approach addresses the practical challenges faced by residents in Folkestone & Hythe.</p>
370.	<p>Yes Yes. Cut down on central heating use. I support the plan 2030 Yes Yes. I agree. Food waste. I agree I agree No Yes No No Climate mitigation Yes No</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan.</p>
371.	<p>Yes Yes I support some of the actions but not others. Transport. I do not agree with electric vehicles, in my opinion they are not safe and are unreliable. Walking and cycling are good for the able but not for the less abled person. 2050 1 (not at all important) 3 2 5 (very important) 4 Yes No. I agree with some but not others. - I agree with some but not others Not aware of any. I agree with some but not others No Yes Yes No Both Yes No</p>	<p>Thank you for sharing your perspective on the District Wide Carbon Plan and highlighting your concerns about transportation, particularly electric vehicles and mobility options for less able individuals. As we revise the plan, we will carefully explore strategies to improve transportation accessibility, consider diverse mobility needs, and develop inclusive solutions that address the concerns of all residents in Folkestone & Hythe. We will continue to work with partner organisations including Kent County Council (the local transport authority), bus and rail operators and Highways England, to improve public transport and cycling and walking infrastructure in the district.</p>

372.	<p>I have an electric company car. All the talk about problems with charging etc is rubbish/exaggerated. I have had no problems even on long journeys. It is a delight! Yes. We all have a responsibility to to use our influence via what we buy and how much. Also how much we travel. We should be using public transport more an dlocal authorities and Central Gov. Should be encouraging this by better provision and incentives. We must become more efficient and community minded and less selfish. We must encourage our friends and families by voting green. Yes. Using public transport more. Cycling and walking more. Insulating homes better. Cycling and walking even more. Using an electric car. I support the plan 2030 4 Yes. The council should do more to encourage responsible behaviour in its residents. Such as incentives to use public transport (including for visitors - train etc). Incentives for responsible rubbish disposal and waste minimisation. Incentives for recycling (we have no recycle bins in Tontine Street / Mill Bay). Better cycle paths and encouragement of cycling and walking. Prove to local people that voting green does benefit them! Recycling of waste (segregated collection and processing) is not good in Folkestone. And compared to Switzerland, Holland and Germany it is very poor. Rubbish bins in coastal park and on promenade in summer get overfilled. This needs to be addressed. Water companies should be prosecuted more stringently! No. I agree. Excessive packaging. Excessive car use (private) due to selfishness, ignorance and bad habits. Food wastage. Unreliable or expensive public transport. Polluted water and rubbish (fly tipping and overflowing bins and seagulls trashing waste bags). I agree. Encourage the protection and improvement of biodiversity on The Warren. Improve rubbish collection. Better waste recycling facilities. Better cycle paths. Cattle grazing on the Warren hills to improve biodiversity and natural regeneration etc. Have seen notices there. Plus - Surfers Against Sewage action/reporting ref bathing sea water. Reduce coastal erosion by planting/protection of Sea Kale, sea grasses etc and encourage the extension of the coastal park all the way down to the Harbour Arm (in front of the new flats - ensuring public right of way). Coastal park extension along (in front of the sea side) towards the Harbour Arm (between the new flats and the boardwalk). This was promised / proposed, but will it happen? I agree Yes. Heavily punish water companies for dumping sewage in the sea and rivers. Improve public transport in the area and provide incentives for residents and visitors to use it. Improve waste recycling in the area. Improve cycle paths. Yes Yes No. The fact that I can't think of any locally is a worry for me! Both Yes Yes. Re-electing Green Party Councillors and MPs!</p>	<p>Thank you for sharing your positive experience with electric vehicles and your comprehensive insights on sustainable living and community engagement. We understand your strong advocacy for public transport, cycling, walking, home insulation, and the need for local authorities to provide better incentives and infrastructure for sustainable choices. As we revise the District Wide Carbon Plan, we will carefully explore strategies to enhance public transportation, improve cycling infrastructure, develop more robust recycling systems, and create meaningful incentives that encourage residents to make environmentally responsible choices. We will continue to work with partner organisations including Kent County Council (the local transport authority), bus and rail operators and Highways England, to improve public transport and cycling and walking infrastructure in the district.</p>
373.	<p>No Yes I support the plan 2030 Yes Yes. I agree. - I agree I agree No Yes No No Both Yes No</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan.</p>

374.	<p>Yes, you have to be the change you want to see in the world. Yes. Reducing plastic waste. Increasing biodiversity - less or no pesticides used on agriculture. I support some of the actions but not others. I'm concerned about vehicles taxes like Ules being introduced. The plan is too high level and not granular enough to know how this will impact us / environment. 2040 4 5 (very important) 3 1 (not at all important) 2 No. All households with gardens - should not be allowed to concrete them over or lay artificial grass down. Planting trees etc should be encouraged to get biodiversity at home going too. Classic cars and bikes generally pollute high levels of carbon monoxide - they pay no road tax - but should pay air tax. Why are we having new licences for north sea oil - that will not support climate control. No. I agree with some but not others. Agriculture - crop spraying with pesticides. Feeding livestock on foods not natural. Vaping - intoxicating general public air. I agree with some but not others. More trees planted - no new housing estates built. Beach and sea clean - stop toxic waste being dumped in rivers and oceans - create a system / infrastructure to manage sewage. I do not agree Yes. I would start with banning GMO crops and crop spraying - and weed sprays around local pavements - I saw this outside Lidl being done. Yes Yes No Climate adaptation Yes No. That's your job to find this out or know.</p>	<p>Thank you for sharing your thoughtful feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan and highlighting your commitment to environmental sustainability through reducing plastic waste and promoting biodiversity. We understand your concerns about the plan's granularity, vehicle taxation, agricultural practices, and the need for more specific environmental protection strategies. As we revise the plan, we will carefully explore strategies to provide more detailed implementation guidelines for the council and its partners, enhance biodiversity protection, address agricultural practices, and develop more comprehensive approaches to climate adaptation in the Folkestone & Hythe district.</p>
375.	<p>No No I don't support the plan 2050 3 2 4 1 (not at all important) 5 (very important) No No. I do not agree. - I do not agree I do not agree No No No No Climate adaptation No No</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan.</p>
376.	<p>Yes. By thinking about today and future generations. The future of the world. God's world. Yes. Using recycling better. Cutting down on water, gas and electricity. I support some of the actions but not others 2040 Yes Yes. I agree with some but not others. - I agree with some but not others I agree with some but not others No Yes No No Both Yes No</p>	<p>Thank you for sharing your thoughtful feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan and highlighting your commitment to sustainability through better recycling practices and reducing utility consumption. As we revise the plan, we will carefully explore strategies to enhance recycling infrastructure, promote energy efficiency, and develop comprehensive approaches that support our community's environmental goals.</p>
377.	<p>Yes Yes. Electric car. Heat pump for central heating / hot water. I support the plan 4 1 (not at all important) 5 (very important) 3 2 - No. I agree. - I agree with some but not others I agree with some but not others Yes No No Both Yes No</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan.</p>
378.	<p>Nothing much yet. No Undecided I support some of the actions but not others 2040 Yes. Encourage tree cover. No. I agree with some but not others. Flooding. Drought and wild fires. Air pollution. I agree with some but not others I agree with some but not others No Yes No No Both Yes No</p>	<p>Thank you for sharing your perspective on the District Wide Carbon Plan and highlighting your concerns about environmental challenges such as flooding, drought, wildfires, and air pollution. We will carefully explore strategies to enhance tree cover, develop robust climate adaptation measures, and address the specific environmental risks you've identified for Folkestone & Hythe.</p>

379.	<p>None at all, climate change is normal. No No I don't support the plan. Stop allowing wind and solar plants, but support small nuclear reactors. 4 No. Stop allowing wind and solar plants to be built. Support the building of nuclear reactors. No. I do not agree. Climate change is normal. FHDC can't alter climate change. I do not agree. Stop supporting wind and solar, they do nothing. They are unreliable and need subsidy and back up by gas and coal plants maintained on standby. Back the RR small reactors at Dungeness. I do not agree No No No No Climate adaptation No No</p>	<p>Thank you for sharing your perspective on the District Wide Carbon Plan and highlighting your views on energy generation. We understand your scepticism about climate change and your preference for nuclear energy over wind and solar technologies. Large scale solar and nuclear proposals are not decided by the council, but by the Secretary of State through the National Significant Infrastructure Project (NSIP) process, although the council will be consulted on proposals.</p> <p>As we continue to develop our climate strategy, we will carefully consider various energy generation technologies and exploring multiple pathways towards sustainable energy solutions for Folkestone & Hythe.</p>
380.	<p>Loss of seeing some birdlife. Yes. It is everyone's duty to make a difference collectively. Yes. Fuel. Shopping. Travel. I support the plan 2050 5 (very important) 1 (not at all important) 4 3 2 Yes Air travel - minimal in this area I know. Yes. I agree. Rising sea - living on a marsh. I agree No I agree No. My knowledge is limited. Yes Yes No Both Yes -</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. We recognise your concerns about the importance of collective action in addressing climate change and the need to enhance biodiversity while reducing plastic waste. We will incorporate your insights into the revisions of the plan to ensure it reflects community priorities and actionable strategies.</p>
381.	<p>Not as yet. Yes. By listening to advice re climate warming and use of plastics, sprays etc. Yes. Already do - don't use plastics when shopping - restrict car use to minimum - open to other suggestions from experts. Maintaining green areas - stricter controls on where building - bring in car pooling incentives - increased building - more carbon and emissions unless controls regulated. 2050 2 1 (not at all important) 4 5 (very important) 3 No. Residential - restrict building until more electric cars on the roads. Transport and commercial vehicles restricted within certain public areas unless compliant. Public transport and taxis to be electric. . . - No - the plan highlights the issues but does not give a clear suggestion of how the authorities propose to reduce carbon emissions (apart from funding - how will it be spent usefully). No - but surely instead of figures and percentages and dates - cold hard figures and statistics are necessary but these commissions need to lead therefore with their vision of what to actually do to bring down emissions. Yes. Refuse planning for additional homes in the area until there has been a reduction of carbon emissions (rather than just increase volume of traffic). Yes Yes No. It would be good to hear if any "strategies" are being implemented. Both No No</p>	<p>Thank you for sharing your thoughtful feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan and highlighting your commitment to reducing carbon emissions through personal actions like minimising plastic use and car travel. We understand your concerns about the plan's lack of specific implementation strategies and the need for clear, actionable approaches to reducing carbon emissions in residential and transportation sectors. As we revise the plan, we will carefully explore more detailed strategies for carbon reduction, including electric vehicle incentives, and comprehensive approaches to emissions management. Future development will be guided by the council's new local plan, recognising, however, that the council is required to meet housing targets set by government to address the national housing crisis, within the recognised environmental constraints of the district.</p>

382.	<p>Yes. Collectively. Yes. Those with no financial cost to me. I support some of the actions but not others 2050 3 5 (very important) 1 (not at all important) 2 4 No. Re-nationalise all natural monopolies - public transport, power and water. No. . I do not understand the challenges and risks facing the district as Sections 4.3.1 and 4.2.2 do not contain any details of these. I agree with some but not others. Transport emissions could be significantly reduced by improving public transport, rather than focussing on individuals. I do not agree Yes. Stop authorising unnecessary developments, using a huge amount of concrete. Yes No No Both No No</p>	<p>Thank you for sharing your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan and highlighting the importance of collective action and strategic approaches to reducing carbon emissions. As we revise the plan, we will carefully explore more detailed strategies for transportation emissions reduction and clearer communication of district-wide climate challenges. Future development will be guided by the council's new local plan, recognising, however, that the council is required to meet housing targets set by government to address the national housing crisis, within the recognised environmental constraints of the district.</p>
383.	<p>Travel. Yes. So the experts keep telling us. Undecided I don't support the plan No When I was born in 1961 the population of the world was approx 2bn. In my lifetime it has increased to 8bn+ and is still rising. This plan, along with all the others is simply kicking the can down the road. In a system that is obsessed with "economic growth" all this stuff is a waste of time. However, we dare not say this. . . - The Human Overpopulation website - patrons include Sir David Attenborough and Chris Packham - population matters. -</p>	<p>Thank you for sharing your thoughtful perspective on the District Wide Carbon Plan. We understand your concerns about the challenges of addressing climate change within a system focused on economic growth. While the impact of local actions may seem limited, we believe that acting locally can address many issues that contribute to global improvements, while also enhancing the health and well-being of our residents. Local actions often have multiple benefits, such as reducing air pollution, improving energy efficiency, and creating more liveable communities.</p>
384.	<p>I am more anxious about flooding, and yet still chose to buy a bungalow within walking distance of the sea. Yes. You can make wise choices, let your opinions be known e.g. this form. Yes. I read and listen to relevant comments and where possible make adjustments. My rear garden is shingle and that drains. I support the plan 2030 All of the 5 priorities need to be worked on simultaneously by different groups who are fully aware of the other groups plans. Goods should not need to be transported by massive lorries. There should be smaller local distribution centres. Companies should deliver value for money to those who pay not shareholders. . I agree. What support is offered to home owners? We had someone from a solar energy company come but it was too expensive and we would not recoup the value in our lifetime and also didn't include batteries! I agree. I don't know enough to comment. Regrettably not aware. Yes Yes No Both Yes No. Not aware - I am a relatively new resident (less than 2 years). I would be happy to be involved.</p>	<p>Thank you for sharing your thoughtful feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan and highlighting your personal experience with flood risks and sustainable living choices. We understand your concerns about flood risks, solar energy costs, and the need for comprehensive, coordinated approaches to climate adaptation. As we revise the plan, we will carefully explore strategies to provide clearer support for homeowners, enhance local distribution networks, and develop more accessible renewable energy solutions for residents in Folkestone & Hythe.</p>
385.	<p>Yes Undecided I support the plan 2040 4 5 (very important) 2 1 (not at all important) 3 Yes Yes. I agree. - I agree I agree Yes No No Both Yes -</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan.</p>

386.	<p>Yes. Recycling. Reduced use of personal vehicles and more use of public transport. Repair of appliances instead of discarding and buying new. Yes. Recycling food waste, more use of public transport. I support the plan 2050 4 3 5 (very important) 1 (not at all important) 4 Yes Educating residents in this importance of taking personal steps in achieving the aim. More education in schools re the environment. Yes. I agree. To spend more money to tackle these challenges, with risk of increasing taxes or facing bankruptcy. I agree I agree No Yes No No Both Yes -</p>	<p>Thank you for sharing your thoughtful feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan and highlighting the importance of personal actions like recycling, reducing vehicle use, and repairing appliances. We understand your emphasis on education and community engagement as key strategies for achieving climate goals. As we revise the plan, we will carefully explore strategies to enhance public awareness, promote sustainable practices, and develop comprehensive approaches to climate adaptation that involve schools, residents, and local communities. The council's Sustainable Futures Forum has proved a successful way to involve the community in climate change projects, and we will explore opportunities to expand this further. Regarding engagement with young people, the council has held a number of events with schools to talk about the issue of climate change, including the first meeting of the council's new Youth Forum held in February 2025.</p>
387.	<p>Yes. Reducing usage of fossil fuels. Switching to cleaner, greener energy options. Yes. Reducing car usage. Reducing home energy consumption. Recycling wherever possible. I support the plan 2040 Yes Yes. I do not agree. Balancing the need for transport lines to ensure business can flourish with the need to reduce emissions. Currently public transport is too unreliable and expensive whilst FHDC continue to penalise car owners by introducing unnecessary parking permits. I agree I agree with some but not others No Yes Yes Yes Both Yes No</p>	<p>Thank you for sharing your thoughtful feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan and highlighting your commitment to reducing fossil fuel usage and promoting cleaner energy options. As we revise the plan, we will carefully explore strategies to improve public transportation, develop more sustainable transportation solutions, and create incentives that support both business needs and environmental goals. We will continue to work with partner organisations including Kent County Council (the local transport authority), bus and rail operators and Highways England, to improve public transport and cycling and walking infrastructure in the district.</p>

388.	<p>Yes. But very minimal whilst the big players such as China, India, USA, Europe, UK all pay lip service to CG. Yes. Already have, my wife and I walk to the shops, use buses and trains for the majority of our transport, only using the car as necessary. I support the plan 2040 1 (not at all important) 5 (very important) 2 3 4 Yes Yes. I agree. Coastal defence, water levels will rise and most of our district is barely above sea level. Local flooding, the water authorities have done little to address the ageing systems and its capability to cope. I agree. Find a way to address the traffic build up around school times, the emissions from traffic jams must contribute to global carbon totals. Not aware. I agree Yes. Prevent traffic jams around school times. Support bus services to out of town shopping centres to reduce single run car journeys. All councils to work together iwth one voice to pressure government (ours and WW) to be serious about carbon reduction. No No No Both Yes I am not aware of any such models but I would support the council appointing an office to search for such models across countries and find the one most suitable for us.</p>	<p>Thank you for sharing your thoughtful feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan and highlighting your personal commitment to sustainable transportation and environmental awareness. We understand your concerns about global carbon reduction efforts, local challenges like coastal defense and school traffic emissions, and the need for collaborative approaches across different levels of government. As we revise the plan, we will carefully explore strategies to enhance local transportation efficiency, address flood risks, and develop more comprehensive approaches to carbon reduction that can be implemented at the district level.</p>
389.	<p>Small saving to water bill. Think now of other ways to achieve climate related things. Yes. Reading research. Save electricity, use solar and wind if possible. Use less water. Reduce car use. Yes. Am saving water, using some solar. Would try other options if suggestions given. Could recycle more. I support the plan 2050 4 2 3 1 (not at all important) 5 (very important) Yes. Help for people living in older property to save energy. Council to be less restrictive on green energy - solar panels and wind turbines. No. I agree. Temperature control. Heat in summer. I agree with some but not others I agree with some but not others No Yes Yes No Both Yes No</p>	<p>Thank you for sharing your thoughtful feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan and highlighting your commitment to water conservation, energy efficiency, and exploring renewable energy options. We understand your desire for support in implementing climate-friendly solutions, particularly for older properties and green energy installations. As we revise the plan, we will carefully explore strategies to provide clearer guidance and support for residents seeking to reduce their carbon footprint and implement sustainable energy solutions.</p>
390.	<p>Yes. Plant based consumer carrier baggaging. Yes. Sustainable. Reusable. Commerciabile. Accessible. I support some of the actions but not others 2040 4 2 5 (very important) Yes Commerciabile flytipping Yes. I agree with some but not others. ULEs. I agree with some but not others. Renewable fuels sourcing. (Address Redacted). Post graduate, TMIET-Ecology. I agree with some but not others Yes. Sustainable transport adaptation. Yes Yes Yes. Electrical and electronic recycling sanctuary, (Address Redacted). Both Yes No</p>	<p>Thank you for sharing your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan and highlighting your interest in sustainable practices like plant-based carrier bags and renewable fuel sourcing. We understand your focus on sustainable, reusable, and accessible commercial solutions for reducing environmental impact. As we revise the plan, we will carefully explore strategies to enhance sustainable transport, waste management, and commercial practices that support the district's carbon reduction goals.</p>
391.	<p>Yes Undecided I support some of the actions but not others 2050 5 (very important) Yes Yes. I agree with some but not others. - I agree with some but not others I agree with some but not others No No No Both -</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan.</p>

392.	<p>No. I don't! I don't believe that an individuals use of car, heating or ??? can change a climate. Unless that individual owns and runs a sweatshop in China or India. No I don't support the plan. This will make no change. The corrosion of coast is inevitable. That is addressed anyway. The plan is unrealistic! It cannot be achieved. It will cost individuals - business will be otherwise relieved. 4 5 (very important) 2 3 1 (not at all important) No. This action is negligible in compared to global issue. No. I do not agree. Coastal erosions and waste poured into sea. You mean like protests? Because these do irritate me no end! I do not agree No. Recycling and planting. Make public transport more attractive - price wise. Individuals cannot pay for your cause all the time. Yes No No Climate mitigation I don't know, but I feel that government is over stepping a tad with monitoring as it is!</p>	<p>Thank you for sharing your candid feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan and highlighting your scepticism about individual actions' impact on climate change. We understand your concerns about the plan's feasibility, potential costs to individuals, and the global scale of environmental challenges. However, we believe that acting locally can address many issues that contribute to global improvements, while also enhancing the health and well-being of our residents. Local actions often have multiple benefits, such as reducing air pollution, improving energy efficiency, and creating more liveable communities.</p> <p>As we revise the plan, we will carefully explore strategies that address economic fairness, demonstrate tangible local impacts, and develop more accessible approaches to climate mitigation that consider the perspectives of all community members.</p>
393.	<p>To see people struggling with their flooded homes and businesses. Quite depressing. And just as importantly the wildlife. Yes. Seeing farms diversifying and growing more meadow plants. Yes. We have planted a tree. Apart from recycling our general waste, I am recycling our soft plastics to the collections bins at the supermarkets. Save water during any droughts. I support some of the actions but not others 2040 4 1 (not at all important) 2 5 (very important) 3 Yes Yes. I agree. Noise and air pollution from the motorway. I agree. Some dead trees near the bridges that were planted when the new estate was built. Also the wildlife pond in the park needs some attention from time to time. Some fish died last year. Thankfully, some volunteers cleared and managed last summer. From Question 4. Only neighbourly volunteers managed the wildlife pond. Also litter pickers work tiressly around junction 11 by the motorway voluntarily. People in some areas who are relying on bottled water sent to their areas for them to pick up. I agree No Yes Yes No Both Yes Yes. Should we be shooting rockets up in the sky? The money saved could be better spent on saving lives on this planet.</p>	<p>Thank you for sharing your thoughtful feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan and highlighting your personal experiences with environmental challenges like flooding, wildlife preservation, and community volunteering. We understand your concerns about environmental impacts, including noise and air pollution, and the importance of community-led conservation efforts. As we revise the plan, we will carefully explore strategies to enhance local ecosystem management, support volunteer initiatives, and develop more comprehensive approaches to addressing climate-related challenges in the Folkestone & Hythe district.</p>
394.	<p>Yes. Recycling. Buying sustainably. Travelling less. Cutting down waste. Yes I support the plan 2050 5 (very important) 4 3 2 1 (not at all important) Yes Yes. I agree. Rising sea levels and flooding in the area. Restrict new building on flood areas. Improve transport links. I agree I agree No Yes No No Both Yes No</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan.</p>

395.	<p>Travel plans disrupted due to weather related incidents. Undecided if these events were caused by climate change or merely part of natural pattern. Yes. The obvious things are recycling and reducing food and packaging waste using energy efficient appliances and turning down thermostats. Less use of cars would be good, unfortunately public transport is dire and often prohibitively expensive. Yes I support some of the actions but not others. Transport! Cycling and walking are great leisure pastimes but unrealistic for day to day activities ie shopping. Getting to work cycling lanes snarl up traffic, emissions therefore are worse. I haven't seen anything concrete on how you will improve public transport. 2050 1 (not at all important) 3 2 4 5 (very important) No. Something urgent needs doing to prevent gridlocked lorries snarling up Folkestone when there are issues at the port. This would be far more valuable than cycle lanes. No. I agree with some but not others. Volumes of lorries and problems when stacked. Cliff erosion. I agree with some but not others I agree with some but not others No Yes No No Both No No</p>	<p>Thank you for sharing your thoughtful feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan and highlighting your concerns about transportation challenges, public transit limitations, and the practical difficulties of implementing sustainable mobility solutions. We understand your scepticism about cycling infrastructure and the need for more effective strategies to address lorry traffic and port-related congestion in Folkestone. As we revise the plan, we will carefully explore strategies to improve public transportation, develop more nuanced transportation solutions, and address the specific logistical challenges you've identified. We will continue to work with partner organisations including Kent County Council (the local transport authority), bus and rail operators and Highways England, to improve public transport and cycling and walking infrastructure in the district.</p>
396.	<p>Yes. By recycling more. Yes. But I do a lot of it at home anyway. I support some of the actions but not others 2040 3 5 (very important) 1 (not at all important) 2 4 Yes Yes. I agree. Wastage. Sewerage. I agree with some but not others Not aware. I agree with some but not others No Yes No No Both Yes No</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan.</p>
397.	<p>Yes Undecided I support some of the actions but not others 2040 5 (very important) 3 Yes. Home insulation. Yes. I agree with some but not others. - I agree with some but not others. Reduce cement / concrete use. I agree with some but not others Yes No No Both Yes No</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan.</p>
398.	<p>Yes Undecided I support the plan 2030 Yes Yes. I agree. - I agree I agree No Yes No No Climate mitigation Yes No</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan.</p>
399.	<p>No Undecided I support some of the actions but not others 2050 3 Yes Yes. I agree. Flooding in winter. Water shortages in summer. Waste water. I agree I agree No Yes No No Both Yes No</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan.</p>

400.	<p>Yes. Recycling. Being economical with water, electricity, gas and using public transport as much as possible. Yes. I already do as much recycling as I can. Also am as economical as I can be with water, electricity and use public transport where possible. I support the plan 4 Yes Yes. I agree. - I agree No No No Both Yes -</p>	<p>Thank you for sharing your thoughtful feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan and highlighting your personal commitment to recycling, resource conservation, and sustainable transportation. We will continue to work with partner organisations including Kent County Council (the local transport authority), bus and rail operators and Highways England, to improve public transport and cycling and walking infrastructure in the district. As we revise the plan, we will carefully explore strategies to further support and encourage the kind of individual actions you are already taking to reduce carbon emissions in the Folkestone & Hythe district.</p>
401.	<p>No incidents, it's just the weather! Stop swamping the countryside with housing and building on flood plains. No. It's all bunkham and balderdash just to scare the weak minded and make them pay. No I don't support the plan. It's all lies! 5 (very important) Stop volcanos erupting, they emit vast amounts of CO2! Areas near them are very fertile! CO2 into plants and trees and ocean = oxygen! . I do not agree. Building on greenfield sites and flood plains. Too many people and too few resources. I do not agree. Leave the countryside alone. All lies and laughable tosh. I do not agree Stop raw sewage being discharged into the sea. Vast improvement in public transport. No No No Both No No</p>	<p>Thank you for sharing your candid feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan and highlighting your scepticism about climate change and environmental initiatives. There is overwhelming scientific consensus on climate change. While global challenges can seem daunting, we believe that acting locally can address many issues that contribute to global improvements while also enhancing the health and well-being of our residents. Local actions often have multiple benefits, such as reducing air pollution, improving energy efficiency, and creating more liveable communities.</p> <p>We understand your concerns about housing development, land use, and the perceived impact of environmental policies. Future development will be guided by the council's new local plan, recognising, however, that the council is required to meet housing targets set by government to address the national housing crisis, within the recognised environmental constraints of the district. As we revise the plan, we will carefully explore strategies to address land use concerns, improve public infrastructure, and develop more transparent approaches to environmental management that consider diverse community perspectives.</p>

402.	<p>Health, worrying about flooding. Yes. Cleaning drains, especially in the autumn for leaves. Yes. Purchase of local foodstuffs rather than imported food and less carbon footprint. I support some of the actions but not others 2050 2 3 4 1 (not at all important) 5 (very important) Yes. Transport - public transport in this area is a disgrace. Previously used bus to attend Kent and Canterbury hospital, now three buses required as no No 16 from Hythe. Last week we used the car instead. No. I agree with some but not others. Flooding and building on flood plains. I agree with some but not others. New build housing. Pressure on central government to make solar panels and collection of 'grey' water compulsory when plans are submitted. To encourage people to shop locally, increase parking spaces and reduce charges. If the old Aldi car park goes it will affect easy access to Oaklands surgery, events in Oaklands Park, Canal leisure activities and high street and market shopping. I agree with some but not others Flooding - concern that increased building on higher ground contributes to water run off to low lying areas. Yes No Yes Climate adaptation Yes No</p>	<p>Thank you for sharing your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan and expressing your concerns about flooding and environmental management. We understand your emphasis on local food sourcing, the need for improved public transport, and the importance of addressing flooding and building practices in vulnerable areas. We will continue to work with partner organisations including Kent County Council (the local transport authority), bus and rail operators and Highways England, to improve public transport and cycling and walking infrastructure in the district. Environmental standards for new development will be set out in the council's new local plan and design codes, which will be subject to public engagement before they are finalised.</p> <p>As we revise the plan, we will carefully consider strategies to enhance local resilience, improve transportation options, and ensure sustainable development practices that prioritise community needs and environmental protection.</p>
403.	<p>Yes Yes. I only use heating in one room. Take bus. I recycle plus I use a compost bin. Take plastics to supermarket. Take medicine foils to superdrug. I support the plan Yes. All new houses to have solar panels. Yes. I agree. Flooding. Every time it rains several roads are flooded. As there are so many leaves around this doesn't help with blocked drains perhaps this could be dealt with. I agree I agree No Yes No No Both Yes No</p>	<p>Thank you for sharing your thoughtful feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan and highlighting your personal sustainability practices like using heating in one room, taking public transport, recycling, and composting. We understand your concerns about flooding and the importance of addressing drainage issues, as well as your support for solar panel installations in new housing developments. Environmental standards for new development will be set out in the council's new local plan and design codes, which will be subject to public engagement before they are finalised.</p> <p>As we revise the plan, we will carefully explore strategies to enhance flood resilience, improve drainage infrastructure, and support renewable energy adoption across the Folkestone & Hythe district.</p>

404.	Yes Undecided I support the plan 2050 3 - . . - I agree No Yes Yes No Both Yes -	Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan.
405.	Yes. But only if we all do. Undecided I support the plan 2040 3 5 (very important) 2 4 2 Yes Yes. I agree. Are sea defences adequate and future proof? I agree I agree No Yes No No Both -	Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan.
406.	We try to do everything we can to mitigate the new extremes in weather patterns. We harvest every drop of water we can but with summers as hot and dry as they are now it's extremely hard. Yes. I believe we all know what we should do. Invest in renewables. Reduce / end oil consumption. Reduce importation of items we can produce locally (food!). Engage farmers to go chemical free. Create locally economies. Stop flying. Stop plastics. Encourage people to consume less. Yes. Going off grid. I've addressed almost everything I possibly can. I support some of the actions but not others. As long as the plans for vast swathes of countryside to be built on - Otterpool etc. I have no confidence in any carbon plan. Destroying nature is country to carbon absorbtion. 2050 3 2 1 (not at all important) 4 5 (very important) No. Farming needs to be included. New buildings on brownfield sites only. No. I agree with some but not others. The destruction of natural habitats and the lack of inclusion in these '5 pillars' of farming. I agree with some but not others. Change the chemical based farming practices into carbon capturing opportunities and use biological / regenerative methods. thefriendlyfarmers.co.uk/fwagsoutheast.co.uk. (Names and Instagram Redacted) Yes, the groups mentioned in the section before are all working towards carbon absorbtion and biodiversity. I agree with some but not others Yes. Stop building new houses, at least on greenfield sites. More traffic pollution, light pollution, further stress on water supplies, more roads built as a result, waste management....every aspect is un-environmental. Yes Yes Yes Both Yes Yes	Thank you for sharing your thoughtful feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan and highlighting your comprehensive approach to personal sustainability and environmental conservation. We understand your concerns about habitat destruction, the impact of large-scale developments like Otterpool, and the need to integrate regenerative farming practices into carbon mitigation strategies. Future development will be guided by the council's new local plan, recognising, however, that the council is required to meet housing targets set by government to address the national housing crisis, within the recognised environmental constraints of the district. As we revise the plan, we will carefully explore strategies to prioritise brownfield development, support sustainable agricultural practices, and develop more holistic approaches to carbon reduction that protect natural ecosystems.
407.	I think from an emissions point of view we need to deal with countries like India and China first. No. It has always happened. Study history and geology. No I don't support the plan. It's a load of rubbish to recate non-jobs for council officials. No . . - I do not agree Deal with India / China first before lecturing to us. No -	Thank you for sharing your perspective on the District Wide Carbon Plan and highlighting your concerns about global emissions and the effectiveness of local climate initiatives. As we revise the plan, we will carefully explore strategies that demonstrate tangible local actions while acknowledging the broader global context of emissions reduction.

408.	Yes Yes. Taking public transport. Recycling. Buy local food. I support the plan 2050 4 1 (not at all important) 3 2 5 (very important) Yes Yes. I agree. Transportation emissions. I agree Elham Group I agree No Yes No No Both Yes No	Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan.
409.	Yes Undecided I support the plan 2040 5 (very important) 1 (not at all important) 3 4 2 Yes. Public transport needs to be far more frequent so people do not use cars. Many roads need to have speed limits or zones of 20 miles an hour on them. Yes. I agree. No new house building should go ahead until infrastructure of roads, schools, drs etc etc is adequate. Builders should be made to contribute to councils towards these things for the benefit of all. Otherwise no new housing is given the green light. I agree. Small changes - more rubbish bins and signs do not litter. Food waste - to be made more compulsory on households. I agree No No No No Both Yes No	<p>Thank you for sharing your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan and expressing your views on transportation, infrastructure, and environmental management. We understand your emphasis on the need for improved public transport frequency, adequate infrastructure before new housing developments, and strategies to reduce waste and promote local economies. Future developments will be guided by the council's new local plan recognising, however, that the council is required to meet housing targets set by government to address the national housing crisis, within the recognised environmental constraints of the district.</p> <p>As we revise the plan, we will carefully consider your suggestions to enhance community engagement, improve local services, and ensure that development aligns with the district's sustainability goals.</p>
410.	Yes by reducing travel involving carbon emissions. Yes. Less travel and getting rid of cars. I support the plan 2050 1 (not at all important) 2 4 3 5 (very important) Yes Yes. I agree. - I agree I agree No Yes No No Both Yes -	Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan.
411.	Loss of furniture, personal effects. Insurance not enough. Yes. We are all individuals. One person can't make much difference. Ten million can! Yes. Consume less! I support the plan 2030 3 5 (very important) 4 2 1 (not at all important) Yes Yes. I agree. Sea level. I agree I agree No Yes Yes No Both Yes -	Thank you for sharing your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan and highlighting the importance of collective action in addressing climate challenges and your concerns of sea level rise, and loss and cost associate with climate impacts.

412.	<p>Affected garden plants, anxiety during extreme heatwaves - concern over families health. Yes Yes. Already am conscious of water and electricity use, recycling, composting, donating unwanted items. I support some of the actions but not others. Lots of subset plans / strategies etc which can get lost or confused. 2030 3 4 2 5 (very important) 1 (not at all important) Yes. Introduction of solar panels on residential properties. Reduce dependence on fossil fuel. Yes. I agree. Coastal erosion, destruction of habitat. I agree. Make solar panels cheaper and easier to obtain - reduce dependence on fossil fuels (for residential properties). Not heard of any prior to receiving this pack. I agree Yes. Solar panels on residential properties to reduce dependence on fossil fuels. Yes No No Both Yes No</p>	<p>Thank you for taking the time to share your detailed feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. We understand your concerns about the complex challenges of climate change, including the need for comprehensive strategies that address transportation, infrastructure, and sustainable development. As we revise the plan, we will carefully explore strategies to enhance public transport, improve infrastructure planning, and develop more holistic approaches to carbon reduction that consider diverse community perspectives.</p>
413.	<p>Yes. Water filtration at the home. Solar panels for heating and deep cycle batteries for power storage. Personally try to use public transport over personal vehicles. Yes. (We rent). It would be hard due to renting and limited budget restraints. I don't support the plan 2050 No Personal transport. Rent a Cycle what has been put in the borough of London. No. I agree with some but not others. Too many foreign immigrants. Not enough affordable housing for elderly. I agree with some but not others. Less motor vehicles. More public access and diversity in Folkestone. I do not agree No Yes Yes No Both Yes No</p>	<p>Thank you for taking the time to share your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. We understand your interest in water filtration, solar energy, and public transportation, as well as the challenges of implementing sustainable solutions while renting. As we revise the plan, we will carefully explore strategies to make renewable energy and sustainable transportation more accessible and affordable for residents with diverse housing and economic circumstances.</p>
414.	<p>Yes Yes I support the plan 2050 3 1 (not at all important) 4 2 5 (very important) Yes Yes. I agree with some but not others. High tides, storm intensity, electricity grid. Challenges are transport changes to minimise impact. I agree with some but not others I'd welcome more visible projects and initiatives. I agree Yes. Transport alternative initiatives and support for individual change. Yes Yes No Both Yes No</p>	<p>Thank you for sharing your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. We understand your concerns about the impacts of high tides, storm intensity, and the need for more visible projects and initiatives to support sustainable transport alternatives. We will continue to work with partner organisations including Kent County Council (the local transport authority), bus and rail operators and Highways England, to improve public transport and cycling and walking infrastructure in the district.</p> <p>As we revise the plan, we will carefully consider your suggestions to enhance public transport options and promote individual actions that contribute to climate resilience in our community.</p>
415.	<p>Yes. In small ways. Undecided I support some of the actions but not others. The worst document have seen in a long time. Does not flow. 2050 5 (very important) 2 1 (not at all important) 3 4 Yes No. I agree with some but not others. - I agree with some but not others I agree with some but not others No Yes No No Climate adaptation Yes No</p>	<p>Thank you for taking the time to share your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan and your candid assessment of the document's structure and readability. We understand your mixed views on the plan and the challenges in comprehending its content. As we revise the plan, we will carefully review its flow, clarity, and presentation to ensure it is more accessible and engaging for all residents.</p>

416.	Health overall wellbeing. No Yes. Already do what I can. I support the plan 2040 Yes Yes. I agree. Flooding. I agree. Don't know. Not aware I agree No Yes No No Climate adaptation Yes No	Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan.
417.	Yes. Individually and collectively this is extremely important. Changes in how we travel and to our diets could have a positive impact. Yes. More use of public transport (currently inadequate in the Elham Valley). Installing solar panels, but these are too expensive for most people to consider at present. I support the plan 2030 1 (not at all important) 2 5 (very important) 4 3 Yes. Education campaigns - not only aimed at schools - it's important to get the majority of people to understand what needs to be done and why. Does the Green and Blue Infrastructure Strategy include the sea bed, given we are a coastal community. Get out MP to lobby for extended Marine Conservation zones. Yes. I agree. Poor local transport and no integrated transport system. Threats to biodiversity and loss of habitat. I agree. Introducing safe cycle lanes and infrastructure for active travel. I agree No Yes Yes No Climate mitigation Yes Yes. No need to reinvent the wheel - no doubt models across Europe and further afield are being considered.	Thank you for sharing your thoughtful feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan and highlighting the importance of individual and collective action in addressing climate challenges. We understand your concerns about public transport in the Elham Valley, the cost of solar panels, and the need for comprehensive education campaigns about climate mitigation. Issues of marine conservation are dealt with by the Marine Management Organisation (MMO) and the MMO has a series of marine plans to manage the seas around the English coast. As we revise the plan, we will carefully explore strategies to improve transportation infrastructure, make renewable energy more accessible, and develop educational initiatives that engage the broader community in understanding and implementing sustainable practices.
418.	Yes. Solar panels for electricity and water heating. Improvement insulation. Conserving water - water butts. Using grey water for loo and garden. Yes. Use public transport more - the local services have been cut. I see no detailed specific plans so it is hard to give an "informed" opinion. 2040 1 (not at all important) 3 2 4 5 (very important) New buildings should have solar panels and rainwater collection whether commercial or residential. . I agree with some but not others. Changes in weather. I agree with some but not others Force water companies to address problems of leaks and sewer overflows by huge fines. Yes No Both Yes -	Thank you for sharing your thoughtful feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan and highlighting your interest in renewable energy, water conservation, and sustainable building practices. As we revise the plan, we will carefully explore strategies to enhance solar panel adoption, water conservation methods, and infrastructure improvements that support sustainable development in the Folkestone & Hythe district. Environmental standards for new development will also be set out in the council's new local plan and design codes, which will be subject to public engagement before they are finalised.
419.	Yes Yes. Changing personal transport to a more sustainable type, when affordably available. I support the plan. I consider much more emphasis should be placed on making the district housing stock energy efficient. 4 1 (not at all important) 5 (very important) 3 2 Investigate methods of recovering energy from sewage. Improving access to communication technology (especially fibre broadband) as a means to reduce travel. No. I agree. No mention has been made regarding the risks from rising sea levels. With the rapid increase in ice loss from the poles, combined with worsening storms, this much be a danger for low lying areas. I agree with some but not others Yes Yes No Both Yes -	Thank you for sharing your thoughtful feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan and highlighting the importance of sustainable transportation, housing energy efficiency, and communication technology. Environmental standards for new development will also be set out in the council's new local plan and design codes, which will be subject to public engagement before they are finalised. We understand your concerns about sea level rise and the potential risks to low-lying areas in our district, which aligns with the Climate Risk and Vulnerability

		<p>Assessment mentioned in our draft plan. As we revise the plan, we will carefully explore strategies to enhance energy efficiency in housing, improve sustainable transportation options, and address the potential impacts of climate change, including rising sea levels and increased storm intensity.</p>
420.	<p>I walk, trying to avoid main roads as much as possible. I try to improve my general health and take responsibility for it. Prevention is better than creating problems through neglect. Yes. I think the role of the individual is the key to addressing climate change. So many say they 'don't believe in climate change'. Every day I am mindful of my behaviours, my own carbon footprint is extremely small. Yes. I have already adopted many changes in my lifestyle! How I reduce packaging, fewer journeys and incorporate several things into necessary journeys. I waste very, very little. I recycle and encourage others to do so without being bossy. I support the plan 2030 Yes Yes. I agree. Flooding: Coastal and inland. Need better land management. I agree I agree No Yes Yes No Both Yes No</p>	<p>Thank you for sharing your thoughtful feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan and highlighting your personal commitment to reducing your carbon footprint through mindful daily behaviours. We understand your emphasis on individual responsibility, lifestyle changes, and the importance of proactive environmental stewardship. As we revise the plan, we will carefully explore strategies to support and amplify the kind of individual actions you are taking, focusing on community engagement, waste reduction, and sustainable living practices. The council's Sustainable Futures Forum has proved a successful way to involve the community in climate change projects, and we will explore opportunities to expand this further.</p>
421.	<p>It rained and I got wet. No. Please see Chinese government for details of 80 new coal fired power stations. No I don't support the plan. The lot! Please do what you were elected to do or let someone else do it, if not up to job! No Yes. I do not agree. People who think they can save the planet by impoverishing us! I do not agree. To elect a council which concentrated on what it was elected for. More jobs for the boys! Greta Thunberg falling down a crevasse. I do not agree Yes. Try living without power or heating to see just how fun it is! Hint: Not very! No No No. Cut councillors pay. Climate adaptation No No. Clown suits for councillors.</p>	<p>Thank you for sharing your candid feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan and expressing your frustration with the proposed climate initiatives. As we revise the plan, we will carefully explore strategies to address your concerns, focusing on practical, cost-effective approaches that balance environmental goals with community needs. The great majority (almost 87%) of people who responded to the consultation on the District Wide Carbon Plan supported the proposed actions set out in the plan or put forward additional suggestions of their own.</p>

422.	<p>Yes. Travel by air as seldom as possible. Recycle as much as possible. Insulate your home. Travel by bus and walk when possible. Yes. I do not own a car. I support the plan 2040 2 1 (not at all important) 4 3 5 (very important) Yes Yes. I agree. Waste and waste. Sewage and so on being discharged into rivers and the sea. Maintenance of sewage and water systems should be highly controlled. Use of pesticides in farming and local gardens affects climate change. I agree. I think that allowing the building of the high flats, tall buildings on the beach and on the harbour is extremely anti any carbon plans. For the reasons you mention aid waste, water, infrastructure - building in the sea, more of less. Bad idea and should be stopped for climate change reasons. I agree Yes Yes Yes No Both Yes No</p>	<p>Thank you for sharing your thoughtful feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan and highlighting your personal commitment to sustainable practices like minimising air travel, recycling, home insulation, and using public transportation. We understand your concerns about waste management, sewage discharge, pesticide use, and the environmental impact of coastal development. The issue of sewage discharges was also strongly reflected in feedback on our draft Corporate Plan, 'Our district, our world', and we have committed in the Corporate Plan to use our influence with water companies to reduce river and coastal pollution.</p> <p>As we revise the plan, we will carefully explore strategies to enhance waste management infrastructure, protect coastal ecosystems, and develop more sustainable urban planning approaches that prioritise environmental preservation. Future development will be guided by the council's new local plan, recognising, however, that the council is required to meet housing targets set by government to address the national housing crisis, within the recognised environmental constraints of the district.</p>
423.	<p>Weather changes and the high impacts have caused more damage on our property and has some positive and some negative effects on the food we grow. Yes, many of us need guidance though but changes to our energy consumption, encouraging people to grow plants and veg and help nature are all small ways we can help. Yes. We already have solar power and ensure water collection to use on plants. We try to grow food, plant trees and hedging. If vehicles reach a sustainable build ability we would change from diesel but currently we maintain our vehicles for longevity. We upcycle and recycle where possible. I support some of the actions but not others. It hasn't addressed the overbuilding of houses in our towns and villages. These have led to destruction of habitats that they are proposing to add. Responsible collaborations are needed so less monetary affect on communities. 2030 4 5 (very important) 2 1 (not at all important) 3 Yes. The rules around listed properties need to be looked at to improve the EPC in these buildings. Reducing traffic as a whole. Yes. I agree with some but not others. The growing population and overbuilding. I agree. Address within schools, educate the young. Yes. Funding needs to go into the taste and not be creating huge profits for companies and individuals. Yes Yes No Both No No. I'm not aware of any personally but there are many groups that monitor this already and could be found with research or even approaching universities.</p>	<p>Thank you for sharing your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan and highlighting your commitment to sustainable practices like solar power, water collection, and growing your own food. We understand your concerns about the impact of housing development on habitats and the need for responsible collaboration to minimise community disruption. As we revise the plan, we will carefully explore strategies to enhance energy efficiency in housing, improve land management, and ensure that environmental considerations are prioritised in future development projects. Future development will be guided by the council's new local plan, recognising, however, that the council is required to meet housing targets set by government to address the national housing crisis, within the recognised environmental constraints of the district. Environmental standards for new development will also be set out in the council's new local plan and design codes, which will</p>

		be subject to public engagement before they are finalised.
424.	<p>No effects. Yes. Reduce, re-use, recycle. Cut down on food waste. Use cars don't make unnecessary journeys i.e. walk more, share, do more in a single trip rather than many small ones. Yes. I think I'm reasonably good but I'd like to eat more vegetables and a bit less meat. I support the plan 2040 1 (not at all important) 5 (very important) 2 4 3 Yes Yes. I agree. Coastal erosion and storm damage don't seem to be mentioned. Nature should take its course to some extent. I agree. A park and ride site (or two) might be useful, even if only at weekends. No. I haven't lived here very long. Does the new bus station count? I think it's an improvement on the concrete desert. I'm not sure how the development plans at the Harbour are sustainable. More residents = more pressure on environment. I agree Yes. I'm sure that you have a "green spaces" plan. These are essential for the air quality but also for mental health. Folkestone and Hythe are blessed with a beautiful coast and countryside. Yes Yes No. I've only lived here for one year although I'm from this part of Kent (Dover). I've been away for around 45 years. Both Yes -</p>	<p>Thank you for sharing your thoughtful feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan and highlighting your commitment to sustainable practices like reducing waste, minimising unnecessary car journeys, and considering dietary changes. We understand your observations about coastal erosion, park and ride potential, and the importance of green spaces for environmental and mental health. As we revise the plan, we will carefully explore strategies to enhance sustainable transportation, protect coastal areas, and preserve green spaces that contribute to the district's environmental and community well-being. Future development will be guided by the council's new local plan, recognising, however, that the council is required to meet housing targets set by government to address the national housing crisis, within the recognised environmental constraints of the district.</p>

425.	<p>Not at all. Yes. Reduced travel. Yes. Reduced travel where possible. I don't support the plan. It's not really a plan. More a collection of statements. Lacking in detail regarding actual proposed measures. 2050 1 (not at all important) Yes No. I do not agree. - I agree with some but not others I agree with some but not others No No No No Climate adaptation No No</p>	<p>Thank you for sharing your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan and expressing your concerns about its clarity and detail. As we revise the plan, we will focus on providing clearer, more detailed strategies that outline specific actions and initiatives to effectively address climate change in our district.</p>
426.	<p>Stress. Caused by concern at the lack of progress being made by this Govt and China and other nations at weaning off fossil fuels and onto wind and solar energy. High fuel bills! Yes. By being careful with fuel usage. However this is minute against what Govts can do. I do not agree with 'stop oil' etc disruptive behaviour. Yes. Use less water in shower. Turn heating down but again it is infinitesimal against both actions. Listen to the experts. I support the plan 2040 5 (very important) 3 Yes Yes. I agree. Get out of fossil fuel usage. I agree. Keep green spaces green. I am a tenant, over 80, and unable to get out due to walking problems (apart from hospital visits etc). I am not aware of any such projects. I do not own a car. I agree No Yes No No Both Yes No</p>	<p>Thank you for sharing your thoughtful feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan and highlighting your personal efforts to reduce energy consumption. We understand your stress about climate progress and the challenges faced by individuals in making meaningful change. As we revise the plan, we will carefully explore strategies to support vulnerable residents, enhance renewable energy adoption, and create more accessible pathways for community involvement in climate mitigation efforts.</p>
427.	<p>Yes Yes. I would be willing if business and commercial outlets including transport were playing their part. I support the plan. I find the plan ambiguous, perhaps it is my understanding but I would have liked more detail about how and what the council are doing. 2040 2 3 1 (not at all important) 4 5 (very important) Yes Yes. I agree. We need to reward not punish. If residential is the highest contributor tell us what we need to do. Put rewards in place for compliance. I agree I agree Yes Yes Both Yes -</p>	<p>Thank you for sharing your thoughtful feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan and highlighting the need for clearer guidance and incentive-based approaches to climate action. As we revise the plan, we will carefully explore strategies to provide more transparent, actionable guidance and develop ways to encourage and support residents in reducing their carbon footprint.</p>
428.	<p>Yes. Has to be a collective goal, not individuals! Undecided I support the plan 2040 4 3 1 (not at all important) 5 (very important) 2 Yes No. I agree with some but not others. - I agree with some but not others I agree with some but not others Yes Yes No Both Yes No</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan.</p>

429.	<p>Loss of green space, visual impact of housing estates screened by "twigs" or "green tarmac" equivalent. Worry for future generations, loss of Grade 2 arable land. You are assisting with massive over development of rural communities. Is farming now a hobby activity rather than a necessity?? Yes. Don't buy a modern box built on farmland. Yes. I walk frequently to the village - now breathe in CO2 rather than out due to A20 traffic problems and increased vehicle movements. I support some of the actions but not others. Carbon Absorption and Biodiversity. This is just playing lip service when there are massive scale housing projects being approved. Residents aren't stupid - please don't treat us as such with a glossy plan which isn't worth the paper it is written on (I note No. 5.4 Don't take developer "bribe" of green washing. A reed bed to offset 1000's of houses. Really?! Erm - don't build on greenfields, permit deafforestation, loss of habitat - I thought trees/plants absorb CO2? No. I agree with some but not others. Building too many new houses on greenfield sites e.g. council approval for massive over development in Sellindge and near by Otterpool. How can the council seriously be consulting on a carbon plan when it has clearly ignored the environmental issues inherent in its planning and development strategy. I do not agree with the assertion the 'core strategy review' aligns with net zero goals. Kent is becoming the patio of England not the garden. PLEASE LISTEN BEFORE IT IS TOO LATE. I agree with some but not others. Yes - build on true brownfield sites and NEVER agree to developers planting "twigs" as meeting green credentials. Loss of farmland, habitat for cildlife and further un off issues are swept aside without justification - residential contributing 39.3% of emissions - based pre all the huge extra planned housing developmetns. Food production, carbon absorption and biodiversity - it's a joke! Yes Quinn Estates purports to build sustainably. Go figure! Building houses and offsetting not 10% of their impact leads us BACKWARDS not towards net zero. That would be a NO. If I could withhold my council tax I would in absolute disgust - this is a crisis and yet the over development continues. I agree with some but not others Yes. The council loses all credibility by issuing this carbon plan consultation when local residents all know this is clearly NOT a priority but govt housing targets area. Shame on you.The council loses all credibility by issuing this carbon plan consultation when local residents all know this is clearly NOT a priority but govt housing targets area. Shame on you. Yes Yes Yes. That would be "green washing" by developers who highlight the low carbon technologies of homes then residents park huge cars on the drive. Both Yes Yes. Campaign to Protect Rural England. Citisen Science project.</p>	<p>Thank you for sharing your deeply passionate and critical feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan, highlighting significant concerns about housing development, loss of green spaces, and the perceived disconnect between the plan's environmental goals and current development strategies. We understand your frustration about the potential loss of Grade 2 arable land, habitat destruction, and the environmental impact of large-scale housing projects in rural communities. As we revise the plan, we will carefully explore strategies to prioritise brownfield development, enhance genuine biodiversity protection, and ensure that housing growth does not compromise our carbon absorption and environmental preservation objectives.</p> <p>Future development will be guided by the council's new local plan, recognising, however, that the council is required to meet housing targets set by government to address the national housing crisis, within the recognised environmental constraints of the district.</p>
430.	<p>Extreme anxiety during heavy rain. Yes. Compliance with recycling / repurposing of domestic items. Yes. Reduction of food waste. Use of public transport. Less plastic / reuse of clothes to charity. I support the plan 2050 3 Yes. None known. None known. Yes. I agree. Flood risk (climate change). I agree. None known. Not aware. I agree Yes. Plant more trees. Yes No No. None known. Both Yes No. None known.</p>	<p>Thank you for sharing your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan and highlighting your commitment to sustainable practices like recycling, reducing food waste, and using public transport. As we revise the plan, we will carefully explore strategies to enhance flood resilience, support tree planting initiatives, and provide clearer guidance on community-level climate adaptation efforts.</p>

431.	<p>Yes Yes. Travel, heating, recycling. I don't support the plan. A lot of words, no real detail. 2050 3 5 (very important) 4 1 (not at all important) 2 Yes Reduce excessive house building plan. Reduce tree felling. No. I do not agree. Excessive immigration and resources needed to accomodate. I do not agree Not aware. I do not agree Yes. Make people aware of true cost implications. Yes No No Both Yes -</p>	<p>Thank you for sharing your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan and highlighting your concerns about the lack of specific details in the proposed strategies. As we revise the plan, we will carefully explore strategies to provide more concrete actions, enhance transparency about cost implications, and develop a more comprehensive approach to addressing climate challenges in our district.</p>
432.	<p>Personally as a family we try to use public transport, procure locally. Yes. Everyone has a role to play in saving the planet. It needs everyone to make a difference. Yes. Anything where I can see the impact I'm making. I support the plan. No I think the plan doesn't go far enough. There seems to be a strong emphasis on government funding, is this realistic? 2040 4 5 (very important) 3 2 1 (not at all important) Yes. More to be achieved in the residential area than just solar panels - insulation of existing homes. Improving public transport in rural areas as well as promoting walking and cycling by having dedicated cycle lanes. No. I agree. Poor public transportation / expensive. Homes that are old that need insulation. I agree No I am not aware of anything happening. I agree Education and awareness programme. Improve recycling. Yes Yes No Both Yes No</p>	<p>Thank you for sharing your thoughtful feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan and highlighting your family's commitment to sustainable practices like using public transport and procuring locally. We understand your concerns about the plan's scope, particularly regarding residential energy efficiency, rural transportation, and the reliance on government funding. As we revise the plan, we will carefully explore strategies to enhance home insulation, improve public transportation in rural areas, develop dedicated cycling infrastructure, and create more comprehensive education and awareness programs to support community-driven climate action.</p>
433.	<p>Yes. We can all recycle more. Yes. I am considering installing solar panels on the roof of my house. I don't support the plan. You make climate more like a religious cult than something scientific. 2050 No. New nuclear at Dungeness please. No. I do not agree. Reliable energy supply - new nuclear please. I do not agree. New nuclear at Dungeness. I do not agree Yes. Support new nuclear at Dungeness. No No No. Please do not spend my council tax on a sustainability hub. Climate adaptation No No</p>	<p>Thank you for sharing your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan and highlighting your interest in energy solutions like solar panels and nuclear power. We understand your scepticism about the plan's approach and your preference for nuclear energy at Dungeness as a reliable power source. Large scale solar and nuclear projects are not decided by the council, but by the Secretary of State through the National Significant Infrastructure Project (NSIP) process, although the council will be consulted on proposals. As we revise the plan, we will carefully explore strategies to provide more scientifically grounded climate adaptation approaches that balance diverse energy perspectives and community needs.</p>

434.	<p>Unpredictable weather, eventually Romney Marsh will have a flood event. Yes. Put pressure on politicians to act, not talk! Yes. Already using less energy by use of LED lights, smaller car engines, greener lifestyle. I don't support the plan. It is all hot air - action is needed. 2050 2 4 3 5 (very important) 1 (not at all important) No. Action - not more words! Convince the big polluters, China, USA. No. I do not agree. Flooding. I do not agree. Action. I do not agree Action! Not words! No No No Both No No</p>	<p>Thank you for sharing your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan and expressing your concerns about the urgency of action against climate change. As we revise the plan, we will focus on developing actionable strategies that address flood risks and promote changes in energy consumption. While the actions of major polluting countries are outside the council's control, we believe that acting locally can address many issues that contribute to global improvements, while also enhancing the health and well-being of our residents. Local actions often have multiple benefits, such as reducing air pollution, improving energy efficiency, and creating more liveable communities.</p>
435.	<p>Yes. But without Government and corporate players taking involvement seriously significant change will not occur. Yes. Unless Government and corporations are held accountable and compelled to act responsibly further individual freedom erosion is likely to lead to massive social unrest. Using the climate emergency as a way of forcing social change will back fire. Honesty and truth are required. I support some of the actions but not others. Supporting the plan is important to me but how actionable is it. There doesn't seem to be detail on exactly how / what partnerships will be formed. How will sustainable ideas be promoted and how will communication be enhanced. 2050 5 (very important) 3 4 2 1 (not at all important) Yes. Consumerism is a major reason for industry and plastic consumption. Education initiatives re (1) consumerism and (2) waste reduction. No. I agree. Resilience. Sustainability. I agree with some but not others. Educational programs based on truth and facts. Local smallholding, growers. Buy local campaigns. Not getting rid of local bus routes would be a worthwhile action. Making sure profiteering out of costly initiatives doesn't occur. I agree with some but not others Yes. It mentions recycling but what really happens to: (1) Purple top bin contents (2) Food in compostable bags (3) Green top bin contents. HONESTY. Yes Yes Yes. Make fast food places responsible for cleaning up roadways and educating customers about the real waste stream environmental impact. Both Yes -</p>	<p>Thank you for taking the time to share your thoughtful feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan. We understand your concerns about the need for more detailed strategies.</p> <p>We agree that people shouldn't be forced to act but people can be encouraged, particularly where people benefit from actions to tackle climate change at the same time as tackling environmental challenges. We believe that acting locally can address many issues that contribute to global improvements while also enhancing the health and well-being of our residents. Local actions often have multiple benefits, such as reducing air pollution, improving energy efficiency, and creating more liveable communities.</p>

436.	<p>Financial - increased food costs, utilities, repairs. Health - excessive heat impact on strength, resilience. Health - anxiety for future of life of younger generations. Yes. Reducing utilities use and carbon footprint. Changing dietary lifestyle. Changing travel choices. Recycling, at home and at depots, shopping in charity shops. Yes. I have already made changes - e.g. reduced red meat and dairy consumption, reduced travel abroad, use more trains for UK travel. Recycle with bins provided, reduced central heating use. Purchase and give to charity shops. I'm considering solar panels for house. I support the plan 2030 4 2 5 (very important) 1 (not at all important) 3 Yes. Localised energy generation schemes - eg wind / tide turbines that directly feed into local communities e.g. villages are more likely to accept local infrastructure if it provides them with tangible advantage. Look into off grid options. . I agree. Ensuring clean water availability year round. Keeping out bugs etc that could kill off trees and food etc. Ensuring housing is eco friendly - old stock and new stock. Providing eco friendly energy solutions. I agree. English Heritage etc don't allow graded buildings to be made more eco friendly e.g. old churches have a hard time getting planning to do much to reduce carbon footprint. Lyminge Climate Action. (Email Address Redacted) (also facebook and instagram). Provide parish wide info on producing own food, running a community allotment, sourcing seasonal produce recipes, they have a group planting trees (have done over 10,000!). Electrical recycling in Hythe - open door 101 Hythe High Street. Not personally know any future actions. I agree No Yes Yes No Both Yes No</p>	<p>Thank you for sharing your comprehensive feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan and highlighting your personal commitment to reducing carbon footprint through lifestyle changes. We understand your concerns about financial impacts, health challenges, and the future of younger generations, particularly regarding energy infrastructure and eco-friendly housing. As we revise the plan, we will carefully explore strategies to support localised energy generation, improve housing eco-efficiency, and provide more community-driven sustainability initiatives. Environmental standards for new development will also be set out in the council's new local plan and design codes which will be subject to public engagement before they are finalised.</p>
437.	<p>Yes Yes I support the plan 2040 Yes Yes. I agree. - Yes Both -</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan.</p>
438.	<p>Introduced solar hot water, soon to introduce PV. Yes Yes I support the plan. Stop cutting trees down! Dedicate resource to improve parking and bus services. 2040 1 (not at all important) 5 (very important) 4 2 3 No . I agree. - Lets keep it local. Stop queuing on M20 of refrigerated lorries for instance. No No No Climate adaptation -</p>	<p>Thank you for sharing your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan and your commitment to sustainable practices like solar energy and local resource use.</p>
439.	<p>No Undecided I support the plan 2040 3 2 4 Yes No. I agree with some but not others. - I agree with some but not others I agree with some but not others No No No No Climate adaptation Yes Yes</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan.</p>

440.	<p>Yes. If everyone recycled and reduced use of energy and water it would make a difference. Yes I support the plan Yes. Allowing householders to install double glazing to help reduce energy use in heating homes. . I agree. Lack of co-operation from many people who won't even recycle. I agree I agree No Yes No No Both No</p>	<p>Thank you for sharing your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan and highlighting the importance of individual actions like recycling and energy conservation. As we revise the plan, we will carefully explore strategies to enhance public awareness, support household energy efficiency improvements, and create more accessible pathways for community participation in climate action.</p>
441.	<p>Yes Yes. I have changed to an electric car and insulated my home as far as possible. I support some of the actions but not others 2050 4 No No. I agree with some but not others. Far too much housebuilding and its associated energy use, pollution and travel. I agree with some but not others I agree with some but not others Yes. Stop the building of so many houses. Yes Yes No Both Yes No</p>	<p>Thank you for sharing your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan and highlighting your personal efforts to reduce carbon footprint through electric vehicle adoption and home insulation. As we revise the plan, we will carefully explore strategies to balance housing needs with sustainable development practices that minimise environmental disruption and energy use.</p>
442.	<p>Yes. Recycling, controlling own food waste. Yes I support the plan 2040 1 (not at all important) 4 5 (very important) 2 3 Yes Far more emphasis needed on cyclepaths, and cycling and walking encouragement in a big way. No. I do not agree. - I agree I do not agree No Yes No No Both Yes No</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan.</p>
443.	<p>No changes whatsoever. No. Climate change is a naturally evolving phenomena, it has happened naturally for trillions of years. Nothing mankind does will alter or arrest it. It is certainly not anthropomorphic. It is best viewed as weather. No I don't support the plan. I support none of it. No changes mankind makes can alter or arrest it. The reduction in carbon is unnecessary, CO2 is vital for planet life, anything we do in this country is miniscule in the universe. 2 5 (very important) No, none are required. No, there are absolutely no such areas. No. I do not agree. There are none. Some years are hotter, colder, wetter, drier than others. The risks as such are no greater than centuries ago. The concept of climate change is fabricated and enhanced by spurious outcomes of data modelling. I do not agree No. I resent taxpayers money being wasted on unnecessary, unachievable aims. The matter should be put to the residents and not decided by eco sealots amongst the councillors. I do not agree No. Absolutely not. No No No Climate adaptation No No. Absolutely not.</p>	<p>Thank you for sharing your perspective on the District Wide Carbon Plan and expressing your scepticism about climate change and human-induced environmental impacts. We understand your concerns about the perceived effectiveness of carbon reduction strategies and the allocation of taxpayer resources. As we revise the plan, we will carefully review our approach to ensure transparency, scientific rigor, and meaningful community engagement in our climate adaptation efforts. The great majority (almost 87%) of people who responded to the consultation on the District Wide Carbon Plan supported the proposed actions set out in the plan or put forward additional suggestions of their own. We believe that acting locally can address many issues that contribute to global improvements while also enhancing the health and well-being of our residents. Local actions often have multiple benefits, such as reducing air pollution, improving energy efficiency, and creating more liveable communities.</p>

444.	<p>Yes. Recycling. Yes. Difficult as rely on delivery for almost everything with its carbon footprint. More facts later might suggest something. I support the plan. Would need to know more facts clothing the language of the plan. 2040 2 Yes. Too soon for me to know. No. I agree. Sea pollution. I agree No Yes No No. Would be interested in such. Climate mitigation Yes -</p>	<p>Thank you for sharing your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan and highlighting your interest in recycling and understanding the plan's details. As we revise the plan, we will carefully explore strategies to provide more transparent language, address sea pollution concerns, and develop more accessible climate action initiatives. The issue of sewage discharges was also strongly reflected in feedback on our draft Corporate Plan, 'Our district, our world', and we have committed in the Corporate Plan to use our influence with water companies to reduce river and coastal pollution.</p>
445.	<p>Yes Yes. Use less heating. I support some of the actions but not others. 2040 3 Yes Yes. I agree with some but not others. Industrial pollution and waste. Sewage and water pollution. I agree with some but not others. There should be more machines to charge electric cars. The Hythe Triangle between Albert Road and St Leonard's Road is a wonderful example of regenerating wildlife and flowers - carbon neutral. I agree with some but not others No No No No Both Yes No</p>	<p>Thank you for sharing your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan and highlighting your efforts to reduce energy consumption and support local wildlife regeneration efforts. As we revise the plan, we will carefully explore strategies to enhance environmental protection, support sustainable transportation, and promote urban biodiversity initiatives like the Hythe Triangle project.</p>
446.	<p>Growing concern for people in affected regions of the world and for the wider world in years to come. Yes. Individual actions multiplied become collective action - we need to take personal responsibility to give us hope for the future and to show Governments and business that they must act more quickly. Yes. Home installed solar panels. Have decided not to take any more long haul flights and to limit car use and offset. I would make more use of public transport locally and support local initiatives. I support some of the actions but not others. It isn't a plan till it contains actions that are deliverable, monitored, quantified and owned - it is currently a strategy and a bit 'motherhood and apple pie'. Yes. Probably, and hopefully, hundreds of them. The key is generating them and using expert support, partner knowledge and best practice. Community 'buy in' to the plan on a continuing basis - this is obviously a long term project and no 'quick fix'. No. I agree. Carbon emissions, biodiversity loss and water / atmospheric pollution. I agree. That's the debate to have as you start to involve people in putting together the Action Plan. That needs to be a carefully managed process. Hythe Environmental Group, 19 High Street, electrical goods recycling. Yes Yes Both Yes Yes. There are 300+ councils up and down the country wrestling with this - best practice should be at the heart of the Action Plan.</p>	<p>Thank you for sharing your thoughtful feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan and highlighting your personal commitment to sustainability through actions like solar panel installation and reducing long-haul travel. We understand your constructive critique that the current document feels more like a strategy than an actionable plan, emphasising the need for specific, measurable, and monitored actions with clear ownership. As we revise the plan, we will carefully explore strategies to develop a more concrete action plan that incorporates expert support, community engagement, and best practices from other councils to create a truly impactful climate adaptation approach.</p>
447.	<p>Yes. Recycling made easier. Undecided I support the plan 2030 3 Yes No. I agree with some but not others. - I agree with some but not others I agree Yes No No Climate adaptation -</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan.</p>

448.	<p>No. I find it hard that one person could make the change to zero carbon. Yes. Reducing food waste. Buying locally sourced food. I support the plan 2040 3 4 5 (very important) 2 1 (not at all important) Yes Educating in schools, universities and workspaces to teach more sustainable ways of living. This will encourage a better uptake of the council's plan but also change attitudes within individuals and communities. . I agree. Rising sea levels. Water pollution from water companies. Preserving biodiversity. I agree Not aware of any. I agree Yes No No Both Yes -</p>	<p>Thank you for sharing your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan and highlighting the importance of education and local action in addressing climate challenges. We understand your concerns about individual impact and the need for comprehensive sustainability education across schools, universities, and workplaces. The issue of sewage discharges was also strongly reflected in feedback on our draft Corporate Plan, 'Our district, our world', and we have committed in the Corporate Plan to use our influence with water companies to reduce river and coastal pollution.</p> <p>As we revise the plan, we will carefully explore strategies to enhance community awareness, support local sourcing initiatives, and develop educational programs that empower individuals and communities to contribute to our district's carbon reduction goals.</p>
449.	<p>Yes. By trying to leave a light wake as we make our way in life. Yes. Using an older car for longer. Installing solar panels. I support some of the actions but not others. Transition to electric buses / waste vehicles is inconsistent with their usage levels. Better to move to hydrogen powered units. Increase in vehicle charging infrastructure generally has to be balanced against power generation and grid support. 2050 3 2 4 5 (very important) 1 (not at all important) No. Use of small modular nuclear reactors, as Dungeness is slowly decommissioned this is an ideal site to trial and roll out SMRs as the infrastructure for transmission is already in place as is the skilled workforce. A review of the building stock to critically assess what percent are suitable for heat pump adoption WITHOUT massively intrusive works. Consider what percent would benefit from a hybrid heat pump/boiler model and support this. Support free roof insulation. Yes. I do not agree. Storm water run off in towns and impact on drainage infrastructure. I agree with some but not others. Small modular reactors at Dungeness. Development of hydrogen ice engines by job. I agree with some but not others Yes No No No Climate adaptation YesAH446:AH452 No</p>	<p>Thank you for sharing your thoughtful feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan and highlighting your personal sustainability efforts like solar panel installation and using an older car longer. We understand your nuanced perspectives on electric vehicle infrastructure, energy transition, and the potential for small modular nuclear reactors at Dungeness. Nuclear projects are not decided by the council, but by the Secretary of State through the National Significant Infrastructure Project (NSIP) process, although the council will be consulted on proposals. As we revise the plan, we will carefully explore strategies to balance infrastructure development, energy generation, and climate adaptation, with a focus on innovative solutions like hydrogen technology and targeted building stock assessments for heat pump adoption.</p>

450.	<p>Yes Yes I support the plan 2040 4 1 (not at all important) 5 (very important) 2 3 Yes Yes. I agree with some but not others. Flooding. I agree with some but not others I agree with some but not others Yes. Clean the drains and lower water in the canals. Yes Yes No Both Yes No</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan.</p>
451.	<p>None No. Climate change is a natural occurrence. This planet has heated and cooled longer than humans have existed. Yes. Increased re-use and recycling. I don't support the plan. All useless. 4 5 (very important) 3 2 1 (not at all important) No. Stop wasting resources - useless questionnaires. Use waste materials to generate power. New nuclear power station at Dungeness. No. I do not agree. - I do not agree. New power station at Dungeness. I do not agree Yes. Stop volcanoes erupting, hot gyers, climate change is a natural feature of the earth. No No No Both No No</p>	<p>Thank you for sharing your perspective on the District Wide Carbon Plan and expressing scepticism about human-induced climate change. We understand your view that climate change is a natural planetary process and your concerns about resource allocation. As we revise the plan, we will carefully review our approach to ensure transparency, scientific rigor, and meaningful strategies that address both environmental challenges and community concerns. Nuclear projects are not decided by the council, but by the Secretary of State through the National Significant Infrastructure Project (NSIP) process, although the council will be consulted on proposals. The great majority (almost 87%) of people who responded to the consultation on the District Wide Carbon Plan supported the proposed actions set out in the plan or put forward additional suggestions of their own.</p>
452.	<p>Less predictable seasons. Yes. Waste control. Feed wild animals. More trees and shrubs. Yes. Whatever the community decides. I support some of the actions but not others. Imposition of less important climate control. 2050 4 5 (very important) 3 1 (not at all important) 2 - Yes. I agree with some but not others. - I agree with some but not others I agree with some but not others No No No Yes Both No No</p>	<p>Thank you for sharing your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan and highlighting your interest in waste control, wildlife support, and community-driven environmental initiatives. As we revise the plan, we will carefully explore strategies to enhance community engagement, support biodiversity through tree and shrub planting, and develop more flexible, collaborative climate adaptation methods.</p>
453.	<p>Greater awareness/tv coverage has meant that we are very much aware of our carbon footprint. Yes. Use of buses / trains. Walk more - less use of the car. Switch lights etc off - if not in room. Yes. It always annoys me not to see washing lines in people's back gardens around here, even on lovely warm / fine days (overuse of washing machine dryers!) - totally needless use of energy. I support the plan. The plan is generally sound / clear - quite well considered overall. 2040 2 1 (not at all important) 4 3 5 (very important) Yes Yes. I agree. Increased temperatures over recent years here in the south east. Repeated flooding in certain local district - farmland in particular. I agree. Not really. I am not aware of any - unfortunately. I agree No Yes Yes No Both Yes Yes. What about a self assessment questionnaire through people's letterboxes to ascertain what people are doing - or not doing (increase awareness on a personal level).</p>	<p>Thank you for sharing your thoughtful feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan and highlighting your personal efforts to reduce carbon footprint through sustainable transportation, energy conservation, and awareness of unnecessary energy consumption. We understand your suggestion for a self-assessment questionnaire to increase personal awareness and your observations about local environmental changes like increased temperatures and flooding. As we revise the plan, we will carefully explore strategies to enhance community engagement, promote energy-</p>

		<p>efficient practices, and develop more interactive methods of raising climate awareness. The council's Sustainable Futures Forum has proved a successful way to involve the community in climate change projects, and we will explore opportunities to expand this further.</p>
454.	<p>Yes. People should recycle more. Yes. I have made quite a few lifestyle adjustments, also open to more. I support the plan 2040 3 2 4 Yes Yes. . Water. Waste and household. I agree Yes No No Climate adaptation Yes -</p>	<p>Thank you for your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan.</p>
455.	<p>Health - inability to swim due to sewage discharges. Financially - repairs due to storm damage. Yes. Recycling more at home. Considering transport options - eg walking and cycling. Insulation of homes. Creating biodiversity in own environment. Yes. All of the above. I support the plan. More action would be ideal. 2030 Yes. Enforcing house developers to incorporate green policies. Improvement of at home recycling schemes. Tax electric vehicles. Protection of green, biodiverse areas. Allowing and actioning local community opinions. Support of nuclear energy schemes eg Dungeness - SMR. Reduction of removal of mature trees when not needed. Repaire developers to ensure key green policies are incorporated so as to obtain planning permission eg build around mature trees, include biodiverse spaces etc. Yes. I agree. Flooding. Overdevelopment. I agree I agree Yes Yes Yes No Both Yes -</p>	<p>Thank you for sharing your feedback on the District Wide Carbon Plan and emphasising the importance of addressing sewage discharges, storm damage, and community engagement in environmental initiatives. The issue of sewage discharges was also strongly reflected in feedback on our draft Corporate Plan, 'Our district, our world', and we have committed in the Corporate Plan to use our influence with water companies to reduce river and coastal pollution.</p> <p>We understand your support for actions like improving recycling schemes, protecting biodiversity, and incorporating green policies in housing development, as well as your interest in nuclear energy solutions. Nuclear projects are not decided by the council, but by the Secretary of State through the National Significant Infrastructure Project (NSIP) process, although the council will be consulted on proposals. As we revise the plan, we will carefully explore strategies to enhance community involvement, enforce sustainable practices in development, and ensure that our actions are both effective and aligned with local needs.</p>