**Agenda**

Meeting: Overview and Scrutiny Committee  
Date: 19 May 2020  
Time: 7.00 pm  
Place: Remote Meeting  
To: All members of the Overview and Scrutiny Committee

The committee will consider the matters, listed below, at the date and time shown above. The meeting will be open to the press and public and streamed live at [bit.ly/YouTubeMeetings](http://bit.ly/YouTubeMeetings).

If members have any particular questions on the reports it would help the management of the meeting if they could send these to the report author or [committee@folkestone-hythe.gov.uk](mailto:committee@folkestone-hythe.gov.uk). Members can raise matters in the meeting of course, however, knowledge of the areas of any concern prior to its commencement will aid the running of the meeting.

1. **Apologies for Absence**

2. **Declarations of Interest (Pages 3 - 4)**

   Members of the committee should declare any interests which fall under the following categories:

   a) disclosable pecuniary interests (DPI);
   b) other significant interests (OSI);
   c) voluntary announcements of other interests.

3. **Minutes (Pages 5 - 8)**

   To consider and approve, as a correct record, the minutes of the meeting held on 18 February 2020.

---

**Queries about the agenda? Need a different format?**

Contact: Kate Clark  Tel: 01303 853267  
Email: [committee@folkestone-hythe.gov.uk](mailto:committee@folkestone-hythe.gov.uk) or download from our website [www.folkestone-hythe.gov.uk](http://www.folkestone-hythe.gov.uk)

Date of Publication: Monday, 11 May 2020
4. **Proposed changes to the Overview & Scrutiny Committee (Pages 9 - 16)**

Dr Susan Priest, Chief Executive, to give an overview of the proposed changes to this committee.

5. **Play Area Strategy 2020-2030 - Consultation Responses and Outcome (Pages 17 - 146)**

Report No. C/19/48 considered the draft Play Area Strategy 2020-2030 as presented to Cabinet on 11/12/2019. The report detailed how high quality play areas will be provided and maintained throughout the District over the next ten years. Cabinet resolved:

1. That report C/19/48 be received and noted
2. That the suggestion of sites to be sold in respect of non-strategic play areas be removed
3. That the principles of the draft Play Area Strategy 2020-2030 and associated action plan be approved
4. To proceed to formal consultation
5. That a report be brought back to Cabinet following formal consultation with a view to approving the Strategy from 1st April 2020

These resolutions have been actioned with the formal consultation beginning 20/12/2019 and concluding on 31/01/2020. This report summarises the consultation responses and minor amendments to the strategy.

6. **Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) Governance Framework (Pages 147 - 160)**

Report OS/20/01 sets out the proposed CIL Governance Framework for the committee’s consideration

County Councillor Martin Whybrow has made a request to speak on this item. With the Chairman’s agreement he has been asked to submit a written note or pre-recorded video message to the committee.
Declarations of Interest

Disclosable Pecuniary Interest (DPI)

Where a Member has a new or registered DPI in a matter under consideration they must disclose that they have an interest and, unless the Monitoring Officer has agreed in advance that the DPI is a 'Sensitive Interest', explain the nature of that interest at the meeting. The Member must withdraw from the meeting at the commencement of the consideration of any matter in which they have declared a DPI and must not participate in any discussion of, or vote taken on, the matter unless they have been granted a dispensation permitting them to do so. If during the consideration of any item a Member becomes aware that they have a DPI in the matter they should declare the interest immediately and, subject to any dispensations, withdraw from the meeting.

Other Significant Interest (OSI)

Where a Member is declaring an OSI they must also disclose the interest and explain the nature of the interest at the meeting. The Member must withdraw from the meeting at the commencement of the consideration of any matter in which they have declared a OSI and must not participate in any discussion of, or vote taken on, the matter unless they have been granted a dispensation to do so or the meeting is one at which members of the public are permitted to speak for the purpose of making representations, answering questions or giving evidence relating to the matter. In the latter case, the Member may only participate on the same basis as a member of the public and cannot participate in any discussion of, or vote taken on, the matter and must withdraw from the meeting in accordance with the Council's procedure rules.

Voluntary Announcement of Other Interests (VAOI)

Where a Member does not have either a DPI or OSI but is of the opinion that for transparency reasons alone s/he should make an announcement in respect of a matter under consideration, they can make a VAOI. A Member declaring a VAOI may still remain at the meeting and vote on the matter under consideration.

Note to the Code:
Situations in which a Member may wish to make a VAOI include membership of outside bodies that have made representations on agenda items; where a Member knows a person involved, but does not have a close association with that person; or where an item would affect the well-being of a Member, relative, close associate, employer, etc. but not his/her financial position. It should be emphasised that an effect on the financial position of a Member, relative, close associate, employer, etc OR an application made by a Member, relative, close associate, employer, etc would both probably constitute either an OSI or in some cases a DPI.
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The Chairman welcomed Councillor John Wing as a new member of the Committee. The Chairman also reminded members that the Annual Scrutiny Programme for 2020/21 is currently open and accepting topics for discussion for the forthcoming year.

54. **Declarations of Interest**

Councillors Patricia Rolfe and Terry Mullard declared a disclosable pecuniary interest as they are both Board members of Oportunitas Ltd, dispensations have been applied.

Councillors Peter Gane and Michelle Keutenius made a voluntary announcement as they are members of Folkestone Town Council.

Councillor Patricia Rolfe made a voluntary announcement as she is a member of New Romney Town Council.

All announcements and interests referred to Agenda Item 5, General Fund Budget and Council Tax 2020/21. All members took part in discussions and voting on this item.

55. **Minutes**
The minutes of the meeting held on 21 January 2020 were approved and signed by the Chairman following the amendments noted below:

Minute 48 – Additional information as follows:

‘Some members raised concerns about the Accounts and some members were not aware that the District Council’s Chairman Councillor Mrs Ann Berry is a Trustee of the Step Short Charity, although this does not impact on the decision made by Cabinet.’

Minute 50 – Members asked about the Minimum Revenue Provision and its appropriateness, referring specifically to Paragraph 2 (iii) Appendix 3 of the report C/19/58.

Minute 51 – Amendment to resolution as follows:

‘To recommend to Cabinet that feedback is given to the Overview & Scrutiny Committee on the deployment of the Q3 underspend monies against corporate priorities.’

56. **Members’ Allowances - Draft Parental Leave Policy**

Report OS/19/07 set out the draft parental leave policy for the Committee’s consideration.

Mrs Amandeep Khroud, Assistant Director, advised members that this report would be presented to the Governance Working Group and subsequently to Full Council for their consideration.

Generally members agreed this report showed a reasonable and fair approach to the subject in a non-discriminatory way.

Councillor Laura Davison questioned the removal of the Special Responsibility Allowance (SRA) if a councillor takes parental leave and special responsibilities are not undertaken. She believed this could cause financial difficulties and may be seen as indirect discrimination.

In comparing the proposed Parental Leave Policy, Mrs Khroud looked at other local authorities, the LGA guidance and the LGA’s Labour Women’s Taskforce, concluding that the SRA is paid to councillors who carry out special responsibilities. In this respect these would not be carried out if Parental Leave was taken and it would seem reasonable and legally correct for the SRA payment to cease.

Proposed by Councillor Laura Davison
Seconded by Councillor Michelle Keutenius and

RESOLVED:
To recommend to Council that the Special Responsibility Allowance remains intact when a Councillor, who receives it, takes Parental Leave.

(Voting: For 3; Against 5; Abstentions 0)

THIS MOTION FELL.

Further comments made included encouraging all members to accept their allowances, attracting a younger generation of potential councillors and pointing out that this policy is relevant to both sexes.

Proposed by Councillor Peter Gane
Seconded by Councillor Terry Mullard and

RESOLVED:
1. To receive and note report OS/19/07.
2. That the Committee fully supports the Draft Parental Leave Policy.

(Voting: For 8; Against 0; Abstentions 0)

57. General Fund Budget and Council Tax 2020/21

Report C/19/66 sets out the final General Fund budget and council tax requirement for 2020/21, including that part of the local tax covering district and parish services.

Members noted the following:

- Budgeting decisions relating to the Folkestone Parks and Pleasure Grounds Charity rests with the Trustees.
- Town and Parish Councils determine their own level of precept requirement which on average has increased.

It was also noted that the Budget consultation received little response, comments received were broken down as follows:

- Some respondents said 2% increase in Council Tax charges seemed reasonable for the District.
- Reviewing contracts to ensure value for money.
- Funds should be increased for graffiti removal making for a smarter district.
- Proposed overall increase too high with further comments received on a broad range of topics.

Proposed by Councillor Rebecca Shoob
Seconded by Councillor Peter Gane and

RESOLVED:
To receive and note report C/19/66.
Voting: For 8; Against 0; Abstentions 0)
Governance Working Group

Proposed changes to Overview and Scrutiny

27 February 2020
Drivers for change

• Current arrangements not satisfactory.

• Pre-Scrutiny of Cabinet reports the night before a Cabinet decision not effective.

• Scrutiny should be advisory, with involvement in shaping council policy, to influence decision-making on major issues facing the council.

• Scrutiny is best undertaken without political interference.
A clear role for Scrutiny

• An opportunity for Members to have an impact and influence the work of the council.
• Focus more on pre-scrutiny at an early stage, i.e. assist in the development of major, strategic policies, programmes of works, projects, etc.
  Not just act as a rubber stamp
• Work plan items to be properly scoped, allowing for clear outcomes.
• Less busy agendas, allowing members to give more time and focus to consider individual issues on matters of significance to the work of the council.
“Scrutiny should be a strategic function of the authority. It should be central to the organisation’s corporate governance, a crucial cog in the decision-making machine”.

Centre for Public Scrutiny – Taking Scrutiny Seriously - January 2020
Proposed changes

- Reduction in number of meetings of the Overview and Scrutiny Committee from 11 to 5 or 6 per year.

- Creation of a finance and performance sub-group to meet quarterly.

- Committee work plan to include about 12 clearly scoped topics, allowing for a deep dive into two topics per meeting.

- Work plan topics to have clear lines of enquiry, questions, and to draw on external expertise as necessary.

- Members to lead the items at Scrutiny meetings.

- Call-in function remains available.
Proposed next steps:

- If supported by the Governance working group:
  - Meeting schedule to be considered.
  - Work plan topics to be considered (see next slide).
  - Members encouraged to develop lines of enquiry and questions for the work plan.
  - Training to be provided to Members and staff.
  - Clear expectations established – cultural change and continuous improvement.

- Formal proposal for agreement at Overview and Scrutiny Committee in April, then Annual meeting of Council in May.

- Ongoing support from CFPS through change in 20/21.
Potential topics:

• CIL - Strategic Investment Framework and governance for decision-making.
• Council Tax Reduction Scheme (CTRS)
• Fireworks
• Modern slavery
• Licensing policy; Taxi policy; Discretionary Business Rates Policy
• ED Strategy.
• HRA: new build & acquisition programme; estate renewal programme.
• Homelessness
• Update from Climate and Ecological Working Group, including pesticide motion.
• Update from Town Centre Working Group – progress, impact,
• PREVENT & Safeguarding (statutory item)
• Oportunitas
• Waste
• Car parking

• Potential for OSC Chairman to assign a named OSC Member to scope each topic being considered.
Questions?
SUMMARY: Report No. C/19/48 considered the draft Play Area Strategy 2020-2030 as presented to Cabinet on 11/12/2019. The report detailed how high quality play areas will be provided and maintained throughout the District over the next ten years. Cabinet resolved:

1. That report C/19/48 be received and noted
2. That the suggestion of sites to be sold in respect of non-strategic play areas be removed
3. That the principles of the draft Play Area Strategy 2020-2030 and associated action plan be approved
4. To proceed to formal consultation
5. That a report be brought back to Cabinet following formal consultation with a view to approving the Strategy from 1st April 2020

These resolutions have been actioned with the formal consultation beginning 20/12/2019 and concluding on 31/01/2020. This report summarises the consultation responses and minor amendments to the strategy.

REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS:

Current play area provision across the district is unsustainable. The Play Area Strategy 2020-2030 outlines how the Council will work with partners to provide a sustainable network of Priority and Strategic Play Areas across the District. Cabinet are asked to consider the responses to the formal consultation, the minor amendments to the draft strategy and approve the draft strategy which sets out clear direction of how these important community facilities will be managed, maintained and enhanced over the next ten years.
RECOMMENDATIONS:
1. To receive and note report C/20/04.
2. To note the consultation responses to the draft Play Area Strategy 2020-2030 and associated action plan.
3. To note the minor amendments to the draft Play Area Strategy 2020-2030 and associated action plan.
4. To approve the draft Play Area Strategy 2020-2030 and associated action plan and provide delegated authority to the Director, Housing & Operations to implement the draft Play Area Strategy 2020-2030 from 1st April 2020.
5. To note and approve the proposed amount of up to £250k for Dowry payments to Town and Parish Councils for the transfer of SIPA and NSPA designated play areas to be met from the General Fund Vehicles, Equipment and Technology Reserve.
1. **INTRODUCTION**

1.1 The Play Area Strategy 2020-2030 follows on from the report Planning for Play in Shepway 2007-2012 which was developed by Folkestone & Hythe District Council (F&HDC) and the Shepway Play Partnership (a range of organisations and agencies involved in the Play sector) in response to a commitment from central Government to raise the national profile of Play. Since the 2007 Strategy was adopted by F&HDC there have been many improvements to play provision in the district as well as changes to the available resources to manage and maintain features. It is therefore now timely to review and update the Strategy which will guide the management and maintenance of play spaces in Folkestone & Hythe District over the next 10 years.

2. **BACKGROUND**

2.1 There are 85 play areas in the Folkestone & Hythe District. Of these 48 are owned by FHDC corporately or through the HRA. The other play areas are in the ownership of a multitude of other organisations including Town and Parish Council’s and Housing Associations. FHDC have further agreements in place to manage and maintain some of these play areas on behalf of the other organisations.

2.2 With the exception of the play areas at the Coastal Park and the Royal Military Canal (Seabrook) the Council has a maintenance budget of £20k. With aging play areas this is clearly not a sustainable position.

3. **THE PLAY AREA STRATEGY 2020-2030**

3.1 The Strategy is set out in three parts; the vision which sets out FHDC’s aim for the future of play provision within the District; the objectives of the Strategy which set out how we will implement the vision and an action plan which sets out how we will achieve the objectives. The full draft strategy is included as **Appendix 2** of this report.

3.2 **Vision:**

*Play experiences are fundamental to the health and development of children and young people. Folkestone & Hythe District Council will therefore seek to ensure all residents are able to access a high quality and high value play area. We will work with town and parish councils, together with other providers, to create play spaces which offer challenging and exciting environments for children and young people of all ages and abilities.*

3.3 The Shepway Play Area Review (2017) provides an assessment of play areas in the district in terms of quantity, accessibility, location, value and quality.

3.4 National guidance suggests that play strategies should be based on locally derived standards. These standards are determined through analysis of
existing provision of play spaces, consideration of local and national standards for play and an understanding of local need. The proposed standards for Folkestone & Hythe District are set out in the Shepway Play Area Review (2017) and Section 4 of the Strategy.

3.5 FHDC acknowledges that, due to ongoing financial constraints facing local authorities, achieving these standards in the Folkestone & Hythe District will be a challenge. It is therefore proposed that any investment will be prioritised on the management and enhancement of play areas where there is considered greatest need i.e. play spaces located in areas with the largest concentration of children and young people. Based on this assessment 9 play areas have been identified as being priorities for FHDC known as Priority Play Areas (PPAs). The Strategy identifies another 5 PPA’s within the district in other ownership. FHDC will work with town and parish councils to identify priority play spaces in their areas.

3.6 In addition FHDC will work in partnership with Town and Parish Councils, together with housing trusts and other community groups, to deliver a network of Strategically Important Play Areas (SIPAs). The network of SIPAs has been identified with the aim of ensuring the majority of the district’s residents live within a 15 minute walk of a high quality and high value play area. FHDC will look to transfer these sites to the Town and Parish Councils who are best placed to provide these facilities for their local residents.

3.7 Those play areas not considered to be part of this network will be known as Non-Strategic Play Areas (NSPA) and will be offered to FHDC’s partners and stakeholders as part of an asset transfer. If after one year no interested parties come forward, play equipment will be removed from these play areas and the ground returned to open space.

3.8 Objectives

The Shepway Play Area Review (2017) identified a need to take a strategic approach to future play area provision in the district. The following objectives and supporting action plan (see Section 7 of the Strategy) have been informed by the findings of the Play Area Review, which involved desk based analysis, stakeholder consultation and an audit of play areas.

3.9 The Strategy includes 6 objectives that are set out to deliver the vision; how each objective is achieved is set out in detail in the Strategy and subsequent action plan.

- **Objective 1**: Improve the location, quality, value and accessibility of play provision for all children and young people
- **Objective 2**: Effectively utilise planning policy to benefit play provision
- **Objective 3**: Raise awareness of play opportunities and the importance of play
- **Objective 4**: Maximise funding opportunities for the maintenance and enhancement of play areas
3.10 **Action Plan;** this sets outs the programme of actions which will be carried out to meet the vision for play in Folkestone & Hythe District. It lists each management objective, how each will be achieved and who is responsible for achieving them. Where appropriate a priority level is indicated and further considerations highlighted. The programme will be reviewed annually and targets monitored to ensure actions have been achieved.

4. **CONSULTATION**

4.1 The consultation commenced 20/12/2019 and concluded 31/01/2020.

4.2 The consultation documents were published on the FHDC website with all affected organisations, stakeholders and partners notified by email.

4.3 Consultees included; KCC, all Town and Parish Councils, RDH Charitable Trust, all known community groups with an interest in play provision, NHS and other stakeholders.

4.4 A summary of the consultation responses and recommended actions is set out below with the full consultation results detailed in Appendix 1 of this report.

4.5 In total **83 responses** were received by the Council which are summarised below.

4.6 1 to purchase land.
    Responses from 4 Town or Parish (Burmarsh/Hythe/Hawkinge/St Mary’s in the Marsh)
    1 from the Labour Party
    1 from KCC Member
    2 Charitable Sector

4.7 **Responses stating individual parks;**

    Pine Way – 5 responses
    Densole Way – 1
    Oakham Drive - 11
    Brabner Park - 1
    Campbell Road - 1
    Atkinson Road - 8
    Country’s field - 1
    Wraightsfield - 1
    George Gurr - 5
    Oakland’s - 6
    Heron Forstal - 1
In addition to this there were many responses for Hawkinge on the whole, with the vast majority received after factually incorrect signs were posted by an unknown person or persons.

4.8 **Themes and recommended actions**

- **Ownership corrections** (2 of these which will be amended within the strategy)

- **Play provision** – The type and amount of equipment found within parks was commented on, responses pointed towards different parks offering different ages of equipment, which was seen both as a positive and negative item. No action is needed with regards to the strategy.

- **Closure** – The vast majority of responses (49) came after signs which contained false information were put up at all parks by an unknown person or persons. FHDC does not want to close any parks, and is working with Towns and Parishes to avoid this. No action is needed with regards to the strategy. It should be noted that none of these responses referred directly to the Play Area Strategy.

- **Designation** – Comments came in regarding re-designating parks as some felt the importance of their local park was not recognised. However the parks have all been put through the same process to be designated appropriately. See strategy for methodology and reasoning.

- **Funding** - A number of consultees were seeking information regarding how funding could be sought in the long term. Parish and Town Councils are able to work with the charitable sector to secure funding and will also receive their share of CIL funding. It should be noted that both Towns and Parish councils can apply for further CIL funding when available. No action is needed with regards to the strategy.

- **Accessibility** – a comment was received highlighting a lack of accessible equipment, and this is noted within the strategy and action plan. Before any major updates all parks will receive a fully accessibility audit as per the action plan. No action is needed with regards to the strategy.

- **Budget** – A number of comments referred to the budget the Town and Parish Councils would need to manage the parks should they transfer. FHDC will provide Dowry’s based on a 5 year maintenance contribution payable upon transfer. Detail has been added to the strategy page 29, Objective 4, No.7. No budget provision exists in the General Fund for the Dowry payments and
it is estimated the total cost could be up to £250k. It is proposed to meet the cost from the Council’s Vehicles, Equipment and Technology Reserve.

- Developer Management of play areas – A comment was received regarding previous parks where management companies and developers had dissolved and therefore leaving parks unmanaged and neglected. This will be picked up with strict planning policy. No action is needed with regards to the strategy.

- Consultation Duration – a number of comments were made with reference to the consultation period including the Christmas and New Year period. All written with the same wording. The consultation timeframe was carefully considered and appropriate. No action is needed with regards to the strategy.

5. **ENGAGEMENT**

5.1 Throughout the consultation period discussions have continued to take place with Town and Parish Councils regarding the transfer of Play Areas. Officers feel that the conversations have been really positive.

5.2 The transfer of 8 play areas has been agreed with Folkestone Town Council.

5.3 The transfer of 1 play area has been agreed with Sandgate Parish Council.

5.4 The transfer of 1 play area has been agreed in principal with New Romney Town Council.

5.5 The transfer of 5 play areas is ongoing with Lydd Town Council.

5.6 Discussions are taking place with other Town and Parish Council’s over the transfer of other play areas across the district.

6. **RISK MANAGEMENT ISSUES**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Perceived risk</th>
<th>Seriousness</th>
<th>Likelihood</th>
<th>Preventative action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Play Area Strategy not adopted</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Detailed and thorough ongoing consultation with all stakeholders to ensure strategy is adopted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Town and Parish Councils not willing to adopt play areas</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Detailed and thorough ongoing consultation with all stakeholders to ensure strategy is adopted and dowry’s sufficient to cover maintenance costs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insufficient funding to maintain Priority and SIPA networks</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work internally with planning to ensure S106 and CIL payments are directed to the right projects. Work with external partners and community groups to explore all funding opportunities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6. CONCLUSION

6.1 Officers have concluded that the consultation responses and the discussions that have been taking place during the consultation period have generally been positive and supportive of the strategy. No responses have been received that would require any material changes being made to the strategy.

6.2 The majority of responses that were received were in response to signs which contained false information that were put up at all parks by an unknown person or persons or relating to single play areas and the fear of them closing. Officers have been working hard with Town and Parish Councils over the potential transfer of the SIPA and NSPA sites which will alleviate these fears.

6.3 Following the consultation period, the responses received and the ongoing discussions with Town and Parish Councils, Officers recommend that the Play Area Strategy 2020-2030 is approved and should be implemented on 1st April 2020.

7. LEGAL/FINANCIAL AND OTHER CONTROLS/POLICY MATTERS

7.1 Legal Officer’s Comments (NE)
There are no legal implications arising directly from this report. However, legal will be involved in relation to any transfers or leases of the play parks to third parties.

7.2 Finance Officer’s Comments (LW)
The financial implication of providing the proposed Dowry payments is outlined in the report. Adequate provision is available within the General Fund Vehicles, Equipment and Technology Reserve to meet the cost of up to £250k. There are no other financial implications arising directly from this report.

7.3 Diversities and Equalities Implications (AB)
The Strategy sets out a clear vision to develop a network of Priority and Strategically Important Play Areas so all residents have access to high quality, high value play areas. All new and refurbished play areas will
undergo an equalities impact assessment during the design process to ensure that they meet the needs of all of our residents.

7.4 Communications Implications (KA)

This will need to be handled with care and a communications plan has been developed to mitigate the communications implications arising from this strategy.

8. CONTACT OFFICERS AND BACKGROUND DOCUMENTS

Councillors with any questions arising out of this report should contact the following officer prior to the meeting

Andy Blaszkowicz, Director – Housing & Operations
Telephone: 01303 853684
Email: andy.blaszkowicz@folkestone-hythe.gov.uk

Appendices:
Appendix 1: Play Area Strategy 2020-2030 full consultation results
Appendix 2: Draft Play Area Strategy 2020-2030
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Whom</th>
<th>Owner</th>
<th>Park</th>
<th>Town / Parish</th>
<th>Comment</th>
<th>Themes</th>
<th>FHDC Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Individual</td>
<td>Places for Homes LTD</td>
<td>Pine Way</td>
<td>Folkestone Town Council</td>
<td>The park next to Harcourt primary school would very much appreciate some funding. Currently it is used so much by the local community but there is hardly anything for the kids to play on. As long as it is dry then children leaving Harcourt school use this every day. I previously asked for a bench and this was granted. Sadly this was vandalised overnight. It’s a small park but if you were to come to see it on a dry day the local community are using it so much. Any new equipment or facilities given would be so gratefully received.</td>
<td>Play Provision</td>
<td>This park is close to Harcourt Primary school and is in a convenient location for children before and after school. It is also close to Firs Lane Play Area and contains a larger array of equipment. This area is within the catchment area of Cheriton Recreation Ground, which is the designated PPA. Folkestone Town Council have agreed to adopt this park.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burmarsh Parish Council</td>
<td>Burmarsh Children Fund</td>
<td>Burmarsh Recreation Ground</td>
<td>Burmarsh</td>
<td>Thank you for the opportunity to see this Play Area Strategy document. I am writing to correct the reference to the Burmarsh Recreation Ground Play Area in Appendix 2. The ownership and management of the play area is the responsibility of the Burmarsh Children’s Fund, which is an independent charity separate from the parish council. I hope this is helpful and that the information can be corrected in the final document.</td>
<td>Ownership</td>
<td>FHDC will update the strategy as necessary.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roger De Haan Charitable Trust</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Charity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

I represent The Roger De Haan Charitable Trust and wish to respond to the consultation on the play area strategy. By way of context this charity has donated £1,757,294 to playparks in this district since 2008. With this in mind it is felt that the strategy does not place sufficient emphasis on the role of the charitable sector in assisting the council in this task. There are a couple of mentions but more could be made of this.

We remain open to further bids providing a maintenance body and strategy is in place. We are pleased to note that the ones we have funded remain in the plans for district, town or parish maintenance. One major point to note is that this charity along with the Shepway Sports Trust will be opening the £16m Urban Sports Park in Tontine Street this coming summer – to be known as F51. Accommodating skate boarding, scooting, cycling, climbing, bouldering and boxing this will be a centre of national significance. The core facilities will be available to local school children at only £1 per month.

This will address managed risk, healthy pursuits and facilities for teenagers and young adults like no other district in the land. This impact has clearly not yet been understood. We were also the major donors to Payers Park which is the best example in the district of teenage and young adult play. Unfortunately the aspirations for embracing the risk benefit approach are not embraced by other parts of the council with a role in this. Both of

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Charitable Sector contributions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

FHDC understands the importance of the charitable sector in funding and maintaining parks. The Roger De Haan Trust has provided great and considerable support to play within the District and will continue to be a strategic partner in the future. However FHDC is unable to include F51 as part of this strategy as it is not a free to use park.
these facilities are in the heart of the district’s most deprived ward. Subject to these comments the strategy adequately explains the council’s approach to managing resources and expectations going forward.

<p>| Individual | FHDC Housing | Densole Way LEAP SIPA | Folkestone Town Council | Please could you consider updating the park in Densole Way as it’s dilapidated &amp; boring! I heard that it was going to be done in 2018 but was halted due to residence objecting as they were concerned Teenagers might hang out there? Ridiculous! Any how we have more under 13 year olds on the estate now so they need it. | Play Provision | Densole Way is a SIPA and is the only play area identified within Densole. Folkestone Town Council have agreed to adopt this park. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Hythe Town Council | N/A | N/A | Hythe Town Council | 1. P&amp;W expresses concern over the possible outcomes for &quot;non-strategic play areas&quot; that are not adopted within 12 months and seeks reassurance that processes will be put in place to ensure that there is no sudden abandonment of such areas. 2. P&amp;W expresses approval that St George's Place is designated as a strategic play area but queries why Oakland’s Park playground is not similarly designated. 3. Otherwise, P&amp;W expresses no objection to the Play Area Strategy. | Closure Designation | 1. NSPA play areas that are not adopted will be shut as per that strategy, however FHDC seeks to avoid this. 2. St Georges place is identified as a SIPA because it is the largest and most equipped park in that area. Oakland’s park is found within the catchment areas of both The Green which is a PPA and Hythe Skate park which is a SIPA |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Town Council</th>
<th>HTC</th>
<th>N/A</th>
<th>Town Council</th>
<th>Funding Designation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Hawkinge     | N/A | N/A | Hawkinge     | 1. Are we as a Town Council eligible to secure external funding?  
                          2. How was the community funding for Radnor Park Play Area achieved?  
                          3. Is it possible to request Blenheim Drive and Kettle Drive to become Priority Play Areas, with a special interest in creating a skate park? |
|              |     |     |              | 1. Parish and Town Councils are free and eligible to secure external funding to support play area improvement.  
                          2. Radnor park funding was achieved through collaboration with the community group who sought external funding, the Roger De Haan charitable trust, FTC and a s106 contribution.  
                          3. There is currently no PPA within Hawkinge, because of the abundance of other play areas locally. It may be that future funding is designated to certain parks and the need for a PPA is identified. FHDC will continue to work with HTC with regards to play area improvements, transfers and designation. |
Labour Party

Folkestone and Hythe Labour party would much rather have seen a strategy which seeks to improve and extend play provision as well as working towards making the existing provision consistent in quantity, quality, repair and appearance; at the same time working towards the District’s Corporate Plan to improve and maintain the health of residents, including children and young adults.

Instead the strategy reads as a cost cutting exercise, by transferring responsibility onto Town and Parish Councils without meaningful funds from the District, reducing any opportunities to improve health through physical exertion/exercise.

If some play areas are cleared and possibly sold then it will be even harder to achieve the Field in Trust benchmark of 0.25 hectares per 1000 head of population for equipped/designated play areas which is something the Council should be seeking to achieve. The District seem to be retaining the best and well maintained play areas going forward, this is unfair. The previous report (2017) undertaken by LUC, highlighted ALL the play areas needing remediation work (because every play area was visited and reported on) and this proposed strategy is a poor response.

Unless the consultation period is extended beyond 31st January 2020 it is unlikely the Council will receive many responses to it, as much of the consultation window has been during the holiday.

Funding / Designation of Parks

The play strategy has been designed to ensure there is suitable play provision considering the demography of the district. Such is the demand on the budget, play parks must be aligned with the demand and the funding requirements to make all parks the same quality as the PPA’s would be unviable. By focusing on the PPA sites FHDC can ensure quality and standards of play. It can then work with the Parish and Town Councils to deliver the SIPA and NSPA sites that are suitably provisioned considering the catchment areas. FHDC is proposing a dowry to be provided with each park to support the Parishes and Towns with the adoption.
period, therefore reducing time for people to thoroughly read and respond at all.
The strategy outlines the Council’s explanation as to why it is taking this approach, to save money by devolving responsibility to local TCs and PCs in a very short time frame i.e. to start this year April 2020.

The approach set out seems to be rushed leaving little time for PCs and TCs to fully understand the implications at a local level, let alone the residents impacted. This consultation may have started December 19th 2019 but realistically 2 weeks of that was a holiday period, so not a lot of time for people to respond. I must have missed the challenging circumstances identified at a national level.

The idea to transfer responsibility for play areas to the local town council or parish council could be seen as a double edged sword, they can and will do a good job but they'll need the funds from the DC budget. There is no mention of any monies attached to any transfer. The DISTRICT Council has neglected many play areas, which seem to be located in the most deprived area of the district, over many years. This is only highlighted in the report as a problem resulting from extremely low overall SUCCESS. Will there be a central maintenance issue/s reporting facility as TCs and PCs TCS are not as available as District. It seems the 106 funding stream will be controlled by District with no say by the TCs I do not understand why capital has not been set aside for play areas as the District has spent millions on other projects.....so the play area strategy is where exactly on the list of priorities? Will the TCs and PCs own the grounds

Funding
Discussion with Town and Parish Councils have been on going and remain positive. Dowries will be included in any transfer. Land will be leased.
once transferred? In conclusion on the surface the strategy seems clear but on further reading there are many unanswered questions so I would hope the strategy is rejected at this stage. It cannot be morally right to potentially remove/lose 50 play areas.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Individual</th>
<th>Accessibility</th>
<th>As per the strategy - before any large refurbishment or investment takes place a full equalities assessment will take place.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>What would be good accessibility for all within the final park, the wheelchair swing in Radnor park is great but to the side of everyone else playing and not anything else really. New Romney’s swing is alongside the other swings and is a seat with harness also can just about get a small wheelchair on the roundabout but that’s about it.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The play area in Daglish Close, New Romney Kent is actually owned by Southern Housing Group.

The play area was built before I took over management for the area and the land was purchased by us from yourselves I believe in order for us to create affordable housing. It would appear that at some stage during us purchasing the land from yourselves that an agreement was made for you to maintain the park, the park does have signs on stating that you are responsible however a land registry search has shown the land to be ours. We have not been able to find a management agreement and nor have yourselves as to why or how it was agreed the LA would manage this park.

Obviously this has been deemed a NSPA. However as the land belongs to us we would look to take this back to our management.

Ownership

The strategy will be updated to reflect this. We are working with Southern Housing Group to ensure future maintenance.
| St Mary's in the Marsh Parish Council | 1. The draft strategy is vague on finance.  
2. Two play areas in the parish, both the responsibility of the district council, have been taken out of use over the last 3 years as they have both been neglected and allowed to fall into disrepair. Consequently the district council’s vision for play provision ‘Play experiences are fundamental to the health and development of children and young people. District Council will therefore seek to ensure all residents are able to access a high quality and high value play area’ would appear to be contradictory to its actions.  
3. For this reason this parish council would like to be guaranteed its fair share of the budget to ensure the play parks are repaired and put back into use.  
4. The district council must acknowledge its responsibilities and provide adequate budget provision for maintaining its responsibilities and any form of devolvement to the parish council will be resisted as this will be considered double taxation.  
5. With regard to new development, put in place a long-term solution for the upkeep of any play park provision that forms part of a planning development. As time moves on, these companies who are responsible for maintenance are no longer operational and consequently the play areas are left to deteriorate. |
| --- | --- |
| Budget Developers long term management | A budget has been designated for dowry payment, which includes 5 years’ worth of maintenance payments. Two play areas have been have been closed due to a lack of funding to support the parks, the strategy aims to stop this happening in the future. The strategy will put in place funding mechanisms to ensure it is directed to the appropriate areas. It is aimed that there are secure mechanisms in place to ensure the longevity of any parks.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MOD</th>
<th>MOD</th>
<th>Mackenzie Drive</th>
<th>N/A</th>
<th>It has been brought to my attention that the council have attached a notice to our MOD owned play park on Mackenzie Drive stating that the play park is to be asset-stripped, closed and sold. This play park is owned by the MOD and as such you have no right to close it. I have read through your play park strategy 2020-2030 and it clearly states that this play park is owned by the MOD. I would appreciate a call back asap and in the mean time I will endeavour to contact you by phone.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ownership</td>
<td>The sign mentioned in this response was not attached by FHDC and stated incorrect facts. A response has been sent to the MOD.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individual</td>
<td>FHDC Housing</td>
<td>Oakham Drive NSPA</td>
<td>Lydd</td>
<td>My children have just found out that their local park may be closing. They are so disappointed and question why anyone would take a park away from children - it’s hard to explain to a child that it’s because money is more important than them - so they wanted to try and help save their park!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Closure</td>
<td>Oakham Drive is a NSPA site and is found within the catchment area of The Rype which is a PPA.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individual</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Hawkinge</td>
<td>Why is this happening its wrong once again Hawkinge gets the dirty end of the stick don’t tell me it’s not just Hawkinge I’m not worried about them just where I live so a response please.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Closure</td>
<td>FHDC is working closely with Hawkinge Town Council to ensure the asset transfers are efficiently and effectively achieved.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individual</td>
<td>FHDC Housing</td>
<td>Brabner Park</td>
<td>Folkestone Town Council</td>
<td>Closure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I have just seen a sign for the closure of this park to be sold I personally feel this would be a terrible mistake this park is a good size for plenty of children to play safely at once and also encourage children who don't know each other play together many times I have popped to this park and the children don't want to leave because they enjoy the children who got to this park it has the fence all the way round Radnor Park don't. I can't let my young children run at Radnor is why we choose this park if u take away the parks you have more n more children getting into trouble with nowhere to go more n more children are being told they are overweight yet u take away places that can help maintain a healthy weight I don't have the money to go to fancy days out I will not take my children to the coastal park because it's a perfect place for ppl to use drugs easy to disappear to beach I can't watch all the children at once time at this park again y we use the park at bottom of Capel hill I feel a toilet block and cafe or something all in one would be better use of this park better maintained park makes it more attractive to all please reconsider closing this park.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Individual</th>
<th>Hawkinge Town Council</th>
<th>Campbell Road Park, LEAP NSPA</th>
<th>Hawkinge</th>
<th>Closure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I see a sign today stating that the park will be removed but it's a massive asset to this part of Hawkinge. I don't agree with the fact it should close What else will local kids do except vandalise? Massive way to crush the community.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| The sign mentioned in this response was not attached by FHDC and stated incorrect facts. There is no plan to close or sell Brabner Park. It is a designated Public Open Space a SIPA and a PPA | The sign mentioned in this response was not attached by FHDC and stated incorrect facts. The play area is within the catchment area of the SIPA Kettle Drive. Both are managed by Hawkinge Town Council and therefore FHDC |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Individual</th>
<th>Hawkinge Town Council</th>
<th>Atkinson Road, LAP</th>
<th>Hawkinge</th>
<th>Closure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I have just seen on Hawkinge Residents page on Facebook that plans are afoot to close the play area located in Page Road. A lot of residents are understandably upset at this as there are not enough play areas in a vastly populated place such as Hawkinge as it is, especially for under 5’s. Whilst my grandchildren, that visit regularly, are not able to use that facility as they are under 5 and the equipment is not suitable, there will come a time shortly that we would have visited it to let them play there. We are now most concerned that removing an existing, albeit very small play area is a total contravention to your stated policy that we have looked at online. Please reassure me that the facilities in Hawkinge will improve rather than disappear.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The strategy has been put in place considering the fact that the towns and Parishes can deliver the local requirements of the area. The play park referred to is Atkinson road which is managed by Hawkinge Town Council, therefore FHDC has no plans to close this park.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individual</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Hawkinge</td>
<td>I live with my family in Hawkinge. We have two small children; one is one, the other is three. Both love outdoor play. What made Hawkinge an appealing area to live in was the variety of parks it has to offer. My daughter loves to choose where to play and explore. It means we have activities on our doorstep which are free, promote exercise and do not require a car to travel to. We often see her nursery friends at the parks which promotes social inclusion, boosts emotional wellbeing and adds to the wonderful community spirit. Hawkinge is a more expensive area to live in but we did not mind as Hawkinge is family friendly with parks for children to play and a children’s centre for structured activities. We, along with our friends in Hawkinge, cannot see any positive outcome to closing our parks. My daughter would be so upset if I had to tell her much loved parks had gone. I sincerely hope this will not happen. Where else can we walk to exploring wildlife on the way? This decision has a big impact on all family members. Please advise on what we can do to keep our parks which add so much to our children’s happiness.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individual</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Hawkinge</td>
<td>Play Provision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Asset stripping formed part of the blanket signs attached to play areas by an unknown individual or group that stated incorrect facts. Hawkinge has a large quantity of parks and discussions with Hawkinge Town Council regarding transfer have been positive. The play strategy also highlights the importance of local play areas, and we are working with Towns and Parishes to deliver these.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As a resident of Hawkinge with small children, I want to voice my concern about the plans to transfer the Hawkinge play parks over to HTC and have the prospect of these being asset striped and closed if no committee/group takes over the running of these. Play parks are an essential part of the community. In Hawkinge we are lucky enough to have a few small local parks - there are very few which are suitable for under 6s. My 2 daughters love going to the park, and being able to walk to the park means it more accessible and easy for us to go when we want for 20-30 mins. It's easy to meet other local kids there and they are a vital part of our community.

Going to the larger parks in Folkestone means driving there, finding parking. These parks are often busy which makes supervising smaller kids more difficult and they tend to get pushed and knocked out of the way by the bigger kids - not a great experience. It is not possible for kids to play safely outside most houses on Hawkinge - Cars are parked on pavements, it is unsafe - as a parent I am happier knowing that there are safe play spaces available - why close them?

In an age where we are trying to get kids out, playing and away from screens, the parks should be excluded from any cuts. If you want to cut costs, try removing the outside gym from the corner of Page Road - on 5 years living here I've never seen anyone use it.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Individual</th>
<th>Hawkinge Town Council</th>
<th>Atkinson Road, LAP</th>
<th>Hawkinge</th>
<th>Closure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I have been made aware of the below sign on the children’s playground off page road in Hawkinge. I am absolutely appalled that this park is being considered for removal. We take our two young children to this park regularly, despite living near the Pannell Drive park. The page road park is ideal for smaller children and is well equipped with number of apparatus (the Pannell Drive park just has a net and slide and is not great for a 5 year old). Being at the end of a long path cycle path it’s also perfect for children to cycle to….a great incentive for children just starting to cycle independently. The cycle path connects the park and the primary school, so a number of school children use this. Considering the amount of houses and children there are in Hawkinge, it is shocking that children’s playgrounds are being considered for removal. If anything I would have thought there was need for more parks / better equipped parks suitable for all ages and not just for older children. I sincerely hope you reconsider.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The sign mentioned in this response was not attached by FHDC and stated incorrect facts. The strategy has been put in place considering the fact that the towns and Parishes can deliver the local requirements of the area. The play park referred to is Atkinson road which is managed by Hawkinge Town Council, therefore FHDC has no plans to close this park.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individual</td>
<td>FHDC LEAP NSPA</td>
<td>Folkestone Town Council</td>
<td>Play Provision</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>My name is xxx and I am a resident on Pine Way. I am disclosing this immediately as I appreciate I do have a specific area of local knowledge. I am concerned that looking at the plans it appears that the Pine Way park is not included. I appreciate that everyone would like a park very close by and that actually a 15 minute walk to reach one is reasonable. However, this 15 minute walk is straight line and does not look at the demographic/safe walk etc. from house to park. I think that the Pine Way park should be kept. It is immediately next door to Harcourt Primary school and it is used before school and after school EVERY dry school day, and actually a number of wet school day too. With the increase in childhood obesity this is one of those parks that directly impacts on a large number of local children. This park is also designated as dog free which on a personal level has been excellent for my child who is quite timid around dogs. As a busy working parent having somewhere so close to school means that the 30 or so minutes running around after school is practical and convenient, as someone is picking up the child and is able to immediately access the space- so it only adds 30 minutes for a 30 minute run around. In a housing area with very small or limited gardens this may be the only time on a weekday the children have. On a practical “parent” level we also used this park for the children to learn to ride their bikes and scooters. It has the long path through it which is perfect. Cheriton park does not have such a good...</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This park is close to Harcourt Primary school and is in a convenient location for children before and after school. It is also close to Firs Lane Play Area and contains a larger array of equipment. This area is within the catchment area of Cheriton Recreation Ground, which is the designated PPA. Folkestone Town Council have agreed to adopt this park.
area for this as the concrete area is mostly used by older ball players, and the paths are less straight. A number of people also use Cheriton park as a cut through / walk through to the dog exercise area which is again off putting when teaching a child to ride. We use the Pine Way park several times a week for bike riding. Sadly I am not an expert in policy making nor statistics. However, I do believe that this parks location would make it a sad loss to the local residential community and also the school user community. I would also be concerned as to what would happen to the land in the event of losing the park facilities. Thank you for taking the time to read this. It is the first time I’ve ever taken the time to respond to this type of consultation or to email my local councillor. So hopefully it all makes sense.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Individual</th>
<th>FHDC</th>
<th>Oakham Drive. LEAP NSPA</th>
<th>Lydd Town Council</th>
<th>I would like to express my disappointment that you have chosen to close down the play park in Lydd in the Oakham Drive, Romney Marsh, Kent. I have friends in this area who I spend a lot of time in the area, the freedom this gives to their children and in a world where we are no unsafe to let our children play this is a huge disappointment and means now her children with have nowhere to go. With the population of children being branded as obese and unhealthy the council is no longer supporting parents as you are taking away activities that get the kids out playing with their friends rather than sitting in on the computer. I appreciate the funding however with council tax keep going up! And what for. Areas like this need to be for our kids of the future, the wildlife as well in this area will be disturbed all for it to become nothing. Come on surely this can be changed!!</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Individual</td>
<td>(Country’s field) Orbit Housing Association / (Wraights wright play area) FHDC</td>
<td>Country’s Field. LAP SIPA / Wraitswright Play Area. LEAP SIPA</td>
<td>Dymchurch Parish Council</td>
<td>Having just been made aware of a consultation on your Play Area Strategy 2020-2030 I am very concerned that the window of consultation included Christmas and New Year leaving little time for me to properly consider the strategy and respond in full. I hope you will extend this period. However, with my brief understanding I am concerned that many play areas for children will be closed reducing opportunity for the benefits of outside play and exercise. In Dymchurch the playgrounds which may be under threat are the ones in Countries Field by Dymchurch School and Salbris Close near Wraitsfield. This goes against one of your strategic objectives in your corporate Consultation duration Budget</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Closure</td>
<td>Oakham Drive is a NSPA site and is found within the catchment area of the Rype which is a PPA. Discussions are ongoing with Lydd Town Council over the potential transfer of this and the other play areas in Lydd.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>The consultation period was of a suitable timeframe. As part of the strategy FHDC will work with Parishes and Towns to provide suitable and quality play. Dowries will be discussed with the appropriate bodies. Both Country’s field and Wraitsfield are SIPA parks and FHDC will be working closely with Dymchurch Parish Council to support the adoption of these.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individual</td>
<td>FHDC</td>
<td>George Gurr LEAP NSPA</td>
<td>Folkestone Town Council</td>
<td>We as a family of 6 regularly use this park as do so many other children/families from this area. It would be a great shame to remove this where else are the children to play? On the streets?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individual</td>
<td>FHDC</td>
<td>George Gurr LEAP NSPA</td>
<td>Folkestone Town Council</td>
<td>Please see attached letter opposing the closure of George Gurr park. One from my 9yr old daughter Eden and another from her 8yr old friend and neighbour Sky. They regularly use this park and were so upset to read the notice on the gate. It would be amazing if you could reply to them personally as they are very passionate about the matter and have said to myself that they'll even clean the apparatus and keep the park tidy if it was to stay! Thank you for your time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individual</td>
<td>FHDC</td>
<td>George Gurr LEAP NSPA</td>
<td>Folkestone Town Council</td>
<td>I am writing to oppose the pending closure of George Gurr Park. This is a well-used park by not only myself and my family but many residents of George Gurr Crescent. It would have a serious detrimental effect if it were to close. Many residents of George Gurr are not in the wealthy financial bracket and this park offers the children of this neighbourhood the opportunity to physically and mentally stimulate their children through play without a cost. It’s a great social area for all and I know is well respected and looked after. Those without children use this park regularly to exercise their dogs and for some of the elderly their only way to see someone friendly all day. My children have hugely benefited from the park being such a close distance from their home and have formed firm friendships from this. They love the surrounding area and have learnt so much about the amazing wildlife we experience there also. This park is used all year round and in the summer we come together as a community for neighbourhood picnics whilst the children are safe playing on the apparatus. If anything we would like to see the swings reinstalled and definitely not the park stripped. My 9yr old is so passionate about keeping this park that she has organised with her friend to write a letter herself which I will be forwarding on to yourselves. We as a community are deeply saddened at this proposal to close our park and hope that now you see how important it is to us that you will withdraw to proceed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Closure</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>George Gurr is a NSPA because it is within the catchment area of the PPA Brabner Park. Folkestone Town Council have agreed to adopt this park.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Thank you for your time in reading my letter.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Individual</th>
<th>FHDC</th>
<th>George Gurr LEAP NSPA</th>
<th>Folkestone Town Council</th>
<th>Closure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I am writing to express my concern of the closure of George Gurr Park. At the top of George Gurr Crescent is a park which is well used (everyday twice a day for myself and my family). It needs to stay. Anyone who knows George Gurr Crescent and the surrounding roads will know it is very hilly and a lot of the gardens in George Gurr are not usable as a play area for the families they serve. Play is important for children as is physical activity. You state in your own strategy about the importance of play. It is beneficial to children to have an accessible outside space for their mental as well as their physical health. In a world where children are leading more sedentary lifestyles it is important that we do not deprive them of the outside space they need to grow and learn. Children develop gross motor skills if they have the space to run skip (or roll down a hill). This in turn allows children to burn calories and develop better sleep patterns as well as developing a better attitude towards a healthy lifestyle as they grow into adults. There are sights, such as the horse who likes to walk along the footpath at the top, smells, as well as the sound of birdsong. The area is also rich in wildlife. There are squirrels, foxes, mice as well as numerous species of birds a hawk and tits included. There are daisies which cover the grass in spring and summer as well as blackberry bushes and a footpath for walks up in the hills. My daughter is a lot more aware of wildlife since moving here and enjoys putting the bird feeder out to watch them.</td>
<td>Closure</td>
<td>George Gurr is a NSPA because it is within the catchment area of the PPA Brabner Park. Folkestone Town Council have agreed to adopt this park.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Neighbours meet each other in the park and children play together. I trust my daughter to go out with a friend to George Gurr park because it is yards from my house. I would not allow her to go to the next nearest park (Brabner) a 4 minute walk because it feels too far. Another worry is what will happen to the land? Who will buy it? And what will they do with it? More houses is definitely not a way forward because we already have too many cars parked on the road for the amount of room there is to park as well as the disruption it would cause to those that already reside here. George Gurr park needs to stay.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Individual</th>
<th>Places for Homes LTD</th>
<th>Pine Way LEAP NSPA Transfer to FTC or close</th>
<th>Folkestone Town Council</th>
<th>Closure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I am really concerned about the proposal to consider Harcourt park on Bigginwood road one of the parks that may potentially have its play equipment removed. I do understand what it who an interested party is or means...however your term of an asset transfer sound dubious. My family and my 3 children use this park every day. We are already really upset that play equipment has been removed and downgraded over the years. 2 of my 3 children have autism, we rely heavily on parks with play equipment that are able to meet their needs. Although an adapted the new play area in Radnor Park is noisy and populated for them. Removing Harcourt park would remove the possibility of my children walking to the park, due to their disability. I would have to drive them to even our closest park in Stanley road. I find this very upsetting. This park is so important to my community and our primary school, I see no value or gain to our community to have this vital play area removed. I use the park most days for sensory de-escalation when my youngest finishes school, just as many of the other parents do to. When will I be informed of the outcome of your decision? If anything Harcourt park requires more investment not less.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Closure</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>This park is close to Harcourt Primary school and is in a convenient location for children before and after school. It is also close to Firs Lane Play Area. This play area has more equipment than FIR's lane. This area is within the catchment area of Cheriton Recreation Ground, which is the designated PPA. Folkestone Town Council have agreed to adopt this park.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Individual</th>
<th>N/A</th>
<th>Hawkinge Town Council</th>
<th>Closure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I want to save Hawkinge parks! My children are young and I want to be able to take them to their local parks!</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Closure</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FHDC is in talks with Hawkinge Town Council regarding adopting the parks and talks have been positive.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individual</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Hawkinge Town Council</td>
<td>It’s come to my attention that you plan to close the majority of parks in Hawkinge. I just cannot understand your reasoning for doing so. In a day and age where children are morbidly obese from a young age, where children sit indoors on devices you are encouraging these very things. It’s incredibly sad that the vast amount of children in this area will now not be able to access any sort of outdoor play activity within walking distance. The population of Hawkinge has increased so much with a huge percentage being families and yet you plan to take away one of the most simple free pleasures in life which is the moment as a parent you say to your child ‘shall we walk to the park today’ and the joy on their faces when they get so excited to do so. What a shame for the children who live around here. You are going to devastate so many of them.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individual</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Hawkinge Town Council</td>
<td>Can you please advise me on how to object to the parks in Hawkinge being taken down? I and my family strongly object to this as my two small children use them all the time, I can’t understand what benefit there possibly is to removing them!!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individual</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Hawkinge Town Council</td>
<td>Just an email regarding the closures of the parks in Hawkinge. This simply can’t happen. The majority of Hawkinge is family based being ideal for young and growing families. The parks are so important to Hawkinge and the community even if it doesn’t look like they are taken care of. A clean and upgrade only needs to take place once every 5-10 years. These parks must remain in Hawkinge. They must. Absolutely ridiculous to close them.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individual</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Hawkinge Town Council</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>We have lived in Hawkinge for 18 years and have seen the development of Hawkinge and have welcomed each of the play areas. We now have grandchildren so we often walk to the play areas for the children to play, get fresh air, socialise with other children and get some exercise. We have seen more and more houses being built and more families moving in so we need these facilities to remain and indeed ideally be updated and renewed for our community. Please review and think about how important these areas are for the amount of people, families and children that live in Hawkinge. We all pay enough council tax to ensure these facilities remain for us to use.</strong></td>
<td><strong>Closure</strong></td>
<td>FHDC is in talks with Hawkinge Town Council regarding adopting the parks and talks have been positive.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Individual</th>
<th>N/A</th>
<th>Hawkinge Town Council</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>I have learnt today that you plan to close most of the play parks in Hawkinge. I am both disappointed and find it difficult to understand how such drastic decisions have been made with little consultation with local residents. From reading your play area strategy I understand that no priority play areas are planned for Hawkinge. One of the only parks to be saved in Pannell Drive is unsuitable for young children meaning that the only alternative is Kettle Drive which for young children is a long walk from West Hawkinge. Do you intend to develop Pannell Drive so that it is suitable for toddlers and young children? Corbett Road and Atkinson Road parks are a valuable place for toddlers and young children to play, they do however require maintenance and upgrading with a toddler swing. I would strongly encourage you to reconsider closing so many parks in Hawkinge and ensure there is ample social space for families to enjoy.</strong></td>
<td><strong>Closure</strong></td>
<td>FHDC is in talks with Hawkinge Town Council regarding adopting the parks and talks have been positive. There are no PPA sites within Hawkinge as there is a large quantity of alternative sites available, covering use by various age groups.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individual</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Hawkinge Town Council</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Individual | N/A | Hawkinge Town Council | I have today heard the news that you are planning to close most of the parks in Hawkinge and I’m struggling to understand how this decision was made with little consultation from local residents. I have read your Play Area Strategy and quite frankly appalled that Hawkinge has no Priority Play Areas planned. In fact, one of the only parks to be ‘saved’ is in Pannell Drive and it is totally unsuitable for those under 7. Your document suggests that there should be a park in walkable distance for ages 0-25 and this will not be the case if Pannell is kept the same.

Generally speaking, the parks in Hawkinge have not been maintained well and there needs to be serious investment into a large communal space that is suitable from ages 0+ (similar to the Radnor Park development), however I would argue that a few small parks are beneficial for those with younger children who are intimidated by large | Closure | FHDC is in talks with Hawkinge Town Council regarding adopting the parks and talks have been positive. There are no PPA sites within Hawkinge as there is a large quantity of alternative sites available, covering use by various age groups. |
crowds and older children. The park at Corbett Road is the perfect place for this, although the equipment does need updating with a baby swing and a smaller climbing frame for toddlers. In a world where many children spend hours on tablet computers and in front of the TV, please do not take away such a valuable resource that is within walking distance, encouraging people to get outside with their children. I would implore you to reconsider closing so many parks in Hawkinge and include one large play area in Hawkinge as a Priority Park Area, making a social space for all ages to enjoy together.
| Individual | N/A | Hawkinge | Hawkinge Town Council | It is completely unacceptable or acceptable that you are proposing to decommission the playgrounds in Hawkinge. These are used by children of all ages throughout the year and provide them with exercise and stimulation. Not only that but for many of the mums and dads these provide a reason to take the kids outside and get valuable fresh air and exercise. If they are to be taken away it will have a negative impact on the local commas a whole. Not only that but these are social meeting places for parents, some of who one have mental health problems and find these areas provide friendships, support and escape from the rigors of daily life. There is no justified argument for the selling and dismantling of these play grounds as their cost to maintain and up keep is minimal. The main cost is their construction in the first place but as this is not a factor why are they to be torn down? The cost to dismantle would pay for their upkeep for several years anyway. We all pay our taxes and as a tax pay I do not consent my contributions not going towards their upkeep anymore! I object on behalf of all the local residents with children in the town of Hawkinge unconditionally! | Closure | FHDC is in talks with Hawkinge Town Council regarding adopting the parks and talks have been positive. |
| Individual | Hythe Town Council | Oakland’s LEAP NSPA | Hythe Town Council | I'm writing to you reference Oakland’s Play Park, to ensure I express how important this area is for the young children and parents of Hythe, the park is always busy and an essential area needed for this area which is local to the people of Hythe other parks would otherwise be in Folkestone that are suitable for toddlers. | Closure | Oakland’s Play area is maintained by Hythe Town Council, therefore FHDC has no plans to close this park. |
I have been unaware of these plans until today, the day before the consultation ends and I’m sure lots of others will be too, but this park really is so important to the town.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Individual</th>
<th>FHDC Oakham Drive. LEAP NSPA</th>
<th>Lydd Town Council</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Please do not close &amp; sell off this playground which is used by the locals. There are few playgrounds and greenery left. Plus, The Rype in Lydd can get very busy and means Oakham drive residents have to walk over a busy road to get to it. Why does every bit of green land have to be sold off? There will be nothing left soon bar roads &amp; houses.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Closure Oakham Drive is a NSPA site and is found within the catchment area of the Rype which is a PPA. Discussions are taking place with Lydd Town Council over the transfer of this and the other play areas in Lydd.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Individual</th>
<th>FHDC Oakham Drive. LEAP NSPA</th>
<th>Lydd Town Council</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hi my name is xx and lived in Lydd nearly my whole life. this park was built when I was a small child and was the only park I was allowed to go to on my own with my friends, as the other parks were As my mum would say “too far away” and this park was literally 1 minute walk from my house... why would you want to close a park!!! there’s not a lot of places for kids to go as it is this is just going to encourage children/teenagers to walk the streets and possibly get into trouble...so unless you’re going to close it to build a centre where all kids of all ages can go to meet other children and stay off the streets then please don’t close the park! The park is a good place for people to let their children burn off some energy (encouraging exercise!)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Closure Oakham Drive is a NSPA site and is found within the catchment area of the Rype which is a PPA. Discussions are taking place with Lydd Town Council over the transfer of this and the other play areas in Lydd.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individual</td>
<td>FHDC Oakham Drive, LEAP NSPA</td>
<td>Lydd Town Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>We need to have this play area on this small estate as there are two major roads to cross to get to any other play area in Lydd. The children and parents from Harden Road and the roads off of this use this play area also, so we have a reasonably large catchment area. If the drainage of the area was sorted out has been promised and arranged with F&amp;H this is due to be started within two months from now, there would be more children able to visit this area and actually use it during the wet winter months. Unless you can guarantee two sets of pedestrian pelican crossings to allow a safe crossing point it would put children in dangerous situations trying to cross the mentioned roads. These roads are used by very large lorries coming from Brett’s Quarries / Dungeness Power Stations and the Robin Hood Road area industries. I have spoken to Councillors Tony Hills / Clive Godden and David Wimble who seemed to know nothing of this strategy. We do not see on your strategy many parks under threat in the Folkestone and Hythe areas, I wonder why this is!! So please leave things alone, Us Marsh Peasants know our place and make do with what we have, and what we will fight very hard to keep. We know we are long way from Folkestone and are a forgotten part of your district, but what we have we would like to keep in place thank you. We will wait and see what decisions are made before we contact the HSE for their advice and proposed action.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Oakham Drive is a NSPA site and is found within the catchment area of The Rype which is a PPA. There has been no promise to relieve the drainage due to the lack of possible methods to do this. Discussions are taking place with Lydd Town Council over the transfer of this and the other play areas in Lydd.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individual</td>
<td>FHDC</td>
<td>Oakham Drive. LEAP NSPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Please accept this email as a rejection to the proposed selling of the park at Oakham Drive, Lydd. We are outraged that this notice has only just appeared on a late Friday evening when no one is in the office to answer concerned residents questions. Leaving only 5 working days’ notice to raise an objection to something so vital to many Lydd residents is beyond me. We would like to raise our disgust in this proposal. Our children, aged 5 and 7 use this park and playing field on a regular basis. We live not too far away and find this park and location a must safer area to play than The Rype in Lydd that is near numerous busy roads and is not sectioned off for child safety. Although our children at present do not go to this park without an adult, in years to come my son will definitely have the freedom to go and meet his friends there for a game of football. There is no way he will be able to go further afield for a park and a game of football unattended. Removing parks in residential scheme is removing a lot of freedom our children desperately need to grow and thrive.

It is essential that we encourage our children to get out in fresh air and enjoy the playgrounds close to their homes, not ripping them down for the sake of a few pounds in someone else’s pockets. For some in Lydd, these parks are essentially the only outside safe space they have outside of school.

We are in an extremely deprived area, with many children and families below the poverty line. Our

Oakham Drive is a NSPA site and is found within the catchment area of The Rype which is a PPA.

Discussions are taking place with Lydd Town Council over the transfer of this and the other play areas in Lydd.
school has a significantly high number of underprivileged children, many having to resort to food banks as a means to eat, never mind having the funs to take their children on days out. Many families do not own cars, meaning they are restricted to the boundaries of Lydd. We are very limited to what you can do with your children in Lydd. These parks are vital for them to access a free outside safe space to enjoy being children away from the worries of home. I really hope and pray that this decision is reconsidered and the children of Lydd are deemed more important that another development opportunity to increase someone’s revenue.
Could you please send me the minutes of the consultation meeting regarding the closure of the park? Also, can you please help me in finding the deeds of Oakham Drive as I would like to see if the park area is covered in them? I can truthfully say that every child in the close use this park on a daily basis. If you remove this park, we will need better vehicle management, e.g., pedestrian traffic lights, speed humps to slow vehicles down on Harden Road so the children can cross the road safely to enter the park on The Rype. Also, why were these signs only put up on the 24th January when it all started on the 20th December, leaving only one week to stress their feelings? Looking forward to your quick response.

With regards to the impending closure of Oakham Drive Park in Lydd, I'd like to contest this. I live in Lydd approx. 5-10 min walk away from this park. I choose to walk to this one with my children mainly because there is just enough there to keep my children amused and most importantly, it is fenced in for safety. I feel secure with my children in this park whereas the other most local park to me on Lydd Rype, is open without a gated fence so often puts me on edge with cars driving past. I feel it would be such a shame if this little community park were to close for our young children.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Individual</th>
<th>FHDC</th>
<th>Oakham Drive. LEAP NSPA</th>
<th>Lydd Town Council</th>
<th>Closure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I am utterly disgusted with the plans to close down our park. I have an autistic child who plays here as we live on the estate. He is capable of getting to this park but can’t imagine letting him off the estate to get to another park. You will be limiting his outside time. We have at least 15 children on the estate that use this park, a lot of them are not old enough to take themselves to the ripe. In essence you’re ruining the community we have. It’s so nice to see kids outside when we are all so obsessed with technology. I urge you to reconsider.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oakham Drive is a NSPA site and is found within the catchment area of The Rype which is a PPA. Discussions are taking place with Lydd Town Council over the transfer of this and the other play areas in Lydd.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Individual</th>
<th>FHDC</th>
<th>Oakham Drive. LEAP NSPA</th>
<th>Lydd Town Council</th>
<th>Closure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I think it is absolutely disgusting that you are even considering asset striping this play park to sell the land. This is Public land, where do you think the children of today are going to play. The park is used by so many youngsters &amp; babies. I suppose this is another ploy for the council to accuse cutbacks &amp; enjoy money. I believe you will get a fight on this the people of Lydd need some recreational ground. I am disgusted &amp; I only visit the area.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oakham Drive is a NSPA site and is found within the catchment area of The Rype which is a PPA. Discussions are taking place with Lydd Town Council over the transfer of this and the other play areas in Lydd.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Individual</th>
<th>Hythe Town Council</th>
<th>Oakland’s LEAP NSPA</th>
<th>Hythe Town Council</th>
<th>Closure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I find it very disheartening to find out today that you wish to close the park at Oakland’s. I regularly take my son here (he’s 2) as it’s the perfect equipment for his age in comparison to other parks. Every time we go there are always other parents and children there, it’s a great spot for the community as its right by Age UK so the elderly also get to benefit from engaging with the children. This will help all round with different aspects of development! This park is at the heart of a community and it</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oakland’s Play area is maintained by Hythe Town Council, therefore FHDC has no plans to close this park.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individual</td>
<td>Hythe Town Council</td>
<td>Oakland’s LEAP NSPA</td>
<td>Hythe Town Council</td>
<td>I am opposed to the proposed, possible closure of Oakland’s Park, Hythe, and feel that it should be protected. Having not long moved to Hythe with a young toddler, I found Oakland’s to be the perfect park. Although Hythe is listed as having 4 parks, the others are not suitable for young children. Oakland’s is the perfect size for toddlers and would be a great loss for mums of young children who are looking for a safe, enclosed area to play with their children. Also I feel that it’s worth noting that the location encourages families to access the library, which can only be a good thing, and also being in such close proximity to the canal opens up great opportunities for nature and exploration in the early years. I cannot count the times I’ve taken my son for walks to the park, and ended up feeding the ducks and looking at the different leaves and plants along the canal path.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individual</td>
<td>Hythe Town Council</td>
<td>Oakland’s LEAP NSPA</td>
<td>Hythe Town Council</td>
<td>What can do to assist in keeping Oakland’s park kids play area open? I use the park frequently with my 2 children. I also think the parks link to the age UK centre is good for the community.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individual</td>
<td>Hawkinge Town Council</td>
<td>Atkinson Road, LAP</td>
<td>Hawkinge</td>
<td>Closure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I understand that there is a plan to close the large Page Road park and I must be honest this concerns me considering this is one of only 2 parks close to our home. There are many families with small children in this area and this park is a firm favourite of my 8 and 3-year-old alone. I am confused as to why you choose to close a park that caters for this large area of housing, are there plans to enhance the park along Pannell Drive? If so I would fully support closing this park on the basis a larger more varied park for many age groups was in your plans. Children need a place to go where they are safe and can be ‘young’, please do not take away one of the only places they can do this without being a ‘nuisance’ to the residence around them. I hear both sides of the story and I’m told there are older children/teens that maybe being unruly for not having use of a better word. Maybe CCTV would assist or at least signs to say they were in place to deter them? Houses there would spoil one of the only places the children can enjoy the outdoors, I beg you to rethink and help us raise children who are able to play outside rather than be cooped up in their bedrooms playing computer games and detaching from society because there is nowhere safe they can go. I vote no to the closure....unless you expand.</td>
<td>The strategy has been put in place considering the fact that the towns and Parishes can deliver the local requirements of the area. The play park referred to is Atkinson road which is managed by Hawkinge Town Council, therefore FHDC has no plans to close this park.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
I've just discovered that it’s your intention to remove at least one of the two children’s playgrounds in Hawkinge West. I’ve skimmed through the play area strategy 2020-2030 which infers that your strategy is “F&HDC acknowledges the importance of play to the health and well-being of its residents. F&HDC is therefore committed to ensuring all residents are able to access high quality and high value play provision. Subsequently F&HDC’s vision for play provision is as follows:

- Play experiences are fundamental to the health and development of children and young people. Folkestone & Hythe District Council will therefore seek to ensure all residents are able to access a high quality and high value play area. We will work with town and parish councils, together with other providers, to create play spaces which offer challenging and exciting environments for children and young people of all ages and abilities.”

Removing either of the existing, albeit very small, play areas is a total contravention to your stated strategy. You may have conducted a limited public consultation but I have so far not found a single resident likely to be impacted by this decision who was aware of any such consultation. Surely part of a consultation process is to ask the tax payers in the immediate areas that could be affected by your decisions.

Many of the families in the area are those with young children, or like my wife & I, grandparents with young children to care for. Unless you are

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Individual</th>
<th>Hawkinge Town Council</th>
<th>Atkinson Road, LAP</th>
<th>Hawkinge</th>
<th>Closure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>The strategy has been put in place considering the fact that the towns and Parishes can deliver the local requirements of the area. The play park referred to is Atkinson road which is managed by Hawkinge Town Council, therefore FHDC has no plans to close this park.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
planning on immediately replacing the existing play area(s) with something better then all you will be doing is removing the ability for local residents to have the facilities that were available at the time of purchasing a property in the area. The facilities for children under the age of five is almost nonexistent, removing play structures as opposed to adding or improving them is contrary to the supposed aims of the council. The existing areas receive minimal maintenance and therefore a minimal financial burden for their upkeep. Please do not remove the existing area(s), thereby, in your own words, “ensuring all residents are able to access high quality and high value play provision”.

| Individual   | Hawkinge Town Council | Atkinson Road, LAP | Hawkinge | To it may concern I just seen the notification that the playground is at risk of closer. I am a local resident with three children one of which is autistic and Regularly use the playground. I would strongly object to this play ground being sold off there needs to be areas for kids to play. If a site needs to | Closure | The strategy has been put in place considering the fact that the towns and Parishes can deliver the local requirements of the area. The play park referred to is |
| Individual   | Hawkinge Town Council | Atkinson Road, LAP | Hawkinge | I am deeply concerned by the apparent closure of the park on Page Road in Hawkinge and very much hope it will be a temporary measure. There are not enough decent areas for children in Hawkinge to play; certainly not in ratio to the number of houses built. There need to be more improved play parks and facilities, certainly not fewer. Judging by the comments on the Hawkinge Facebook page this is a very shared by many people up here. I hope you will consider my view as part of the consultation. | Play provision Closure | The strategy has been put in place considering the fact that the towns and Parishes can deliver the local requirements of the area. The play park referred to is Atkinson road which is managed by Hawkinge Town Council, therefore FHDC has no plans to close this park. |
**Individual**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FHDC</th>
<th>Oakham Drive. LEAP NSPA</th>
<th>Lydd Town Council</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

I am emailing you regarding the possible closure of the play park that is situated at Oakham Drive, Lydd. I would like to express my deepest concerns about this subject as I believe a play park is imperative for children's health and development. Having access to a gated play park is a necessity, it provides children with a safe place to play, gives them access to develop physically, provides them with opportunities to take risks that are crucial to becoming a more resilient adult and could possibly provide them with a safe environment when home life could be challenging. Taking away the play park could potentially have catastrophic effects on children's health and wellbeing, especially in today's society where technology seems to be an overpowering issue which leads to children become disengaged from a variety of daily activities.

If the play park at Oakham Drive is to be taken away it will mean that the children who live there will no longer be able to socialise as easy as they do when the park is open, parents who arrange group activities for their little children will no longer be able to access a gated park and coordinate play dates and the children will have less reason to venture outside causing a variety of development and social issues. I hope this park can remain open, it is in the children's best interest. I am emailing you regarding the possible closure of the play park that is situated at Oakham Drive, Lydd. I would like to express my deepest concerns about this subject as I believe a play park is imperative for children's health and development.

**Closure Play provision**

| Oakham Drive is a NSPA site and is found within the catchment area of The Rype which is a PPA. Discussions are taking place with Lydd Town Council over the transfer of this and the other play areas in Lydd. |
Having access to a gated play park is a necessity, it provides children with a safe place to play, gives them access to develop physically, provides them with opportunities to take risks that are crucial to becoming a more resilient adult and could possibly provide them with a safe environment when home life could be challenging.

Taking away the play park could potentially have catastrophic effects on children’s health and wellbeing, especially in today’s society where technology seems to be an overpowering issue which leads to children become disengaged from a variety of daily activities.

If the play park at Oakham Drive is to be taken away it will mean that the children who live there will no longer be able to socialise as easy as they do when the park is open, parents who arrange group activities for their little children will no longer be able to access a gated park and coordinate play dates and the children will have less reason to venture outside causing a variety of development and social issues.

I hope this park can remain open, it is in the children's best interest.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Individual</th>
<th>FHDC</th>
<th>Heron Forstal LEAP NSPA</th>
<th>Hawkinge Town Council</th>
<th>Closure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>I have just been made aware that my local park is on the list to be closed. (Heron Forstal Avenue, Hawkinge)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>The planned closure of all but 2 Hawkinge parks is unacceptable. This would leave 1 park on the east of Hawkinge and 1 on the West for thousands of children! Living opposite the Heron Forstal park I see the volume of people using this throughout the weeks in all weathers and use this every weekend with my children. During the lighter evenings we use the park after school several times a week too. How can you justify keeping just 2 parks in the town open? They will be seriously overcrowded not to mention quite a trek to get to for many. There has been no communication on the intention of Hawkinge Town council so I do not know if they intend to take over any of the parks but I strongly object to these planned closures. Please register this email as a formal objection to the plans.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FHDC is in talks with Hawkinge Town Council regarding adopting the parks and talks have been positive.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Lyminge Parish Council</td>
<td>I saw this email address to voice an opinion on the closure of the local parks. I live in Lyminge and frequently use at least 2 of the 3 parks on your list, as well as many other families. For what it is worth I think closure of any (let alone all) of the parks in Lyminge would be very sad indeed! Having said that I am in no doubt that the park in mount pleasant close is long overdue an upgrade and would probably be used more if invested in!</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FHDC will undertake talks with Lyminge Parish Council about potential asset transfer.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individual</td>
<td>Hawkinge Town Council</td>
<td>Atkinson Road, LAP</td>
<td>Hawkinge</td>
<td>Closure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I have been advised that you are due to close a park in Hawkinge on Corbett Road/Page road. Please don’t close this park. My 2 children play in here on a regular basis and it seems so unfair to take it away from the nice families who use it properly.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Individual</th>
<th>N/A</th>
<th>N/A</th>
<th>N/A</th>
<th>Closure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Having just been made aware of a consultation on your Play Area Strategy 2020-2030, I am very concerned that the window of consultation including Christmas and New Year has left little time for me to properly consider the strategy and respond in full. I hope you will extend this period. My understanding of your strategy so far makes me concerned that many play areas for children will be closed, reducing opportunity for the benefits of outside play and exercise. This goes against one of your strategic objectives in your corporate plan for “health matters”. In addition, as a council you have declared a climate crisis and yet the closure of local play facilities will surely mean that families will have to drive to ‘destination’ play areas. Finally, there is no mention of funding for the parks being transferred to the Town and Parish Councils so how will they be maintained?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Closure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The strategy has been put in place considering the fact that the towns and Parishes can deliver the local requirements of the area. The play park referred to is Atkinson road which is managed by Hawkinge Town Council, therefore FHDC has no plans to close this park.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| FHDC will work closely with Parish and Town Councils to transfer assets. |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Individual</th>
<th>MOD</th>
<th>Mackenzie Drive LEAP NSPA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Think it's disgusting that you are closing a lot of parks in the area not everyone can take their kids to busy parks in the holidays especially those with Autism or other disabilities also not everyone drives or can afford the fuel. Plus it's good to get the kids out walking if the park is in walking distance. Now people will have nowhere to go.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Closure</td>
<td>FHDC will work closely with Parish and Town Councils to transfer assets. Mackenzie drive is owned and managed by the MOD, therefore FHDC has no plans to close this park.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

I am highly disappointed to see the list of parks to be possibly demolished for open spaces within the coming years. I do not often comment on plans but with 2 young children and many friends who have children too, the parks we have locally are so important for the community and a free and fun place for children and families to visit. I used to work in the NHS and now in KCC and have seen many examples of children who do not get the outdoor space and fun they should be experiencing, therefore leading to mental health and weight problems. Shutting down 24 parks is only going to increase this for the future. I thought we were trying to cut obesity in children not worsen it?

I am aware of all these parks, and the one that upsets me the most is the McKenzie drive one. We visit here 1-2 times a week (when the weather is well) and the park is used regularly by the Nepalese families who live in the surrounding houses, these families are here to support us and have very little activities they can join in, why remove one of them which they can use? For free! Please re think this, it's so important the parks stay for the children and families.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Individual</th>
<th>N/A</th>
<th>Hawkinge</th>
<th>N/A</th>
<th>Please do not close any of our children's parks in Hawkinge or Folkestone. They are much loved resources and one of the reasons why we love living here!</th>
<th>Closure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Individual</td>
<td>Places for Homes LTD</td>
<td>Pine Way</td>
<td>LEAP NSPA</td>
<td>Transfer to FTC or close</td>
<td>Closure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Hawkinge Town Council</td>
<td>I've seen that the play park on Bigginswood road, next to Harcourt school, is on the list of closures. This really surprises me and is quite concerning that you want to close this park, considering it is right next to a primary school. This park is used every day by a lot of the children from the school, even in bad weather. As I’m sure you are aware Harcourt has a high number of disadvantaged family’s that belong to the school, and this park is a place for children to play, who might not have gardens or have parents/guardians that take them to another park further away. As this park is literally on the way out of school. It also serves as a great way for the school community to grow as it gives a chance for parents to chat whilst the children play. If anything this park needs updating with more facilities as in the summer you can have over 100 children using it! If you sent your counsellors out to the park on any school day from 3.30 pm you will see for yourselves how much this is used. I look forward to your response</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Closure</td>
<td>FHDC is in talks with Hawkinge Town Council regarding adopting the parks and talks have been positive.</td>
<td>This park is close to Harcourt Primary school and is in a convenient location for children before and after school. It is also close to Firs Lane Play Area. This play area has more equipment than FIR's lane. This area is within the catchment area of Cheriton Recreation Ground, which is the designated PPA.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
As made clear on p20 in the document the key issue to address through the pay strategy is

- Insufficient budget to support the ongoing management and maintenance of play areas which has resulted in a backlog of repairs. The impact of continued reduction in play space will exacerbate the decline in play value and quality in Folkestone & Hythe District.

These proposals address the insufficient budget by reducing expenditure on play space. It is a cut in provision because there is less money available from central government due to the cut in local government budgets. This should be challenged by the council especially through their MP as the country recently elected a government that promised increased expenditure on public services. As part of the consultation the reason for this cut in services should be explained to the communities who use the play areas through a programme of engagement and ask them if they would prefer the council to increase the budget spent on play areas and how that would best be paid for. There appears to be no attempt to have surveyed use of play areas, run community fun days to engage people in the management of the spaces. More worryingly this consultation does not seem to be valid in that there has been no attempt to ask the users of the play areas what they think about the proposals. This would be a perfect opportunity for the council to show community leadership and work with parish councils and local nurseries/school and community groups to respond to the challenges of the budget.
I also think the lack of response to this consultation—sorry an assumption but it would be surprising due to the window available, the lack of awareness in communities and the time of year (eg over Christmas New Year) if there were many responses to this—should reinforce the need to properly involve communities in these decisions. People do not know that these proposals are being made about their local play parks so this consultation can in no way be seen as representative of the views of local residents. A programme of community consultation should be carried out before a decision is made.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Individual</th>
<th>N/A</th>
<th>Hawkinge</th>
<th>N/A</th>
<th>I have only just learnt about the notices put up on most of the children's play areas around Hawkinge. Are we all understanding correctly that these areas could be shut down and removed? Hawkinge residents are mainly families with children. It seems absolutely absurd to remove play areas when there is little else for children to do and when we are all aware the children should be encouraged to get outdoors and play more. We originally bought off plan and as we had children it was important that the building plans included play areas. We now have grandchildren that we take to most of the play parks in Hawkinge. Where else can we take small children that is safe and fun to play that is also walking distance from home so we do not have to use the car? Hawkinge is still growing, there is still more housing being built so we need more facilities for children NOT LESS!! This is shameful!! I can only say that myself, my family and the majority of Hawkinge residents OBJECT STRONGLY to any play area being closed and shut down. If money needs to be saved then perhaps removing our Town Mayor and the costs involved with that position should be considered instead!!</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Closure</td>
<td>The sign mentioned in this response was not attached by FHDC and stated incorrect facts. FHDC will work closely with Parish and Town Councils to transfer assets. As areas continue to grow funding will be directed accordingly.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individual</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Having just been made aware of a consultation on the your Play Area Strategy 2020-2030 I am very concerned that the window of consultation included Christmas and New Year leaving little time for me to properly consider the strategy and respond in full. I hope you will extend this period. However, with my brief understanding I am concerned that many play areas for children will be closed reducing opportunity for the benefits of outside play and exercise. This goes against one of your strategic objectives in your corporate plan for “health matters”. Secondly as a council you have declared a climate crisis and yet the closure of local play facilities will surely mean that families will have to drive to ‘destination’ play areas. Finally there is no mention of funding being transferred with the parks to the Town and Parish Councils so how will they be maintained? &quot;</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Closure</strong></td>
<td><strong>Play</strong></td>
<td><strong>Provision</strong></td>
<td><strong>Budget</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The consultation period was of a suitable timeframe. As part of the strategy FHDC will work with Parishes and Towns to provide suitable and quality play, both through PPA but locally through the SIPA and NSPA network. Funding dowries will be discussed with the appropriate bodies.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Individual</th>
<th>N/A</th>
<th>N/A</th>
<th>N/A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Having been made aware of a consultation on your Play Area Strategy 2020-2030, I am very concerned that the window of consultation included Christmas and New Year leaving little time for me to properly consider the strategy and respond in full. I sincerely hope that you will extend this period. However, with my brief understanding, I am concerned that many play areas for children will be closed, therefore reducing their opportunities to reap the numerous benefits of outside play and exercise. This goes against one of your strategic objectives in your corporate plan for “health matters”. Secondly, as a council you have declared a climate crisis, yet the closure of local</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Closure</strong></td>
<td><strong>Play</strong></td>
<td><strong>Provision</strong></td>
<td><strong>Budget</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The consultation period was of a suitable timeframe. As part of the strategy FHDC will work with Parishes and Towns to provide suitable and quality play, both through PPA but locally through the SIPA and NSPA network. Funding dowries will be discussed with the appropriate bodies.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
play facilities will mean that families will have to drive to ‘destination’ play areas. Finally there is no mention of funding being transferred with the parks to the Town and Parish Councils so could you please explain how they will be maintained?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Individual</th>
<th>N/A</th>
<th>N/A</th>
<th>N/A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Having looked in detail at your proposals I believe they are flawed. Where existing play areas are well maintained/financed by those with respective responsibility there is not a problem. Likewise where Town and parish councils are clearly able to accept a managed, properly financed take over there should be little difficulty. The problems will arise where the handover is unwanted and/or poorly financed. This is most likely to occur in the least advantaged areas of the district. Think twice about handing a valuable asset over to developers using the review as the vehicle.

Closure
Play provision
Budget

The consultation period was of a suitable timeframe. As part of the strategy FHDC will work with Parishes and Towns to provide suitable and quality play, both through PPA but locally through the SIPA and NSPA network. Funding dowries will be discussed with the appropriate bodies.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Individual</th>
<th>N/A</th>
<th>Hawkinge Town Council</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hawkinge Town Council</td>
<td>It would appear from your very confusing policy documents regarding the future of play areas in the District that many/most of the play areas in Hawkinge will have their play equipment removed and no maintenance will be carried out. This presumably will include mowing, rubbish removal, perimeter fencing as well as H&amp;S responsibilities. I find this ridiculous if this is the intention - there are innumerable children of all ages in Hawkinge and none of the houses have sufficient garden space</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| Closure | Sites that are not transferred will be maintained as open spaces, this will mean all other activities will continue. |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Individual</th>
<th>N/A</th>
<th>N/A</th>
<th>N/A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Closure</strong></td>
<td>Play provision</td>
<td>Budget</td>
<td>The consultation period was of a suitable timeframe. As part of the strategy FHDC will work with Parishes and Towns to provide suitable and quality play, both through PPA but locally through the SIPA and NSPA network. Funding dowries will be discussed with the appropriate bodies.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Having just been made aware of a consultation of your Play area Strategy 2020-2030, I am very concerned that the window of consultation included a Christmas and New Year, leaving little time to properly consider the strategy and respond accordingly in full. I am hopeful that you will extend this period, however with my brief understanding I am concerned that many play areas for children will be closed reducing opportunity for the benefits of outside play and exercise. This goes against one of your strategic objectives in your corporate plan for 'health matters'. Secondly, as a council, you have declared a climate crisis and yet the closure of local play facilities will surely mean that families will have to drive to a 'designated play area'. Finally there is no mention of funding being transferred with the parks and the Town and Parish Councils, so how will they be maintained?
Having read through your strategic document we can see that three of the four play parks we have in Lyminge are in line for closure if no transfer to a local partner can be found. This is obviously disappointing, especially with Lyminge having a thriving pre-school and primary school, meaning that the village has over 300 under 11s visiting it each weekday (most of whom live in or within walking distance of the village, and also use the current parks on the weekend & in school holidays). Whilst it is understandable (given how outdated & unsafe against modern standards the other three are) why the one has been selected to remain under F&H Council management, this park only has equipment for very small children (under 5) & a skate park for more older children (mostly used by children 10+). This leaves a significant gap in provision for the 200+ primary school aged children we have in the village each weekday. We, therefore, wonder what provision will be made available in the village for these children if no one takes up management of the other three parks in the village that do cater for this age group? We also wondered, where Parish councils or partners are willing to take on the future management of these parks (which will mean a significant long-term financial commitment for insurance & on-going upkeep), whether F&H Council will provide some one-off funding or make a pot of money available for application should the park equipment be damaged or require some one-off improvements to remain safe & useable?
With this in mind, as you may be aware, Lyminge Youth Action (in partnership with Lyminge Parish Council) have applied to rebuild one of the play parks in Tayne Field. Making it a play park for all children regardless of age, as well as a community space. Given F&H Council’s desire to transfer management of this; Lyminge Parish Council’s agreement to do so on conclusion of the rebuild (as they then have the security of the installers lengthy warranty); & our desire to ensure at least one park for children aged 5+ remains in the village, would F&H Council be willing to support our rebuild with some one-off funding? We certainly feel such support will go a significant way to compensate for the potential loss of the other two parks - something we know a large proportion of the village are unhappy about. It may be, as part of our rebuild, we can look at removing the equipment of the other two parks & making them into open spaces, as per the strategy you have. Making the situation a win for all parties concerned. We would be more than happy to discuss this matter further. We look forward to your response.
I write with comments and questions on the play strategy proposed. Whilst it is a fantastic strategy in principle, myself and my children directly benefiting from the development of jocks pitch. I do find some of the parks ‘going’ will impact others negatively far greater than myself positively.

The play park on pine way/Biggins wood road. This park is used daily, before and after school by children that attend Harcourt primary school. This school has a higher than average percentage of disadvantaged children. These children need the outside space to play! Parents are unlikely to travel the extra distance to Cheriton Park, many driving to school from work, as the convenience of before and after school is what works for them. The preschool children who attend Playbox nursery also visit this park daily, and siblings of all these children spend much time in the park.

The benefits of outside play and learning are crucial for the development into healthy independent adults. This park also falls in the middle of an under privileged area. Many of these children need that park in the school holidays, as their only form of outside entertainment.

This park forms an integral part of the school community, with children given the opportunity to play together outside of the school environment. For the same reasons above the park in George Gurr should also stay. There is nowhere safe to walk in 15 minutes from there for the children, without having to cross the busy bypass, at least 30 minutes to Canterbury road/Radnor park, and back.

Pine Way is close to Harcourt Primary school and is in a convenient location for children before and after school. It is also close to Firs Lane Play Area. This play area has more equipment than FIR’s lane. This area is within the catchment area of Cheriton Recreation Ground, which is the designated PPA.
up the hill even longer! Unfortunately, money will not come from out of thin air, and the parks being sold onto developers in return for investment into the strategic play parks should be better ‘advertised’ People naively believe they will just remain, unmaintained. What parks do developers have interest in, all the non-strategic areas of play? What can we expect to be built? Housing?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Individual</th>
<th>MOD</th>
<th>Mackenzie Drive LEAP NSPA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I'm emailing regarding the children's play park at McKenzie Drive, Shorncliffe, and Folkestone. I don't understand why it's down for closure. It's very popular with locals, especially the Gurkha community. It's had a fantastic revamp in the last year too. Please save it!</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Closure | FHDC will work closely with Parish and Town Councils to transfer assets. Mackenzie drive is owned and managed by the MOD, therefore FHDC |
| Individual | Hythe Town Council | Oakland’s LEAP NSPA | Hythe Town Council | Am writing to add my voice to those asking your team to find an alternative funding solution so that you can avoid closing playgrounds in and around Folkestone and Hythe. The health and development benefits of outdoor play for young children are undisputed, as well as the benefits to community cohesion that shared spaces can bring: the playground by Age UK in Hythe is a particularly lovely example of this, as it sees young children playing next to the cafe and meeting hub for elderly care, helping to remove barriers between the generations.

I appreciate that budgets are becoming more and more restricted, and that many of the grants focusing on recreational spaces that would have previously been available for the council to apply for will no longer be an option when we leave the EU, but letting such vital community asset disappear due to an administrative paper-shuffle about 'asset transfer' is nothing short of negligence. I apologise that I have not been able to include links to research supporting my points- I only learned of the plans today, and I am writing this while clearing up breakfast for my 3 year olds! I’m also sorry if this email is just the latest in the line of 'disgruntled of Hythe' responses you are fielding, but frankly it seemed the only recourse available at 8am the morning the consultation | Closure | Oakland’s Play area is maintained by Hythe Town Council, therefore FHDC has no plans to close this park. |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Individual</th>
<th>N/A</th>
<th>N/A</th>
<th>N/A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

I live at xxxx, this piece of land is at the back of my property and have I been trying to get in contact the owner since I bought the property as we are very interested in purchasing the land. We have recently had a baby and would love to have the land to extend our garden. It would mean we could live in this house and extend our family before moving anytime soon. Please could someone call me as I am very interested?
| Individual | Hawkinge Town Council | Atkinson Road, LAP | Hawkinge | I live at xx Heron Forstal Avenue, Hawkinge and write in the strongest opposition to the removal of any of the play equipment in Hawkinge. I regularly take my granddaughter to all play areas in Hawkinge and have been disgusted at the lack of suitable play equipment for babies and young children! Hawkinge needs more equipment not less for the many families in the area and all my family feel upset that this is possibly to be removed. Why are families and children not catered for? Totally wrong and mean decision. | Closure / play provision | The strategy has been put in place considering the fact that the towns and Parishes can deliver the local requirements of the area. |
| Individual | Hawkinge | N/A | Hawkinge | I am emailing to express my concern over the decision to potentially close a number of the children’s recreation areas in Hawkinge. In particular as a resident of Trunley Way I am very sad to learn that the Atkinson Road site is one of those due to be removed. Since we moved to the area 5 years ago we have used this park often but in particularly over the last 3 years, since our youngest son was born, we have used this area almost daily. There are no other parks suitable for this age group on this side of Hawkinge. I feel it is a real shame to be removing amenities from such young children. My front door directly faces the park and my son asks every day without fail to “slide” when he sees the park. I find the decision to close both Atkinson and Corbett road sites strange as the most troublesome park would almost certainly be the one on Pannell Drive. This park attracts hordes of teenagers (well out of the suitable age range) who sit there using foul language, vandalising and leaving broken glass etc. everywhere. This has meant for myself and others | Closure | The sign mentioned in this response was not attached by FHDC and stated incorrect facts. The strategy has been put in place considering the fact that the towns and Parishes can deliver the local requirements of the area. The play park referred to is Atkinson road which is managed by Hawkinge Town Council, therefore FHDC has no plans to close this park. With regards to the other parks we are working closely with Hawkinge Town Council regarding asset transfer and the collaboration remains positive. |
this park is unusable as it is both intimidating and at times dangerous! Can I please ask how the decision has been reached to keep this park yet remove others on the area? I note the other nearby suitable park (located at the end of Uphill) is also war marked for closure. Where are you proposing we take our toddlers when you are leaving absolutely no facilities for them in this area? I really hope you receive enough objections to these proposals to rethink your plans. These areas are one of the reasons we chose to move to Hawkinge and it will be a great shame for the community to lose them. Thank you for your time. I welcome any comments you may have.
I wish to comment on the reasons why I do not agree with the committee's "non-strategic" evaluation of No. 55, Oakland’s play park. This toddler park is extensively used throughout the winter and summer. If the evaluation has been undertaken through questionnaires from few streets surrounding the park then this is not a true representation of the many users of this park. The houses directly adjacent the park are mainly retirement. However, we and many other parents live walking distance from the park and frequently walk to the park after a visit to the library. Then in the summer we and so many other families have picnicked in Oakland’s and the play park has been so full you had to wait to use the equipment; on many occasions.

The only other play park in walking distance to us is number 27 The Green, but this is for mixed ages and the toddler area is in the middle of the gated area and therefore not as comfortable for the younger children who constantly use Oakland’s. Has the "non-strategic" evaluation taken into consideration that this play park has been sited adjacent age concern, presumably for intergenerational reasons?

Surely, a measure of the requirement for a play park would be to assess its use over a period of set time? This play park is used too frequently to be judged "non-strategic". It is unclear in the councils strategy how this play park has been determined "non-strategic" and I strongly disagree with this determination.

Designation

Oakland’s Play area is maintained by Hythe Town Council, therefore FHDC has no plans to close this park. It is also found within the catchment area of the Green PPA and Hythe Skate Park SIPA.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>KCC</th>
<th>FHDC (Peregrine Close) / FHDC Housing (Reachfields)</th>
<th>Peregrine Close LAP NSPA / Reachfields LEAP NSPA</th>
<th>Hythe Town Council</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Housing (Reachfields)</td>
<td>I am writing as the KCC member for Hythe West in response to the FHDC Play Area Strategy 2020-2030.</td>
<td>I am concerned about the designation of both the Peregrine Close and Reachfields play areas in Hythe as Non-Strategic. Both are heavily used and important facilities for their local communities. While the Reachfields play area is relatively close to the play facilities on the Green in Hythe, it is located within an estate with relatively high levels of deprivation and many of the facilities, particularly the enclose pitch, are much more suitable to older age group children than is the case with the Green play facilities.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>As such, I would request that the NSPA designation of both is revised to Strategically Important, thereby removing the threat of potential loss of equipment if the town council declines to take over the assets.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Overall, I am concerned about the potential loss of facilities across the district. The physical and mental health benefits of outside play are well understood and there is a dearth of activities and facilities for young people.</td>
<td>Designations are reached considering locations, other facilities, and requirements.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
I want to express my disappointment in the council wishing to close down and sell all the assets in Uphill Park Hawkinge!! I strongly disagree with this and cannot understand the stupidity of doing such a thing! When childhood obesity is high and continuing to rise why you would take away outdoor spaces for children to play in! As a resident of a house that overlooks the park I am very concerned about antisocial behaviour of an empty park that will attract teenagers and travellers again! Yet again you don’t care about residents!!! It's the reason we moved to Hawkinge for the beautiful spaces and parks you have for our children!!! I will protest this and save our park!!!!!! I want an available reason as to why you are closing our parks

| Individual | FHDC Forstal LEAP NSPA | Hawkinge Town Council | Closure | Discussions have been going on with Hawkinge Town Council over the asset transfer of this park and they remain positive. |
I have read the Council's proposals for play areas in the FHDS District and would make the following comments.

• The response time of 20 December to 31 January, which includes Christmas and New Year, and of which I have not heard until now - near the end of that time - is too short, and typical of other public consultations the Council has organised. I hope this will be extended and the public properly informed.

• Most of the section on the value of play is commendable, though it is interesting that the value of "natural play" sites coincides with the financial advantage to the Council. Which of those advantages have particularly driven this strategy?

• There is no mention of the status of funding where PAs are to be transferred to town and rural councils.

• The "non-strategically important" play areas are to be transferred as assets or revert of open spaces. In other words closed. These more local areas have the advantage of being more likely to attract walking to them. Closing them would make use of cars more likely to reach the nearest play area remaining open. This goes against the Council's environmental and health policies. Specifically, the Southern Way play area was, as you acknowledge, an initiative of local schoolchildren. The map suggests this is classified as NSPA. Shouldn't the Council be maintaining its positive response to such public initiatives? Incidentally, I don't see this site in the final list of ownership, management responsibilities and classifications.
| Individual | Jefferstone Lane (St Mary’s in the Marsh Parish Council) Meads Way & Oak Drive (FHDC) | Jefferstone Lane LEAP SIPA / Meads Way LAP SIPA / Oak Drive LEAP SIPA | I write further to you publication regarding your plans on redeveloping play parks and would like to draw your attention to 3 areas within Romney marsh, St Mary’s bay that has been neglected for years. My children regularly play there as there is literally nowhere else for them to play as of late they have been abandoned had fencing around them and are completely cut off from use. I have emailed this address several times. Area 1 mead way, TN29 0hb. A small play park on our estate that is always full of children. Area 2 St Mary’s bay village hall. A busy little play park been out of proper use for over a year Area 3 Jefferstone lane recreational ground again neglected now fenced off. A place where children can play enclosed with very bad maintenance. Always used by locals and the tourists in the summer. Can you advise what is going to happen to these areas? As I noted on your report it says Dymchurch parish council are responsible for 1 New Romney responsible for 1 (there is 2 one inside the medical Centre opposite the marsh academy) St Mary’s in the marsh 1 area. None of the above appear to be included. | Closure | Jefferstone Lane is managed by St Mary’s in the Marsh Parish Council, Meads Way and Oak Drive are both SIPA and FHDC understands the importance of these. It will work collaboratively with St Marys in the Marsh Parish Council to ensure a smooth transition. |
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1 Introduction

1.1 This document follows on from the report Planning for Play in Shepway 2007-2012 which was developed by Folkestone & Hythe District Council (F&HDC) and the Shepway Play Partnership (a range of organisations and agencies involved in the Play sector) in response to a commitment from central Government to raise the national profile of Play. Since the 2007 Strategy was adopted by F&HDC, there have been many improvements to play provision in the district as well as changes to the available resources to manage and maintain features. It is therefore now timely to review and update the Strategy which will guide the management and maintenance of play spaces in Folkestone & Hythe District.

1.2 The Shepway Play Area Review (2017) assesses the current provision of play spaces across the district. The findings of the review have provided a foundation for this Play Area Strategy. This report therefore outlines the aspirations for play provision in Folkestone & Hythe District, in the context of what is achievable and realistic.

1.3 Following the completion of the Play Area Review the following key aspects should be considered within the Strategy:

- Ensure play provision meets the recreation needs of the community for the period 2020 – 2030 linked to the Council’s priorities, in particular improving health and wellbeing for not just children and young people, but adults and older people.
- Develop a prioritised network of strategic and non-strategic sites to ensure all residents are able to access a good quality and good value play space.
- Develop a robust mechanism for consulting towns, parishes and communities about the provision of play in their local areas.
- Establish a framework to guide the future ownership and sustainable management of play provision in Folkestone & Hythe District.
- Utilise the assessment of the volume of play provision for all age groups across the district within the Play Area Review to identify those areas of under and over provision.
- Consider the financial position of providing play areas with currently available resources and into the future.
- Consider and utilise the standards of provision to take forward alongside setting key objectives.
- Raise the overall quality and value of a network of identified strategic and priority play area facilities within the district.
- Develop a mechanism for securing developer contributions towards the provision and maintenance of a strategic play network e.g. Section 106 planning obligations and Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) charges.

1.4 Relevant guidance has been referred to whilst compiling this Strategy including Planning for Play - Guidance on the development and implementation of a local play strategy (2006).

---

2 Benefits of play

2.1 This section sets out the latest research and evidence on the importance of providing play opportunities for children and young people. It sets out the definition of play and how play supports the development of children and young people. Details of the type of play that can be provided, together with the respective benefits, are also set out. The section concludes with an examination of the importance of ensuring play opportunities are inclusive, accessible to all, and include elements of risk-taking.

The definition of play

2.2 The Playwork Principles were drawn up by the Playwork Principles Scrutiny Group in 2004; the Principles establish the professional and ethical framework for playwork. Playwork is the work of creating and maintaining spaces for children to play. Notably Play Principle 2 states:

"Play is a process that is freely chosen, personally directed and intrinsically motivated. That is, children and young people determine and control the content and intent of their play, by following their own instincts, ideas and interests, in their own way for their own reasons."2

2.3 Play behaviours include things like running, chasing, climbing, play fighting, shouting, role playing, fantasy and imagination, creating and destroying, using all sorts of ‘objects’ in new ways, games where children are in control and make their own rules, dressing up and playing with identity, taking risks. Play is different from organised sports, groups, clubs and classes, which have external rules and definitions, and are usually controlled by adults.

Play and child development

2.4 It is important to recognise the significance of play in children’s physical and emotional health development. The development of key skills obtained from play can improve a child’s self-esteem and encourage exploration of their environment whilst improving social interactions, fitness, stamina and agility.

2.5 Play can be divided into the following three main types:

- **Imaginative play**: A child takes objects or an environment and imagines it is something else. Imaginative play is a key factor in healthy brain development.

- **Physical play**: Graded challenges allow the child to understand risk, conquer fear and develop physical skills. Physical play is vital to help develop coordination and confidence in the body.

- **Social play**: A child learns turn taking, looking after others, delayed gratification and many other skills essential to forming successful adults.

2.6 Good play design allows aspects of all three types of play to develop and furthermore allows multiple modes of use to allow problem solving and creativity on the part of the child. Play provision can take many forms and does not simply happen in designated playgrounds or play areas.

---

Natural Play

2.7 Benefits of natural play are widely recognised. By its very nature play equipment has associated maintenance requirements, which in turn have an associated cost. Natural play is about children and young people experiencing play in natural environments.

2.8 Play England highlights the following values and benefits of natural play:

"Natural environments support a wide range of children’s play. The diverse, dynamic and flexible features that can be found in natural spaces afford opportunities for extensive intentional play behaviours.

Whilst children do not necessarily differentiate between natural and artificial elements in their play, predominantly natural outdoor settings are more likely to be perceived by children as free from adult agendas and thus more open to the possibilities of play.

Playing in natural spaces offers possibilities for: control and mastery, construction of special spaces, manipulating loose parts, different ways of moving, risk-taking etc. Childhood experiences of playing with nature also instil a sense of wonder, stimulating creativity, imagination and symbolic play.

Children’s opportunities to playfully access their immediate natural environments support the development of a sense of place and attachment. Playing in natural spaces also supports child’s sense of self, allowing children to recognise their independence alongside an interdependence and connectedness with their ecological worlds.

The powerful combination of a diversity of play experiences and direct contact with nature has direct benefits for children’s physical, mental and emotional health. Free play opportunities in natural settings offer possibilities for restoration, and hence, well-being. Collectively, the benefits fully support the outcomes established in Every Child Matters.

Playful, experiential and interactive contact with nature in childhood is directly correlated with positive environmental sensibility and behaviour in later life."

2.9 Adding natural play to the portfolio of play provision across the district will introduce a variation of play experiences that is currently lacking. Resulting in an increased quality and value of play areas for the benefit of the community. In addition, the Play England review quotes The Dissolution of Children’s Outdoor Play: Causes and consequences’ presentation to ‘The Value Of Play’, Frost (2006) which "contrasts the high cost and maintenance associated with ‘mammoth, multi-tiered structures that have little play value’ and the reduced expenditure associated with play spaces that use natural materials, plentiful loose parts and ‘wisely selected built or purchased equipment’ (Frost, 2006:14)." A natural play approach with robust features could potentially result in more creative, stimulating and challenging play provision and reduce the Council’s expenditure on maintenance.

---


The importance of risk in play

2.10 Risk taking enables children and young people to extend skills, develop physical and emotional capacities, challenge themselves in new ways, and gain direct experience of the consequences of their actions. Being brave and conquering fears helps children to grow.

2.11 In 2002, the Play Safety Forum endorsed by the Health and Safety Executive agreed that:

“Children would never learn to walk, climb stairs or ride a bicycle unless they were strongly motivated to respond to challenges involving risk or injury. All children need and want to take risks in order to explore limits, venture into new experiences and develop their capacities, from a very young age and from their earliest play experiences. Disabled children have an equal if not greater need for opportunities to take risks, since they may be denied the freedom of their non-disabled peers.

Children need and want to take risks when they play and good play provision should enable this by offering stimulating and challenging opportunities and environments. The level of risk should be managed to ensure that children are not exposed to unacceptable risks or dangers such as death or serious injury.”

2.12 The Health and Safety Executive stated in 2005:

“Sensible health and safety is about managing risks, not eliminating them all. HSE is not in the business of stamping out simple pleasures wherever they appear and at whatever cost. We recognise the benefits to children’s development of play, which necessarily involves some risk, and this shouldn’t be sacrificed in the pursuit of the unachievable goal of absolute safety.”

2.13 It is therefore recommended that all of Folkestone & Hythe District’s play sites should balance risk with the developmental benefit and wellbeing of children.

---


Play deprivation

2.14 As highlighted in 2003 by Bob Hughes, a writer and researcher on children's play:

"play deprivation is the name given to the notion that not playing may deprive children of experiences that are regarded as developmentally essential and result in those affected being both biologically and socially disabled."\(^7\)

2.15 Studies have shown that the effects of play deprivation are devastating to children. If normal play experiences are absent throughout a child’s life, that child is more likely to become highly violent and anti-social. This may also manifest itself in symptoms ranging from aggression, repressed emotions and social skills, to an increased risk of obesity. As adults, they are more likely to suffer from depression and anxiety.\(^8\)

2.16 It is therefore vital that all children and young people have a wide range of places and opportunities to play. Play is an essential part of children’s and young people’s healthy development.

Inclusive play

2.17 Inclusive play means children having access and the opportunity to play together regardless of disability, race or gender. However there can be barriers to the provision of inclusive play opportunities, such as accessibility, funding and staffing. There have been no significant issues of lack of inclusivity identified within Folkestone & Hythe District. However it will be necessary to investigate ways to address any identified shortfalls in disabled access and for children getting to play areas so that F&HDC works towards all play being inclusive. An example of good practice of inclusive play in the district would include Lower Leas Coastal Park.

2.18 In line with the Equality Act (2010) play provision should be as fully inclusive as possible with all ages catered for, particularly up to the age of 25.

2.19 Groups that are considered “hard to reach” and therefore not able to access play provision as easily include:

- Children and young people that have been excluded from school - these people are unable to access any school-based services and therefore are less likely to be accessing play opportunities.
- Teenagers and young adults - frequently these groups are excluded due to lack of provision of appropriate facilities such as skate parks, youth shelters and multi-use games areas.
- Children and young people from traveller communities.
- Children of different ethnicities with cultural barriers preventing access to play, particularly for girls.
- Young carers – with many responsibilities at home, young carers often miss out on opportunities that other children and young people have to play and learn.

---


3 Local and national context

3.1 This section sets out the local and national context relating to provision of play opportunities in Folkestone & Hythe District. Consideration is firstly given to the national context including Article 31 of the United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child. Details of how play is promoted are also set out together with the latest best practice guidance on play. Further detailed information is contained within the Shepway Play Area Review (2017).

International and national context

3.2 The importance of providing children and young people with opportunities for play is endorsed by national and international policies including the following:

United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child

3.3 Article 31 of The United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child (ratified by the UK Government in December 1991) states:

“Parties recognise the right of the child to rest and leisure, engage in play and recreational activities appropriate to the age of the child and to participate freely in cultural life and the arts.”

National Planning Policy Framework, Department for Communities and Local Government, March 2012

3.4 The National Planning Policy Framework includes a specific requirement for planning policy ‘to be based on a robust and up to date assessment of the needs for open space, sports and recreation facilities and opportunities for new provision’ (para. 73). This Strategy, combined with the Play Area Review (2017), provides that evidence base.

The Play Strategy, Department for Children’s Schools & Families and Department for Culture, Media and Sport, 2008

3.5 The Strategy sets out the Government’s vision and commitments for better play opportunities for children and young people in England. A robust strategy for future provision of play in Folkestone & Hythe District needs to consider this together with factors such as ensuring:

“Play spaces are attractive, welcoming, engaging and accessible for all local children and young people.”

Every Child Matters, Department for Education & Skills, 2004

3.6 The UK government initiative acknowledges that play is fundamental to a healthy happy childhood and the Government recognises its importance to outcomes for children and young people.

Guidance for Outdoor Sport and Play – Beyond the Six Acre Standard, Fields in Trust, 2015

3.7 The document sets outs a benchmark for the provision of outdoor sport and play and, in so doing, seeks to secure the opportunities for future provision to help build healthy neighbourhoods. Benchmarks, standards and classifications are detailed further within the Shepway Play Area Review (2017).

3.8 The guide suggests “how play providers can develop an approach to risk management that takes into account the benefits to children and young people of challenging play experiences, as well as the risks.”

Chief Medical Officer of England

3.9 In the Chief Medical Officer of England’s report of 2012 ‘Our Children Deserve Better: Prevention Pays’, published in August 2013, there are key messages for organisations forming strategies and policy that focus on providing facilities and services to young people that help to prevent physical, mental, educational and social health problems in later life.

3.10 In summary the report recommends approaches toward promoting and providing opportunities to access formal and informal physical activity in the local community. Children and young people should be enabled to build resilience and positive capacities through play and exercise. By specifically equipping children and young people with these opportunities they can fully explore their own personal and social behaviours. Furthermore the benefits of a healthy diet will be fully realised when complimented with physical activity and go a long way towards tackling long term obesity.

3.11 Providing the environment for children and young people to build self-esteem, self-confidence, skills, physical and social experience and knowledge, gives them the tools for coping with demands at home and school, and later on at work, whilst making the transition into adulthood.

Play England

3.12 Play England is a registered charity which aims to ensure everybody is able to fully enjoy their right to play throughout their childhood and teenage years. The organisation achieves this through awareness raising and campaigns as well as supporting research and sharing best practice.


3.13 The guidance sets out a framework and principles for the design of play spaces, based around the “golden rule” that “a successful play space is a place in its own right, specially designed for its location, in such a way as to provide as much play value as possible.”

3.14 The achievement of this vision is supported by 10 core principles:

1. Imagine a play space designed to enhance its setting.
2. Imagine a play space in the best possible place.
3. Imagine a play space close to nature.
4. Imagine a play space where children can play in different ways.
5. Imagine a play space where disabled and non-disabled children play together.
6. Imagine a play space loved by the community.
7. Imagine a play space where children of all ages play together.
8. Imagine a play space where children can stretch and challenge themselves in every way.
9. Imagine a play space maintained for play value and environmental sustainability.
10. Imagine a play space that evolves as children grow.

3.15 These values and principles have been used as a foundation for evaluating and understanding the quality and value of play area provision across Folkestone & Hythe District.
Sowing the seeds: reconnecting London’s children with nature, GLA, 2011

3.16 Within this report, Tim Gill, one of the UK’s leading thinkers on childhood, provides an analysis of children’s engagement with nature. The Report does this in three ways:

- Summarising the wealth of previous research into the benefits of children’s engagement in nature.
- Analysing the numerous activities to engage in nature currently taking place in London.
- Recommending a clear vision that every London child has the chance to experience nature as part of their everyday lives, and a range of policy and practical recommendations in order to achieve this vision.

3.17 The report suggests that giving children access to nature promotes their mental and emotional well-being and may have a positive effect on the behaviour of some children.

3.18 Information is provided on measuring progress by setting out useful existing spatial standards and possible metrics and performance indicators.

Local context

3.19 The Places and Policies Local Plan, Submission Draft (2018) (PPLP) has two policies that directly relate to the provision of play opportunities in the district. Firstly Policy C3, provision of open space, which was informed by the Shepway Open Space Review and Strategy (2017 and is set out below.)

3.20 “To meet the additional need in open space generated by new residential developments the Council will require proposals of 20 or more dwellings to provide for open space in accordance with the standards set out in Table 12.1 of the PPLP.

3.21 Where full provision on-site would not be appropriate or desirable, or the proposed development is less than 20 dwellings, the space needed may be met by commuted sum payment towards the provision or improvement of open space nearby on a scale related to the size and scale of the development.

3.22 This gross open space calculation may include provision of publicly available:

- Sustainable Drainage Systems (SuDS), provided they do not compromise the safety of open space users;
- Informal sports pitches; and
- Formal play spaces.

3.23 Any new open space should be transferred to and maintained in perpetuity by a management company or, if agreed, the local Town or Parish Council, the District Council or appropriate community group or charity, subject to payment of a commuted sum.

3.24 Existing open spaces, as defined on the Policies Map, will be safeguarded. Development proposals that would result in the loss of open spaces will be granted provided that:

1. An assessment has been undertaken which clearly identifies the open space is surplus to requirements; or
2. The loss resulting from the proposed development would be replaced by equivalent or better provision in terms of the standards set out in Table 12.1 of the PPLP; or
3. The development is for alternative sports and recreational provision, the needs for which clearly outweigh the loss.”

3.25 The second Places and Policies Local Plan Policy is Policy C4 children’s play space, which has been informed by The Shepway Play Area Review (2017) and is set out below:

3.26 To meet the additional need for children’s play space generated by new residential developments, the Council will require proposals of 10 or more family dwellings (2 or more bedrooms) to provide for child play space in accordance with the standards set out in Table 4.1 of this document.

3.27 Areas should be set out and located so as to minimise loss of amenity for nearby occupiers, maximise children’s safety and be visible from neighbouring properties.
Where full provision on-site would not be appropriate or desirable, the space needed may be met by commuted sum payment towards the provision or improvement of play space nearby on a scale related to the size and scale of the development.

3.28 Any new play space should be transferred to and maintained in perpetuity by a management company or, if agreed, the local Town or Parish Council, the District Council or appropriate community group or charity, subject to payment of a commuted sum.

3.29 In addition Shepway Core Strategy Local Plan (2013), Policy SSS District Infrastructure Planning, is relevant. It sets out the approach to overseeing the delivery of new or upgraded infrastructure (including play space) alongside development.

Kent Children and Young People’s Plan

3.20 The draft Kent Children and Young People’s Plan – Working Together to Improve Outcomes 2016-2019 sets out the shared ambition of public and voluntary sector partners to improve the lives of children and young people growing up in Kent. The following themes with supporting indicators are outlined:

- Children and young people grow up in safe families and communities
- Children and young people have good physical, mental and emotional health
- Children and young people learn & have opportunities to achieve throughout their lives
- Children and young people make safe and positive decisions

Local Children’s Partnership Groups

3.21 Local Children’s Partnership Groups’ primary purpose is to drive improvement in specific outcomes for local children and young people. The work of Local Children’s Partnership Groups support both the development and delivery of Kent’s Children and Young People’s Plan - which will be aligned to aims and ambitions of the Kent 0-25 Health and Wellbeing Board (Kent 0-25 HWB). LCPGs play a key role in relation to safeguarding and promoting the welfare of children and young people, and as such provide an important link between the Kent Safeguarding Children Board (KSCB) and local services and organisations working with children and young people.

Kent Community Safety Agreement

3.22 The Kent Community Safety Agreement 2014-17 highlights priorities (updated in 2016) and cross-cutting themes including safeguarding children and young people and early intervention, prevention and education. The agreement also aims to deliver against the three countywide ambitions set out in the Vision for Kent 2012-22: to grow the economy; to tackle disadvantage; and to put citizens in control. These themes and ambitions link directly to play provision in the area.

South Kent Coast Health and Wellbeing Strategy

3.23 The Health and Wellbeing Strategy highlights several priorities which have been produced by the South Kent Coast Health and Wellbeing Board. The Board consists of members from Folkestone & Hythe District Council, Dover District Council, Kent Public Health, South Kent Coast Clinical Commissioning Group (CCG) and the voluntary sector. It will be important to align the relevant priorities within play provision.

3.24 The South Kent Coast Health and Wellbeing Strategy has identified the following six priorities (from the localised Kent Joint Strategic Needs Assessment):

---


Priority 1: Tackling Health Inequalities
Priority 2: Urgent Care - Avoiding unnecessary hospital admissions
Priority 3: Supporting Children and Families
Priority 4: Healthy Living and Quality of Life (Prevention of Illness)
Priority 5: Improving Long-Term Conditions
Priority 6: Improving Mental Health and Wellbeing

3.25 It will be important to align the relevant priorities within play provision.

Corporate Plan

3.26 F&HDC’s Corporate Plan outlines the vision and priorities for the Council through its Strategic Objectives. The Corporate Plan 2017-2020 – Investing for the next generation – delivering more of what matters, outlines priorities based around supporting local economic growth, developing housing provision, fostering localism, maintaining an attractive district and providing local people with value for money. The six strategic objectives include: more homes; more jobs; appearance matters; health matters; achieving stability and delivering excellence.

3.27 The objectives contribute in some way to securing the shared commitment to providing good quality play provision particularly in reference to appearance, health matters and delivering excellence.

3.28 Work is currently underway to develop a new Corporate Plan for the period 2020-2030. Early indications are that there will be support for accessible, quality open spaces and play provision as well as collaborative working with Town & Parish Councils.

Shepway Play Area Review

3.29 The Shepway Play Area Review compiled in 2017 outlined the approach taken during the assessment of provision and sets out the current situation and proposed standards for play provision across the district. The results of the review provided a foundation for this Play Area Strategy.

Additional relevant local strategies

3.30 The following documents have also informed the preparation of this report:

- Shepway Open Space Strategy 2017
- A Needs Assessment relating to the Provision of Natural Greenspace in areas with Low Levels of Physical Activity – Shepway District Council 2016
- Shepway Core Strategy Local Plan 2013
- Planning for Play in Shepway 2007 – 2012
- Shepway Open Spaces: Sports and Recreation Report 2011
- Shepway LDF ‘Open Space Audit’ 2011
- Green Infrastructure Report 2011
- A Playing Pitch Strategy Update 2011

---


**Population and socio-economic deprivation**

3.31 According to the census, the population of Folkestone & Hythe District in 2011 was 107,969. Of which 49.2% are males and 50.8% are females. The more recent 2015 Mid Year Estimates from The Office for National Statistics (ONS) indicates that Folkestone & Hythe District’s population was 110,034.

3.32 The Indices of Deprivation (IMD) 2015 data reveals that Folkestone & Hythe District is the third most deprived area in Kent, ranking 113 out of 326 local authority districts nationally. 21.6% of the population of children in Folkestone & Hythe District are being bought up in poverty; this is the third highest rank in Kent and higher than the overall average in Kent of 18.4%. In addition 20.6% (206) of children who are in school year 6 are classified as obese. Further population and socio-economic context is set out within the Folkestone & Hythe District Council document *Shepway in Context: A Socio-Economic and Property Analysis (2015)* and the *Shepway Play Area Review (2017)*.

---


Summary of Play Area Review

4.1 The Strategy has been informed through the Shepway Play Area Review (2017) which involved consultation with residents and key stakeholders to understand local need. This consultation exercise was supplemented through an audit of each play area in Folkestone & Hythe District. The results of this assessment and analysis will help to determine standards of future provision and inform decision making. This section summarises the key findings from this work with further information on the methodology and analysis of results contained within the Shepway Play Area Review (2017).

Consultation results

Household survey

4.2 Public consultation was undertaken through an online survey. The scope of this questionnaire covered the frequency of use, perceived value and satisfaction with the quality and quantity of open spaces and play areas within the district. The survey elicited responses from 380 people.

4.3 Of those who stated that they do not use parks and open spaces regularly:
   - 19% of respondents cited lack of play facilities.
   - 19% of respondents felt litter, anti-social behaviour and the appearance deterred visits.
   - 43% of respondents highlighted other reasons for not visiting including poor weather, time at work and general lack of time.

4.4 Just over 60% of respondents confirmed that they use equipped play facilities in Folkestone & Hythe District. Results indicate:
   - 24% of respondents use equipped play areas once a week.
   - 23% of respondents use equipped play areas 2-3 times a week.
   - 20% of respondents use equipped play areas once a fortnight.

4.5 The majority of respondents access local play facilities on foot and for 80% of respondents it takes less than 15 minutes to travel to the play facility they visit most often.

4.6 The play facilities that respondents visited most often include:
   - Lower Leas Coastal Park
   - Radnor Park
   - Cheriton Park

4.7 Responses to the household survey indicate that overall there are high levels of satisfaction with the amount and quality of play in Folkestone & Hythe District. However responses to questions relating to play opportunities for 11+ years indicate there is a need for improvement.

4.8 Respondents were provided with the opportunity to provide further comments on play facilities in Folkestone & Hythe District. Many of the comments cited site specific issues however general comments included:
   - A recommendation to increase play facilities for 11+ age groups and under 5’s.
   - Increase toilet facilities at destination sites.
   - Improve the speed in which maintenance issues are addressed.
   - Lower Leas Coastal Park and Brockhill Country Park are recognised as being good sites for play.
Telephone/email consultation

Consultation with stakeholders revealed that respondents are generally positive about the district’s flagship play areas such as Lower Leas Coastal Park and Brockhill Country Park although issues such as litter and vandalism were a common theme with one respondent noting “Parents seem to prefer play areas which are safe, not strewn with litter (especially dog waste and needles) and where the play equipment is not broken or otherwise rendered unsafe”.

Some further interesting opportunities for improving play provision in Folkestone & Hythe District identified by stakeholders are summarised below:

- Use local ward member grants and trust funds, such as those offered by The Roger De Haan Charitable Trust, to improve play provision.
- Improve CCTV coverage or increase supervision of play areas to reduce incidents of anti-social behaviour.
- Improve street lighting along the coastline.
- Encourage community groups to take responsibility for supervising and maintaining play areas alongside community fundraising.

Workshop with local authority officers and stakeholders

The majority of play areas within Folkestone & Hythe District are managed by F&HDC. However the workshop revealed that there is a desire to increase community involvement in the delivery of play provision in Folkestone & Hythe District with town and parish councils expressing interest in managing play areas.

The workshop highlighted issues with the condition of play areas across Folkestone & Hythe District including ageing equipment and vandalism. Budget restrictions were noted as reasons for some of these issues. Attendees identified a need to share knowledge on the management play areas as well as external funding streams which could support enhancement projects.

Audits and results

In consideration of the latest FiT guidance and Play England guidance the following classifications of play area were assessed as part of the Shepway Play Area Review (2017):

- **Type A: Local Areas for Play (LAPs).**
  - Small, low-key games area (may include "demonstrative" play features);
  - Minimum activity zone of 100sqm.
- **Type B: Local Equipped Areas for Play (LEAPs).**
  - Approximately five types of equipment;
  - Minimum activity zone of 400sqm.
- **Type C: Neighbourhood Equipped Areas for Play (NEAPs).**
  - Approximately eight types of equipment;
  - Kickabout and/or wheeled activities;
  - Minimum activity zone of 1,000sqm comprising an area for play equipment and structures;
  - Hard surfaced area of at least 465sqm (the minimum needed to play five-a-side football).
- **Type D: Destination Play Space.**

Play spaces which can attract visitors for a wider catchment, usually within larger parks they often have supporting facilities such as car parking, catering and toilets.

4.14 The Play Area Review identified and assessed 85 equipped play areas in Folkestone & Hythe District in accordance with the latest guidance. The scoring system developed from the FiT and Play England guidance enabled the assessments of play area quantity, accessibility, quality, value and location.

Overview of audit findings

4.15 All play areas included in the Review are publicly accessible, 43 of the play areas were a standalone space with the primary typology of 'Provision for children and young people'. The remainder of the equipped play provision were located within larger open spaces and primarily within parks and gardens.

4.16 Not all of the district’s residents are within easy walking distance of a suitable facility. This was also highlighted as an issue through stakeholder consultation and confirmed by the mapping of accessibility catchments. The Play Area Review identified particular deficiencies in play areas within the centre of New Romney, intermittent areas along coastal residential areas in Romney Marsh, to the south-east of Folkestone Harbour and within Broadmead. It also discovered that a variety of play areas were considered to have better location and play values when compared to quality which was generally of a lower standard.

Play area provision for age groups

4.17 The Play Area Review revealed that the distribution of play provision in Folkestone & Hythe District is generally good. However the Review identified a shortage of play areas catering for the 11+ age group. While 86.8% of play areas have provision suitable for 5-11 age groups, only 41.2% have equipment that would appeal to older children/young people (11+). However, it should be noted that older children/young people are likely to be more able to travel further to access suitable play provision such as multi use games areas (MUGAs) and skate parks. There is generally good provision for 0-11+ age groups throughout most wards however Broadmead and Folkestone Harbour have no provision for 11+ age groups with potential for greater quantities of 11+ provision in Cheriton and North Downs West. There is a lack of provision for the youngest age category (LAPs) in the southern half of the district. Adding to this, the condition of the existing sites are mainly average, poor or very poor throughout the district.

Proposed local standards for play area provision

4.18 Following the site audit process as part of the Shepway Play Area Review (2017), proposed standards for play provision were formulated and these are set out in Table 4.1. The standards were calculated based on current provision and using the population figures of 110,034 as set out in the 2015 Mid-Year Estimates from The Office for National Statistics (ONS).
Table 4.1: Proposed standards for play provision in Folkestone & Hythe District

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of standard</th>
<th>Proposed standard</th>
<th>Justification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Quantity</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Destination:</td>
<td>0.003 hectares per 1,000 population</td>
<td>This is based on the current provision of play spaces in Folkestone &amp; Hythe District. Setting the standard at this level of provision will ensure that provision should (as a minimum) not fall below the existing quantity per 1,000 population as the population grows. Guided by the Fields in Trust guidance Guidance for Outdoor Sport and Play – Beyond the Six Acre Standard.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEAP:</td>
<td>0.080 hectares per 1,000 population</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEAP:</td>
<td>0.077 hectares per 1,000 population</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAP:</td>
<td>0.005 hectares per 1,000 population</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Accessibility</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Destination:</td>
<td>1000m (15 minute walk)</td>
<td>Straight line distance outlined by the Fields in Trust guidance Guidance for Outdoor Sport and Play – Beyond the Six Acre Standard. A new district wide standard of play provision being “that most residents across the district will live within 15 minute walk of a high quality and high value play area.” Many will live closer than a 15 minute walk. It is deemed reasonable provision within easy reach for all age groups.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEAP:</td>
<td>600m (10 minute walk)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEAP:</td>
<td>240m (5 minute walk)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAP:</td>
<td>60m (1 minute walk)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Location</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Destination:</td>
<td>85.71% - Exemplar: Lower Leas Coastal Park Fun Zone</td>
<td>Expected score for a good well located site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEAP:</td>
<td>74.29% - Exemplar: Canterbury Road Recreation Ground</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEAP:</td>
<td>62.86% - Exemplar: Tayne Field (adjacent public house)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAP:</td>
<td>62.86% - Exemplar: Megan Close Play Area</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Value</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Destination:</td>
<td>74% - Exemplar: Brockhill Country Park</td>
<td>Expected score for a good value site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEAP:</td>
<td>72% - Exemplar: Canterbury Road Recreation Ground</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEAP:</td>
<td>68% - Exemplar: Elmfields</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAP:</td>
<td>55% - Exemplar: Megan Close</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Quality</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Destination:</td>
<td>65.71% - Exemplar: Brockhill Country Park</td>
<td>Expected score for a good quality site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEAP:</td>
<td>60% - Exemplar: Cheriton Recreation Area</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEAP:</td>
<td>54.29% - Exemplar: Newington Village Hall</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAP:</td>
<td>52% - Exemplar: Atkinson Road Play Area</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.19 The FiT recommended benchmark quantity standard is 0.25 hectares per 1,000 head of population for equipped/designated play areas. The Folkestone & Hythe District standards fall below this standard. However there a number of local factors which explain this, including Folkestone & Hythe District’s older population and rural character. The FiT standards are aspirational and have limitations because they are often seen as undeliverable, and can result in a proliferation of play areas that can be difficult to maintain, as well as setting unrealistic aspirations in the urban context.

---


where insufficient land is available. The FiT standards could be a long term aim but the priority should be to work towards ensuring the standards in Table 4.1 are met.

Contribution criteria for strategic decision making

4.20 Alongside guidance on location, quantity, quality, value and accessibility aspects, a range of data sources can be used to inform the decision making process.

4.21 **Table 4.2** below summarises a range of considerations that impact on how a play area contributes to its local community and outlines an indicative sliding scale from those aspects which lead to reduced contributions to greater contributions for the community. This should be referred to whilst reviewing the findings of the Shepway Play Area Review (2017) to ascertain contribution levels and therefore help to inform F&HDC’s decisions over whether certain play areas should be retained, removed, modified or enhanced.

4.22 For example regarding the criteria of proximity, those sites with a larger number of accessibility buffers overlapping will invariably have good levels of existing play area provision and subsequently a reduced contribution. This is in contrast to an area with a single play area and no accessibility buffer overlaps which is likely to provide a greater contribution to its local community.

**Table 4.2: Contribution criteria of play areas**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>Reduced contribution</th>
<th>Greater contribution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accessibility</td>
<td>Limited or no access</td>
<td>Unrestricted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proximity</td>
<td>Based on GIS Analysis using FiT Accessibility Standard Buffers.</td>
<td>Based on GIS Analysis using FiT Accessibility Standard Buffers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Increased overlaps</td>
<td>No overlaps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quantity</td>
<td>Based on level of provision at ward level assessed against FiT standard quantity benchmark.</td>
<td>Based on level of provision at ward level assessed against FiT standard quantity benchmark.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Greater Ha</td>
<td>Reduced Ha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hierarchy</td>
<td>Based on prescribed typology derived from audit.</td>
<td>Based on prescribed typology derived from audit.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LAP</td>
<td>Destination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NEAP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>LEAP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deprivation</td>
<td>Percentile of deprivation with reference to percentage of children and teenagers:</td>
<td>Percentile of deprivation with reference to percentage of children and teenagers:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Index of Multiple Deprivation</em></td>
<td><em>Index of Multiple Deprivation</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Health Deprivation</em></td>
<td><em>Health Deprivation</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Living Environment Deprivation</em></td>
<td><em>Living Environment Deprivation</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Least deprived</em></td>
<td><em>Most deprived</em></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5 Local provision and management

5.1 The following section sets out the current supply of play areas by parish and town. It also identifies ownership and management responsibilities with an overview of some of the key issues affecting play area provision. Brief summaries are provided of recent play area enhancements within the district.

Current play provision

5.2 There are a total of 85 play areas in Folkestone & Hythe District which were recorded as part of the 2016 audit. The majority are owned and managed by F&HDC as shown in Table 5.1 below.

Table 5.1: Ownership and management of play areas in Folkestone & Hythe District

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ownership/management</th>
<th>Number of play areas</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Burmarsh Parish Council</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dymchurch Parish Council</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elham Parish Council</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hawkinge Town Council</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyde Housing</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hythe Town Council</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ivychurch Parish Council</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kent County Council</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lydd Town Council</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lyminge Parish Council</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lympne Parish Council</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ministry of Defence</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Romney Town Council</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newchurch Parish Council</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newington Parish Council</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orbit Housing Association</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S106 - With Developer</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saltwood Parish Council</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sandgate Parish Council</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F&amp;HDC</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F&amp;HDC Housing</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sellindge Parish Council</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St Mary in the Marsh Parish Council</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown ownership</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total number of play areas in Folkestone &amp; Hythe District</strong></td>
<td><strong>85</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.3 Appendix 2 details the ownership and management responsibilities by site.

Inspection, management and maintenance

Folkestone & Hythe District Council

5.4 The maintenance of the play areas managed by F&HDC is carried out by a maintenance team.

5.5 Each play area is inspected on a weekly basis by RoSPA trained personnel this is known as the visual inspection. These inspections assess the safety of the equipment and form the basis of maintenance schedules.
5.6 Every play area is inspected on a quarterly basis by a RoSPA trained personnel. These inspections are more meticulous inspecting bearings and other hidden elements, records are provided using a risk based approach.

5.7 An annual independent inspection takes place looking at all Folkestone & Hythe District’s play areas by Zurich Insurance Inspectors, in order to satisfy our insurance requirements. From these inspections Crimson Reports are generated which then inform the work plan in terms of ongoing maintenance and renewal of equipment. Crimson Reports can be used to assess the number of defects in a play area. A scale from A-D is used to indicate the seriousness and urgency of a defect.

5.8 F&HDC are currently following recent inspection guidance from RoSPA which advises additional quarterly inspections which are more detailed, and encompass all moving parts.

5.9 In general, most repairs can be undertaken quickly and cost effectively however, where an item is irreparable or past its useful life, it will be removed without replacement.

5.10 Sustainability of play areas is being addressed internally by implementing the following requirements for new play provision:

- No varnished finishes, avoid painted finishes.
- Recycled products or sustainably sourced timber.
- Timber to only be used when pressure treated.
- All moving parts to be accessible and not hidden.
- Grass matting should be used where appropriate and wet pour surfaces should be seamless and not involve the use of tiles.
- Replacement parts should be universal and available through many suppliers to remain competitive.

5.11 F&HDC also advise outside organisations including town and parish councils on suitable arrangements for play facilities.

Parish and town councils

5.12 Parish and town councils are responsible for coordinating the inspection, maintenance and insurance of play areas under their management. Many of the councils hire a private contractor to undertake these works although management arrangements vary.

5.13 Parish councils can access a wide variety of community funding sources towards the upkeep and development of play facilities under their management. Ongoing consultation and sharing of information is vital to help secure external funding. This could be achieved through play forums and appropriate conduits/officers to link various groups and management approaches. Further useful information is contained within Play England guidance Parish councils and children’s play – Community play briefing 7 (2011)\(^{22}\).

Other

5.14 Other free play areas in the district are managed independently. Many of these are currently managed by developers as part of Section 106 agreements on new housing developments. Three play areas are managed by the Ministry of Defence.

Overview of current play area issues

5.15 The following key issues have been identified through the Shepway Play Area Review (2017) assessment:

- Insufficient budget to support the ongoing management and maintenance of play areas which has resulted in a backlog of repairs. The impact of continued reduction in play space will exacerbate the decline in play value and quality in Folkestone & Hythe District.

• Problems with anti-social behaviour and litter at a number of sites.
• Gaps in provision due to a lack of identified on-site play areas.
• A need to share relevant knowledge and skills between play area stakeholders.

5.16 While the majority of play spaces can be accessed by children and young people with disabilities, there is limited play equipment for these groups to engage with. Play England’s vision is supported by an objective to "imagine a play space where disabled and nondisabled children play together"23, a focus on fewer sites could enable improvements to play value and to increase play opportunities for children and young people with disabilities.

Summary of recent play area enhancements

5.17 Recent play area enhancement projects in Folkestone & Hythe District are described briefly below by ward. These examples help to highlight the community interest and support in play area management and also the desire for play areas to provide a good range of features and facilities. Information is largely drawn from the series of Folkestone & Hythe District Ward Profile documents prepared by Folkestone & Hythe District Council in 2015.

Cheriton

5.18 Cheriton has strong community involvement which influences play areas within the ward. Cheriton Young Persons Working Group looked at regeneration of parks and leisure space in Cheriton. In addition South Cheriton Action Group is a community group, set up by residents in the immediate vicinity of the proposed Shorncliffe development. The group has a Facebook page and a page on Cheriton Matters. Cheriton Recreation Ground, in recent years has had new play equipment and street lighting. Future plans, might include a skate park.

5.19 Firs Lane Play Area has a play unit including a slide and a climbing wall. There are also two swings, spinning stools and bench seating. Harcourt Primary School raised the funds for the equipment at this park and the children chose the design of the equipment.

East Folkestone

5.20 Canterbury Road Residents Group was formed in 2007 with the aim of improving the area and the lives of local families. The group secured a large amount of external funding to revamp Canterbury Road Recreation Ground including play areas. Improvements that were implemented included a multi-use games area (MUGA) and additional practice area, teen shelter, practice running track, new play equipment for older children and young people, and improvements to the toddler play area. Other improvements were made to footpath lighting and litter bins. A dog mess bag dispenser, benches, signs and seating were also installed. Since then the residents have planted daffodils all around the recreation ground. The group are currently seeking funding to run a youth scheme at the recreation ground to support young people to take ownership of the park and ensure that it used positively. It is hoped the scheme will discourage anti-social behaviour and encourage young people to participate in positive activities.

5.21 Creteway Estate Residents Association similarly contributed to Brabner Park’s enhancements through planting saplings during national tree week and organising estate clean up days. At present the Association is considering undertaking a project to add extra play equipment for the younger children at the George Gurr Crescent Play Area.

Folkestone Central

5.22 The Lower Leas Coastal Park was redeveloped between 2000 and 2006 into a unique undercliff with pine avenues, flower gardens, historic Zig Zag path (c. 1921), a labyrinth and picnic areas leading down to the sea. Also the park contains the largest free children’s adventure playground in the south east complete with zip wires, slide tubes, a toddler’s builder’s yard and a pirate ship. The

---

regeneration of the park, once a derelict and undesirable part of the town, was funded by the Heritage Lottery Fund, SEEDA, F&HDC and the European Union.

5.23 In 2007, the Coastal Park received four awards, including the Green Flag Award, best regional and best overall Regeneration Project from the Royal Town Planning Institute 2007. It was also winner in the Landscape category of the 2007 Kent Design Awards. More recently in 2013 the Coastal Park was awarded the Trip Advisor Certificate of Excellence and has retained the accolade each year since. The park now features in their Hall of Fame, having maintained the Certificate of Excellence for the last 7 years. It continues to receive the Green Flag Award annually and is now flying the flag for the 13th consecutive year.

5.24 A flagship project at Upper Radnor Park saw recent play equipment improvements with an official opening on July 2017. The project has been developed with support of multiple organisations and partners, and nearly £400,000 of funding. This funding included the renovation of the Lodge House which is now a tea room and the complete refurbishment of the Victorian drinking fountain and statue of St Eanswythe.

5.25 Significant additional funding for the play equipment has come from the efforts of the Radnor Park Community Group. The new play area includes; a railway themed zone for toddlers, with a climbing train and carriages, a tunnel, tracks, turntable roundabout and a station; a raised hill with places for scrambling, perching and climbing and a striking centre piece and; exciting and challenging climbing equipment for 8-12 year olds including a 6 metre high net pyramid and 20 metre long zip line.24

5.26 Further funding in excess of £50,000 was raised in 2019 from Cabinet Member environmental improvement grants, local ward member grants, KCC member grants and a contribution from the Radnor Park Community Group to install an outdoor adult gym and a wheel chair swing.

---

Folkestone Harbour
5.27 St Mary’s Church of England Primary Academy was involved in a local community project to renovate a piece of Southern Water land into a children’s play area in 2005 known as the Southern Way Play Park. The project involved the local community and the staff and children at St Mary’s Church of England Primary Academy. The Southern Way and St Mary’s Community Association was set up to facilitate the project and was chaired by the Chief Executive of the school. Pupils from the Academy were involved in the whole process including choosing all the equipment installed in the play area. The community group no longer assists, passing a small one-off maintenance budget to FHDC. The play park has been in constant use since the renovations were completed.

Hythe Rural
5.28 Lympe Village Hall Playground is designed for younger children and there is currently a group who are fundraising to add new play equipment and skate facilities.

Hythe
5.29 Hythe has a skatepark known as The Hythe Golden Jubilee Skatepark, located close to the Cricket Ground, near Wakefield Walk within South Road Recreation Ground. Recently Hythe Town Council installed two new pieces of equipment a grind box and rail. The Skatepark has its own Facebook page. Hythe also has numerous play areas distributed across the town.

North Downs East
5.30 Hawkinge has several newer play areas serving the recent housing developments and containing a range of equipment. These are located at Stombers Lane, Campbell Road, Proctor Walk, Kettle Drive, Haven Drive, Milfield, St Luke’s Walk, Megone Close, Heron Forstal Avenue, Harvest Way, and Blenheim Drive. King George V Play Area is a fenced site located in Elham, and benefits from a range of modern play equipment to suit all ages. There are flat, cradle and basket swings, two slides, climbing areas, zip slide as well as seating and picnic areas. There is also a football pitch with goals.

Romney Marsh
5.31 Dymchurch Recreation Ground includes a play area which contains several innovative forms of equipment together with a De Haan Charitable Trust funded multi use games area.
Walland and Denge Marsh

5.32 The triangular common in Lydd is known as the Rype, and was given to the men of Lydd by the Archbishop of Canterbury in 905AD for having repulsed the Danes. It is now managed by Lydd Town Council and contains a popular play area. There has been recent investment through trust funds including those from the De Hann Charitable Trust which have transformed the play facility.
6 The Strategy

6.1 This section sets out the vision and objectives for future play provision in Folkestone & Hythe District. These have been informed through consideration of existing levels of play in the district together with understanding the current management context. Funding and developer contributions are discussed separately.

Vision

6.2 F&HDC acknowledges the importance of play to the health and well-being of its residents. F&HDC is therefore committed to ensuring all residents are able to access high quality and high value play provision. Subsequently F&HDC’s vision for play provision is as follows:

Play experiences are fundamental to the health and development of children and young people. Folkestone & Hythe District Council will therefore seek to ensure all residents are able to access a high quality and high value play area. We will work with town and parish councils, together with other providers, to create play spaces which offer challenging and exciting environments for children and young people of all ages and abilities.

Applying Play Area Provision Standards

The Shepway Play Area Review (2017) provides an assessment of play areas in the district in terms of quantity, accessibility, location, value and quality.

National guidance suggests that play strategies should be based on locally derived standards. These standards are determined through analysis of existing provision of play spaces, consideration of local and national standards for play and an understanding of local need. The proposed standards for Folkestone & Hythe District are set out in the Shepway Play Area Review (2017) and Section 4 of this Strategy.

F&HDC acknowledges that, due to ongoing financial constraints facing local authorities, achieving these standards in Folkestone & Hythe District will be a challenge. It is therefore proposed that any investment will be prioritised on the management and enhancement of play areas where there is considered greatest need i.e. play spaces located in areas with the largest concentration of children and young people. Based on this assessment 9 play areas have been identified as being priorities for F&HDC known as Priority Play Areas (PPAs). The Strategy identifies another 5 PPA’s within the district in other ownership. F&HDC will work with town and parish councils to identify priority play spaces in their areas.

In addition F&HDC will work in partnership with parish and town organisations, together with housing trusts and other community groups, to deliver a network of Strategically Important Play Areas (SIPAs). The network of SIPAs has been identified with the aim of ensuring the majority of the district’s residents live within a 15 minute walk of a high quality and high value play area. FHDC will look to transfer these sites to the Town and Parish Councils who are best placed to provide these facilities for their local residents.

Those play areas not considered to be part of this network will be known as Non-Strategic Play Areas (NSPA) and will be offered to F&HDC’s partners as part of an asset transfer. If after one year no interested parties come forward, play equipment will be removed from these play areas and the ground returned to open space.

Appendix 2 outlines those sites which form part of the PPA, SIPA and NSPA network with locations shown within Appendix 3.
NB In reference to national guidance, proposed local standards should strive to be met in the first instance with the accessibility requirement that residents across the district will live within 15 minute walk of a play area. Many will live closer than a 15 minute walk. It is deemed reasonable provision within easy reach for all age groups. As a visual guide the Destination Play Space accessibility threshold of 1000m represents a 15 minute walk and caters for a range of age groups including 0 to 11+

6.3 To achieve the Vision, F&HDC will deliver the following objectives.

Objectives

6.4 The Shepway Play Area Review (2017) identified a need to take a strategic approach to future play area provision in the district. The following objectives and supporting action plan (see Section 7) have been informed by the findings of the Play Area Review, which involved desk based analysis, stakeholder consultation and an audit of play areas. Each play area in the district was assessed to record:

- Location: Safety and security, accessibility (including disabled access) and extent of use.
- Quantity: Amount of play areas by classification.
- Quality: Care and maintenance of equipment and facilities.
- Value: Provision of equipment offering a variety of challenging play and movement incorporating natural features and offering value to a range of age groups and abilities.
- Accessibility: FiT guidance provides a benchmark for accessibility catchments.

Objective 1: Improve the location, quality, value and accessibility of play provision for all children and young people.

To be achieved by:

1. Referring to the standards within the Shepway Play Area Review (2017) and set out in Table 4.1 of this Strategy when planning play area enhancement projects. It will be important to ensure any new residential development in the district provides or contributes towards sufficient play space to achieve the play area standards. New play provision should cater for children and young people of all ages based on the characteristics of the population within the proposed development as well as to meet any deficiencies within the wider area. F&HDC will also strive to improve the location, quality, value and accessibility of each play area. Where appropriate recommendations for play areas are set out within the action plan (see Section 7).

2. Continuing to maintain and seeking to enhance the Priority Play Areas (PPAs) as identified in this Strategy.

3. Supporting the provision of a network of Strategically Important Play Areas (SIPAs) to ensure the majority of the district’s residents are within a 15 minute walk of a high quality and high value play space, which meets the needs of the community.

4. Ensuring the provision of new play areas considers the design principles outlined in Play England’s Design for Play: A Guide to Creating Successful Play Spaces (2008), which advocates that “a successful play space is a place in its own right, specially designed for its location, in such a way as to provide as much play value as possible.”

---


5. **Creating additional play sites** where there is an identified lack of provision in the SIPA network. These will be delivered in partnership with others and as part of new developments.

6. Offering Strategically Important Play Areas (SIPA’s) as identified within this Strategy to the Town and Parish Council’s, community groups and partners as part of an **asset transfer**.

7. Offering Non-Strategic Play Areas as identified within this Strategy to Town and Parish Councils, the community and partners as part of an **asset transfer**. Where an asset transfer is not possible, the play area will be removed and returned to open space. Reference should be made to the *Asset Management Strategy 2017-2022*.

8. Incorporating **play opportunities for all age groups and abilities** in the design of new or refurbished play spaces.

9. **Conducting an audit of play provision every ten years** in reference to the previous Play Area Review assessments and in accordance with the latest best practice guidance.

**Objective 2:** Effectively utilise planning policy to benefit play provision.
To be achieved by:

1. Using planning policy to **support a response to addressing the identified need** for play in the district and to take a strategic approach to provision, enabling targeted improvements to the quality and value of play areas across Folkestone & Hythe District.

2. **Embedding the principles of the PPA and SIPA network**, together with the play area standards (as set out in Table 4.1), within F&HDC’s development plans. This in turn will inform the collection of contributions for on-site and off-site Section 106 contribution requests.

**Objective 3:** Raise awareness of play opportunities and the importance of play.
To be achieved by:

1. **Improving promotion of the distribution and features of play areas** throughout the district. Methods could include producing an online map, newsletters and leaflets to be distributed at children’s centres, schools, libraries and youth facilities.

2. **Creating greater awareness of the benefits of play** to a child’s development. This could be achieved through promotional material and supporting parent/carers to access play provision.

3. **Highlighting the risk benefit approach** during the play area decision making process.

4. **Promoting natural play opportunities** and highlighting their importance and value.

**Objective 4:** Maximise funding opportunities for the maintenance and enhancement of play areas.
To be achieved by:

1. **Reviewing appropriate developer contributions** and re-evaluating formulae for maintenance contributions to ensure that these are sufficient to meet the costs of wear and tear during the play areas life time (25 years).

2. **Utilising design guidance** to formulate a landscape approach to play which reduces annual maintenance costs and provides a challenging and exciting space for children and young people of all ages and abilities.
3. Ensuring **Section 106 planning obligations** are secured to enable the delivery of appropriate play improvements to the network of SIPAs and/or PPAs at or close to the proposed development.

4. **Securing funding through CIL.** It will be important for Engineering & Buildings to ensure that Destination play areas are included on the “123” list which will define CIL spending across the district. CIL will also be used to secure the future of key play sites across the district. CIL contributions could also be used to support parish and town councils deliver play area improvement projects.

5. Seeking **alternative funding initiatives**, such as government funding schemes and Landfill Communities Fund, to support play area enhancement projects. Other funding sources may be identified through searching online with GRANTfinder and through the Association of Play Industries.

6. Using the **Shepway Play Area Review (2017)** and the results of the play area assessments to prioritise funding to PPA sites.

7. **Dowry payments** will be made available from FHDC on completion of transferring SIPA and NSPA play areas. Dowry payments will be calculated on the basis of the next five years maintenance amount that the Council would be liable for. Calculation would include an amount to rectify any outstanding faults, 5 years maintenance, 5 years inspections and an amount per year for vandalism. The Dowry payment may be reduced if there is S106 money available on transfer.

**Objective 5:** Communicate and engage with key partners and stakeholders.

To be achieved by:

1. **Consulting on the findings of the Shepway Play Area Review.**

2. **Consulting with parish and town councils on Strategic Play Areas (SIPA) and Non-Strategic Play Areas (NSPA) to identify opportunities for asset transfer of play areas.**

3. **Encouraging Friends and community groups** to support the development and enhancement of play spaces across the district, by tapping into funding schemes that F&HDC is unable to access.

4. **Encouraging management companies and parish and town councils** to take ownership of local play areas.


6. **Engaging in a programme of consultation with users and residents** to ensure proposed play area improvement projects are responding to local need. A comprehensive programme of consultation will also encourage positive community participation in the delivery of local projects.

7. **Strengthening links with the South Kent Coast Health and Wellbeing Board.** Seek opportunities to secure funding for health and well-being priorities. The benefits offered by good quality open space should be promoted to this Board as a potential mechanism for delivering its objectives.

**Objective 6:** Ensure appropriate and regular communication and review.

To be achieved by:
1. **Ensuring data is maintained and kept up to date** so that it can be used to support decision making, strategy and funding applications. F&HDC Environment & Corporate Assets and Communities will put in place a system for ensuring data is updated as changes occur and reviewed on a regular basis.

2. **Sharing information**, including the findings of the Play Area Review and Strategy, with other F&HDC departments and organisations. This will support a strategic approach to play area provision which is based on existing data and current policy and thinking.

3. **Ensuring appropriate consultation** with the community prior to the removal or any significant alteration of play areas.

6.5 Further actions which will support the vision and objectives set out above are contained within **Section 7** of this Strategy.

### Funding and developer contributions

6.6 F&HDC's Engineering & Buildings Service is under pressure from increasing budget demands. In response, the Service is considering options to support day-to-day maintenance operations and one-off improvement projects. However there is a range of external funding schemes that could be used to support the maintenance and enhancement of play areas in Folkestone & Hythe District. The following paragraphs outline possible sources of external funding.

#### Landfill Communities Fund

6.7 The Landfill Communities Fund is a tax credit scheme which enables landfill operators to support the delivery of community projects. The Fund is available to community groups, charities and other voluntary organisations. At present there are limited community groups and charities actively involved in the management of play areas in the district. An exception is the Folkestone Parks & Pleasure Grounds (FPPG) Charity, which aims to ensure the maintenance of parks and recreation grounds in Folkestone and Sandgate are managed appropriately. Open spaces that fall under the FPPG Charity include:

- Canterbury Road Recreation Ground, Folkestone
- Morehall Recreation Ground, Cherry Garden Lane, Folkestone
- The Lower Leas Coastal Park, Folkestone
- Radnor Park, Folkestone
- Jocks Pitch, East Cliff, Folkestone

6.8 The Landfill Communities Fund is not available to local authorities or parish and town councils.

6.9 Friends groups have the potential to support the management and enhancement of play provision in Folkestone & Hythe District, through raising funds for capital investment. However the council has limited capacity to support the development of new groups.

#### Ward Member Grants and Trust Funds

6.10 Each Ward Member holds a fund which may be used to support projects within their ward.

6.11 A number of sites have received recent investment through trust funds including those from The Roger De Hann Charitable Trust supported by local groups and town and parish councils.

#### Section 106 planning obligations and Community Infrastructure Levy

6.12 F&HDC receives funding for open space improvements through the collection of ‘Section 106 planning obligations and contributions’. Section 106 planning obligations or ‘commuted sums’ are legal agreements negotiated by the local planning authority with the developer (or landowner) of a proposed development. In relation to the provision of play areas, commuted sums must be spent on improvements at existing sites at or close to the development that gave rise to the funding. Recommendations that are in compliance with the relevant planning policy are put to the Area Committee in which the development occurred. Commuted sums in the form of Section 106 are
critical to the enhancement and development of play areas across Folkestone & Hythe District. Contributions secured through Section 106 can be used as match funding to support the delivery of larger projects.

6.13 Section 106 planning obligations sit alongside the Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL), described below, but will be restricted to the infrastructure required to directly mitigate the impact of a proposal. Further information on Section 106 planning obligations is available on the Folkestone & Hythe District Council website29.

Community Infrastructure Levy

6.14 Improvement projects for parks and play areas may also be funded through the collection of CIL. CIL charges are based on simple formulae that relate to the size and character of the associated development. The proceeds from the levy can be spent on local and sub-regional infrastructure, including parks and play areas. F&HDC’s Regulation “123” list defines the type of infrastructure and projects that will be funded through CIL in the district. The funding can be directed to parish and town council’s to deliver projects within their jurisdiction. Further information on CIL is available on the Folkestone & Hythe District Council website29.

CASE STUDY: Hawkinge Town Council

“Hawkinge Town Council had Section 106 funds available for community projects and after consultation with the local community we were looking for sites to install some multi use games areas on.

We contacted Folkestone & Hythe District Council and arranged to take over the management and control of five open and play spaces. We have provided two multi user sports areas and other outdoor fitness equipment at some of the sites.

The advantage of having control of the sites for us is that we can maintain them to a high standard for the local community and it gives us flexibility over how they are used.

The regular maintenance is included within our grounds maintenance contract and funds were transferred to us on a sliding scale which helped incorporate the future maintenance in our budget.

We have since taken on five additional open/play spaces from the developers. These sites are also included in our grounds maintenance contract meaning that we work hard to maintain them to a good standard for local residents to enjoy. The more sites we incorporate into our maintenance contact, the better deal we can negotiate.

We have taken ownership of the amenities in our community and this helps us create a sense of buy-in from the community who help with litter picking and other volunteering.”

Provision of play facilities and enhancement

6.15 New play area provision will be considered where there is a new development and a planned increase in population, and/or an existing deficiency in supply or access to facilities exists.

6.16 Sufficient supply or under supply of play areas for each agreed area or ward can be calculated based on the standards in Table 4.1. The amount of play facilities required for the increased population can also be calculated using the quantity standards. The use of the quantity standards should be considered alongside the access standards. For example, even though quantity standards may be met locally, there may be gaps in access and therefore new provision may still be required.

6.17 The Shepway Play Area Review (2017) and Appendix 3 provides mapping which show where there are deficiencies and potential over supply of play facilities. This information can be used alongside

---

the quantity standards to determine if new provision of a particular classification should be provided or improved accessibility is required. These gaps could be met by a residential development.

**Delivering new provision and enhancements to existing provision**

6.18 The future provision of play in Folkestone & Hythe District will be guided by locally derived standards as set out in Table 4.1. These standards have been developed through the Shepway Play Area Review (2017) and will apply to proposals of over 10 dwellings. The locally derived standards setting out quantity and accessibility standards propose quantities of play space by play area classification which should be delivered on-site where feasible. Where full provision on-site would not be appropriate or desirable, the space needed may be met by commuted sum payment towards the provision or improvement of play space nearby on a scale related to the size and scale of the development.

6.19 In assessing the requirement for play space provision, this will be based on the number of properties with two or more bedrooms in the proposed scheme. The requirement for any proposed developments will be based on the current provision identified in the Play Area Review. For example, if a scheme is located within 240m of an existing LEAP, then a commuted sum could be provided to upgrade that facility to meet the additional demand from the new development. In some cases it may be appropriate for youth or adult equipment (such as ‘outdoor gyms’) to be provided.

6.20 Areas should be set out and located so as to minimise annoyance to nearby occupiers, maximise children’s safety and be visible from neighbouring properties.

6.21 Any new play space should be transferred to and maintained in perpetuity by a management company or, if agreed, the local town or parish council, subject to payment of a commuted sum.

6.22 The Places and Policies Local Plan, Submission Draft (2018) contains Policy C4Children’s Play Space; which highlights current requirements for developer contributions, these closely relate to the latest Fields in Trust guidance. The standards aim to ensure any deficiencies are met, as well as providing for an increase in population with development.

**Protecting existing play area provision or judging surplus to requirements**

6.23 Reference should be made to the PPA, SIPA and NSPA approach, Shepway Play Area Review (2017) and the contribution criteria shown in Table 4.2.

6.24 F&HDC will seek to protect existing open spaces and play areas in line with the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF). Any assessments for the loss of open space should draw on the latest F&HDC Open Space Strategy and Play Area Review/Strategy and the provision at that time in the first instance.

6.25 Development proposals that that would result in the loss of open spaces and play areas will be granted provided that:

1. An assessment has been undertaken which clearly identifies the play area is surplus to requirements; or
2. The loss resulting from the proposed development would be replaced by equivalent or better provision in terms of the standards in Table 4.1 above; or
3. The development is for alternative sports and recreational provision, the needs for which clearly outweigh the loss.

**Calculating on-site contributions:**

6.26 The requirement for play areas and open space should be based upon the number of persons generated from the net increase in dwellings in the proposed scheme, using the average household occupancy rate in the UK of 2.32 persons per dwelling as derived from Census data. On this basis, 1,000 persons at 2.32 persons per household represent 431 dwellings.

6.27 To calculate the play area requirement by classification per dwelling, this is calculated by multiplying 431 (dwellings) x the appropriate provision per dwelling by classification (if appropriate).
6.28 Using NEAPs as an example, the recommended standard is 0.077 ha per 1,000 population (770 sq. metres per 1,000 population) or 431 dwellings. Therefore, by dividing 770 sq. metres by 431 dwellings a requirement for 1.79 sq. metres of LEAPs per dwelling is obtained for the district.

**Calculating off-site contributions**

6.29 Where it is not realistic for new provision to be provided on-site, it may be more appropriate to seek to enhance the existing quality of provision and/or improve access to sites. Standard costs for the enhancement of existing open space and provision of new open spaces should be clearly identified and revised on a regular basis by F&HDC.

6.30 Costs have been calculated using F&HDC costings information (informing policies LR9 (open space) and LR10 (equipped play areas)) and based on known industry standards. Contributions towards the provision or improvement of play areas are calculated using the capital cost of provision. Contribution per person is taken to be a reasonable measure of impact irrespective of whether there is new provision or improvement of existing facilities and features. A summary of the costs are outlined in Table 6.3 below.

**Table 6.1: Costs for providing equipped play areas**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Classification of play areas</th>
<th>Standard m² per person</th>
<th>Cost of provision per m² (£)</th>
<th>Contribution per person (£)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Destination</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>5.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neighbourhood Equipped Areas for Play (NEAPs)</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>136.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Equipped Areas for Play (LEAPs)</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>130.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Areas for Play (LAPs)</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>8.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total contribution</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>280.50</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6.31 F&HDC will seek to secure £280.50 per person to provide new play areas to meet the required standard. These calculations will be used to calculate developer contributions for on-site provision and where feasible any off-site projects.

**Maintenance contributions**

6.32 If a development is required to provide play areas on-site, the developer will normally be expected to maintain the play area for an agreed minimum period (typically one year). For larger open space sites a management plan should have been submitted and approved by F&HDC as a planning condition.

6.33 If the play area is to be adopted by the Council, a commuted sum may be accepted and arrangements made for management and maintenance of the play area through the council or third party. The amount payable for the commuted sum for all classifications of play area will be calculated using the figure of **£4.59 m² per annum** for all classifications of play area. The figure has been calculated from average unit rates for maintenance of different classifications of play areas drawn from *SPON’S External Works and Landscape Price Book (2017)* as well as indicative maintenance costs supplied by F&HDC with appropriate inflationary uplift. Commuted sum to be reviewed as per action 2.6 in the Action Plan.

---

7 Action Plan

7.1 This section sets out the programme of actions which will be carried out to meet the vision for play in Folkestone & Hythe District. It lists each management objective, how each will be achieved and who is responsible for achieving them. Where appropriate a priority level is indicated and further considerations highlighted. The programme will be reviewed annually, and targets monitored to ensure actions have been achieved.

7.2 The essential actions have been prioritised on criteria of importance and urgency as follows:

- **Low:** Actions which have a low impact on play area provision
- **Medium:** Actions which have a moderate impact on play area provision
- **High:** Actions which have a significant impact on play area provision

### Table 7.1: Action Plan

| Objective 1: Improve the location, quality, value and accessibility of play provision for all children and young people |
|---|---|---|---|
| Reference | Action | Implementation/Task if applicable | Resources | Priority |
| 1.1 | F&HDC will continue to maintain and seek to enhance the Priority Play Areas (PPAs) as identified in this Strategy. | F&HDC | High |
| 1.2 | Work with Town & Parish Councils to provide a network of Strategically Important Play Areas (SIPAs) based on a 15 minute walking time across the district that meets the needs of residents. FHDC will seek to transfer these assets to Town & Parish Councils with an appropriate Dowry payment. (See objective 4 of the strategy). | F&HDC | High |
| 1.3 | The Non-Strategic Play Areas (NSPAs) identified will be offered out to Town and Parish Councils, the community and partners for asset transfer, and where this isn’t possible will be removed and returned to open space. Where transfer can be agreed an appropriate Dowry payment will | F&HDC | High |
1.4 Ensure play areas meet or exceed the required location, quality and value score benchmarks. | Review findings and standards identified through the Play Area Review and Strategy. | F&HDC | Medium |
1.5 Ensure all Wards achieve the minimum standard for provision. | Ensure any new development provides sufficient play space to achieve the standard for quantity of play and should provide for all age groups where appropriate. NB Proposed standards shown in Table 4.1. | F&HDC | Medium |
1.6 Where feasible residents should be within the catchment area of at least one play area offering features for all age groups and abilities. | Review accessibility buffers and classifications with the Shepway Play Area Review (2017) to inform F&HDC decision making. Where there is an identified lack of provision through gaps in the SIPA network the creation of additional play sites will be recommended, wherever possible, in partnership with others and as part of new developments. | F&HDC | Medium |
1.7 Deliver current commitments to improve play areas including Radnor Park, Coastal Park as well as play areas within New Romney. | | F&HDC | High |
1.8 Retain all Destination play areas as core hubs for play and offering well respected play experiences within the wider community. | | F&HDC | High |
1.9 Consider creating new Destination play areas. | Destination play areas should be located in or adjacent to larger open spaces. Consider options in East Folkestone, New Romney and Broadmead. Options at East Cliff and Morehall Recreation Ground which currently offer limited play interest for all age groups, and at The Greens or Greatstone Car Park. Options as | F&HDC | Medium |

There is currently generally good provision but some sections of the population are still not in reach of a play space. Broadmead and Folkestone Harbour have no provision for 11+ age groups with potential for greater quantities of 11+ provision in Cheriton and North Downs West. Opportunities for expansion at the Royal Military Canal Area following Princes Parade Development.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1.11</th>
<th>Ensure Strategic/Destination quality play areas are provided within the Shorncliffe Garrison development.</th>
<th>F&amp;HDC</th>
<th>High</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>Seek opportunities to provide natural play features and alternatives to fixed play within informal open spaces including natural and semi-natural green space, green corridors and amenity green space.</td>
<td>F&amp;HDC</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Review those sites which are closed for maintenance or requiring enhancement in values referring to the Shepway Play Area Review (2017).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>Conduct an audit of play provision every 10 years.</td>
<td>F&amp;HDC</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Carry out regular audits in reference to the previous assessment and the latest best practice guidance.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>Address the barriers to access and comply with the Equality Act (2010) through a disability and access audit.</td>
<td>F&amp;HDC /Land managers</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Produce a disability and access audit for new sites or those sites due for refurbishment.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>Increase the level of play provision for the 11+ age group.</td>
<td>F&amp;HDC</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Refer to the Shepway Play Area Review (2017) and coordinate future decisions with reference to the contribution criteria shown in Table 4.2.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>Greater investment required in the management, maintenance and improvement of play spaces throughout the district.</td>
<td>F&amp;HDC</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Refer to the Shepway Play Area Review (2017) and coordinate future decisions with reference to the contribution criteria shown in Table 4.2.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


---

### 1.18
Review maintenance arrangements to ensure high standards are achieved.

**F&HDC** | **High**

### 1.19
Improve supervision, policing and oversight (e.g. through appropriate vegetation clearance) of public space.

**F&HDC** | **High**

### 1.20
New play areas should be sensitively designed to reflect sensitivities of landscapes as well as character of the local Kent coastal area.

**F&HDC/Land managers** | **Medium** | Future play provision should follow the latest best practice guidance to ensure play spaces are suitable for all abilities and age groups.

### 1.21
Encourage the community to report issues relating to play areas to F&HDC to enable a quick response to problems.

Advertise appropriate contact details within play areas.

**F&HDC** | **Low**

### Objective 2: Effectively utilise planning policy to benefit play provision

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Implementation/Task</th>
<th>Resources</th>
<th>Priority</th>
<th>Notes &amp; Considerations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>Through housing development sites look to enhance current provision for the benefit of both the existing and new community as well as creating new play areas.</td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>F&amp;HDC</strong></td>
<td><strong>High</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>Increase communication and closer working between the planning team and E&amp;B team.</td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>F&amp;HDC</strong></td>
<td><strong>High</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>Consider the appropriateness of LAPs (increased maintenance costs) at some locations where other classifications of play areas are suitably catering for younger age groups within its catchment.</td>
<td>Refer to the <em>Shepway Play Area Review (2017)</em> and coordinate future decisions with reference to the contribution criteria shown in Table 4.2.</td>
<td><strong>F&amp;HDC</strong></td>
<td><strong>Medium</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>Aim to create a Supplementary Planning Document (SPD) document: Recreation Open Space Provision &amp; Commuted Space Payments.</td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>F&amp;HDC</strong></td>
<td><strong>Low</strong></td>
<td>This process could take considerable time and will require consultation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Objective</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Implementation/Task</td>
<td>Resources</td>
<td>Priority</td>
<td>Notes &amp; Considerations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>The E&amp;B and planning teams should work together to re-evaluate developer contributions.</td>
<td></td>
<td>F&amp;HDC</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>Re-classify and/or review sites for new policy formation.</td>
<td>Refer to the Shepway Play Area Review (2017).</td>
<td>F&amp;HDC</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>Seek opportunities to secure land for play area provision.</td>
<td></td>
<td>F&amp;HDC</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>Create and use policy to support a response to identified need and take a district wide strategic approach to play. This will enable targeted improvements to the quality and value of sustainable play provision across Folkestone &amp; Hythe District.</td>
<td></td>
<td>F&amp;HDC</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Further useful information within Play England guidance Better Places to Play Through Planning (2009).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Objective 3**: Raise awareness of play opportunities and the importance of play

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Implementation/Task</th>
<th>Resources</th>
<th>Priority</th>
<th>Notes &amp; Considerations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 3.1       | Future plans should be disseminated clearly to the public. | Media options to share information on future plans:  
- existing play facilities  
- newsletters and leaflets  
- posters  
- children’s centres, schools and youth facilities  
- libraries  
- local authority website  
- local media (TV, radio and newspapers) | F&HDC | High | DCSF has produced Outdoor play: A communications toolkit for local authorities (see: http://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/20090813152455/http://www.dcsf.gov.uk/campaigns/outdoor_play/index.cfm). The toolkit is designed to help authorities to engage with local parents and communities on issues relating to outdoor play. |

---

### 3.2 Support parent/carers to access play provision; for example through publicising existing play areas with an online map.

**Implementation/Task**: Produce an online map of existing provision and make available to schools, play providers and other outlets.

**Resources**: F&HDC

**Priority**: Medium

### 3.3 Highlight the risk benefit approach during the play area decision making process.

**Resources**: F&HDC

**Priority**: Low

### 3.4 Natural play opportunities and their importance and value should be encouraged.

**Resources**: F&HDC

**Priority**: High

---

**Objective 4**: Maximise funding opportunities for the maintenance and enhancement of play areas

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Implementation/Task</th>
<th>Resources</th>
<th>Priority</th>
<th>Notes &amp; Considerations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>Review capital investment and separate play budget to inform capital plan for ageing equipment.</td>
<td>Refer to the Shepway Play Area Review (2017) and coordinate future decisions with reference to the contribution criteria shown in Table 4.2.</td>
<td>F&amp;HDC</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>Maximise and protect the potential of Section 106 (S106) and Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) Funding.</td>
<td></td>
<td>F&amp;HDC</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Utilise scores by ward contained within the Shepway Play Area Review (2017) and present data to town and parish councils. Opportunity to link to CIL revenue.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>Review appropriate developer contributions.</td>
<td></td>
<td>F&amp;HDC</td>
<td>High</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 4.4       | Seek fundraising opportunities and funding through the Landfill Communities Fund. Other funding sources may be identified through searching online with GRANTfinder and through the Association of Play Industries. |  | F&HDC | Medium | Grantfinder: [http://www.idoxgroup.com/funding-solutions/professional-funding-tools/grantfinder.html](http://www.idoxgroup.com/funding-solutions/professional-funding-tools/grantfinder.html)  
API: [http://www.api-play.org/resources/funding](http://www.api-play.org/resources/funding) |
4.5 Seek Government funding schemes if available. | F&HDC | High | Government funding towards pathfinder and playbuilder developments through the Play Strategy is intended to enhance and improve local authority provision. Local authorities should not be using this funding to replace or otherwise scale back on local play funding and budgets.

4.6 The E&B service should work to ensure Destination play areas are included in the 123 list to shape and define CIL funding. | F&HDC | High

4.7 Re-evaluate formulae for maintenance contributions. | F&HDC | Medium

4.8 Utilise design guidance to formulate a landscaped approach which reduces maintenance costs and provides an interesting space for play. | F&HDC | Medium

4.9 Potential for reduction in LAPs and subsequent maintenance liability. | F&HDC | Low | Refer to the Shepway Play Area Review (2017) and coordinate future decisions with reference to the contribution criteria shown in Table 4.2.

**Objective 5:** Communicate and engage with key partners and stakeholders

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Implementation/Task</th>
<th>Resources</th>
<th>Priority</th>
<th>Notes &amp; Considerations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>Consultation of SIPAs &amp; NSPAs for asset transfer options. Potential stakeholders invited to presentation sessions.</td>
<td>F&amp;HDC</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Further useful information within Play England guidance Parish</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>Work with town and parish councils to ensure there is suitable play provision</td>
<td>F&amp;HDC</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Parish</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
within their area of interest and encourage local ownership.

<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>Engage in a programme of user and resident consultation to understand if proposals would be responded to positively and reflect community need. It will also encourage positive community participation in the delivery of local projects.</td>
<td>F&amp;HDC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>Collaborate with providers.</td>
<td>F&amp;HDC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>If decommissioning sites are proposed, consultation with stakeholders including adjacent residents will be required.</td>
<td>F&amp;HDC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>Work with other play providers such as Housing Associations and the MoD to ensure their provision is secured.</td>
<td>F&amp;HDC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>Encourage responsibility through Trusts and Residents Groups.</td>
<td>F&amp;HDC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>Encourage community groups to take responsibility for supervising and maintaining play areas alongside community fundraising.</td>
<td>F&amp;HDC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Further useful information within Play England guidance *Managing play provision in the community and voluntary sector - Community play briefing 6*[^34]. For information about the Engaging Communities in Play programme visit: [www.playengland.org.uk/our](http://www.playengland.org.uk/our).

---


5.9 Strengthen links with the South Kent Coast Health and Wellbeing Board. They may assist in allocating funding for health and well-being priorities. The benefits offered by good quality open space should be promoted to this Board as a potential mechanism for delivering its objectives.

**Objective 6: Ensure appropriate and regular communication and review**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Implementation/Task</th>
<th>Resources</th>
<th>Priority</th>
<th>Notes &amp; Considerations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>Implement the Strategy and make available to staff at all levels and by different stakeholders.</td>
<td></td>
<td>F&amp;HDC</td>
<td>High</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>Ensure effective monitoring of the Strategy.</td>
<td>A partnership between Environment and Corporate Assets and Communities should put in place a system for ensuring that data is updated as changes occur and reviewed on a regular basis.</td>
<td>F&amp;HDC</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>Ensure management is financially sound. F&amp;HDC to commit to provide necessary funding to maintain to necessary standard.</td>
<td></td>
<td>F&amp;HDC</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>Provide a presentation to Planning colleagues on the new Play Area Strategy.</td>
<td></td>
<td>F&amp;HDC</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>Ensure that if sites are to be removed or altered significantly there should be appropriate consultation with the community.</td>
<td></td>
<td>F&amp;HDC</td>
<td>High</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
8 Evaluation plan

8.1 This section describes how the Strategy will be used and the arrangements for its ongoing review and monitoring.

Outcomes

8.2 At a national level, play is increasingly recognised as being important not only in its own right but also in helping to achieve wider objectives including the development of healthy, vibrant and sustainable communities. The benefits of children’s play are closely linked to the five outcomes for children and young people that form the core of Every Child Matters, namely: being healthy, staying safe, enjoying and achieving, making a positive contribution and achieving economic well-being. The Shepway Play Area Review (2017) and supplementary Strategy aims to address issues and targets based upon the current evidence base.

Benchmarks

8.3 It will be necessary to co-ordinate ongoing data collection as required and evaluate performance against strategic indicators and benchmarks which are set as outlined further within the Shepway Play Area Review (2017).

Indicators

8.4 To effectively review the Strategy, F&HDC will aim to monitor performance by agreed indicators as listed below. The data collection for local play indicators should seek to measure the extent to which children and young people have access to spaces and facilities for play and informal recreation that:

1. Are free of charge, allowing children the freedom to come and go, and where children are free to play as they choose (the ‘three frees’);
2. Are accessible, welcoming and engaging for all, including those who are disabled or have specific needs and wishes;
3. Allow for the needs of different ages of children.

8.5 Potential key Play England indicators and corresponding data collection methods are as follows:
- Participation – household survey
- Access to a variety of facilities and spaces – open space and play strategy audits
- Quality of facilities and spaces – quality assessment tool, GIS mapping
- Satisfaction – Questionnaire survey of children and young people

Review

8.6 This Strategy and action plan will be monitored through F&HDC’s Performance Management Framework and reviewed at least annually. This will include an assessment of progress against targets and whether these need to be modified in the light of changing circumstances. Changes to relevant legislation, funding, linked strategies and planning will be noted and the Strategy modified as appropriate.
8.7 The cycle for the Strategy is to:

- Write and adopt the Strategy
- Operate according to the aims and objectives held within the Strategy
- Monitor the operation of the Strategy
- Review the working of the Strategy
- Revise and improve the Strategy where necessary to reflect on-going developments such as further consultation findings, changes to sites and revised financial circumstances and projections.

8.8 The Strategy covers a period of 10 years up to 2030. It will be subject to continual review as it is used and F&HDC will carry out a full review annually to enable any improvements and alterations to be made as necessary.
## Appendix 1: Workshop attendees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Organisation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cllr; Berry, Ann</td>
<td>F&amp;HDC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cllr; Dearden, Malcolm</td>
<td>F&amp;HDC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cllr; Hollingsbee, Jenny</td>
<td>F&amp;HDC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cllr; Lawes, Mary</td>
<td>F&amp;HDC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Karen Lewis</td>
<td>Dymchurch Parish Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neil Jones</td>
<td>Folkestone Town Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lynne Martin</td>
<td>Hawkinge Town Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nick Hilditch</td>
<td>Hythe Town Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ben Geering</td>
<td>F&amp;HDC- Head of Planning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andy Blaszkowicz</td>
<td>F&amp;HDC- Head of Commercial and Technical Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sarah Robson</td>
<td>F&amp;HDC- Head of Communities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Karen Weller</td>
<td>F&amp;HDC- Environmental Protection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jess Harman</td>
<td>F&amp;HDC- Communities Officer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laura Pinkham</td>
<td>F&amp;HDC- Grounds Maintenance Manager</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Piran Cooper</td>
<td>F&amp;HDC- Landscape and Urban Design Officer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rebecca Chittock</td>
<td>F&amp;HDC- Planning Policy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isabelle Hills</td>
<td>F&amp;HDC- Planning Policy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jo Clifford</td>
<td>Folkestone Sport Centre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ivan Rudd</td>
<td>KCC- Public Health Specialist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brigitte Orasinski</td>
<td>Strange Cargo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jon Clarke</td>
<td>East Folkestone Together</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matthew Parkhill</td>
<td>LUC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sebastian West</td>
<td>LUC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Appendix 2: Ownership, management responsibilities and classifications of play areas

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site ID</th>
<th>Site name</th>
<th>Classification</th>
<th>Ownership/Management</th>
<th>SIPA or NSPA</th>
<th>PPA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Atkinson Road Play Area</td>
<td>Type A: LAP</td>
<td>Hawkinge Town Council</td>
<td>NSPA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Blenheim Drive</td>
<td>Type A: LAP</td>
<td>F&amp;HDC</td>
<td>NSPA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Brabner Park</td>
<td>Type C: NEAP</td>
<td>F&amp;HDC</td>
<td>SIPA</td>
<td>PPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Brockhill Country Park</td>
<td>Type D: Destination</td>
<td>Kent County Council</td>
<td>SIPA</td>
<td>PPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Buffs Avenue</td>
<td>Type B: LEAP</td>
<td>Ministry of Defence</td>
<td>SIPA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Burmarsh Recreation Ground Play Area</td>
<td>Type C: NEAP</td>
<td>Burmarsh Children’s Fund</td>
<td>SIPA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Campbell Road Play Area</td>
<td>Type B: LEAP</td>
<td>Hawkinge Town Council</td>
<td>NSPA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Canterbury Road Recreation Ground</td>
<td>Type C: NEAP</td>
<td>F&amp;HDC</td>
<td>SIPA</td>
<td>PPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Cheriton Recreation Area</td>
<td>Type C: NEAP</td>
<td>F&amp;HDC</td>
<td>SIPA</td>
<td>PPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Coniston Road (Summer Lees)</td>
<td>Type A: LAP</td>
<td>F&amp;HDC</td>
<td>SIPA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Corbett Road Play Area</td>
<td>Type B: LEAP</td>
<td>Hawkinge Town Council</td>
<td>NSPA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Country’s Field</td>
<td>Type A: LAP</td>
<td>Orbit Housing Association</td>
<td>SIPA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Daglish Close</td>
<td>Type B: LEAP</td>
<td>Southern Housing Group</td>
<td>NSPA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Densole Way</td>
<td>Type B: LEAP</td>
<td>F&amp;HDC Housing</td>
<td>SIPA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Downs Road</td>
<td>Type A: LAP</td>
<td>F&amp;HDC</td>
<td>SIPA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Dymchurch Recreation Ground</td>
<td>Type C: NEAP</td>
<td>Dymchurch Parish Council</td>
<td>SIPA</td>
<td>PPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Elmfields</td>
<td>Type B: LEAP</td>
<td>F&amp;HDC Housing</td>
<td>SIPA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Enbrook Valley Play Area</td>
<td>Type B: LEAP</td>
<td>F&amp;HDC</td>
<td>SIPA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Etchinghill Cricket Field</td>
<td>Type B: LEAP</td>
<td>Lyminge Parish Council</td>
<td>SIPA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Fairfield Recreation Ground</td>
<td>Type C: NEAP</td>
<td>New Romney Town Council</td>
<td>SIPA</td>
<td>PPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>The Rype</td>
<td>Type C: NEAP</td>
<td>Lydd Town Council</td>
<td>SIPA</td>
<td>PPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Firs Lane</td>
<td>Type A: LAP</td>
<td>F&amp;HDC</td>
<td>NSPA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>George Gurr Crescent</td>
<td>Type B: LEAP</td>
<td>F&amp;HDC</td>
<td>NSPA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Grange Road Play Park</td>
<td>Type C: NEAP</td>
<td>Saltwood Parish Council</td>
<td>SIPA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Greatstone Car Park</td>
<td>Type C: NEAP</td>
<td>F&amp;HDC</td>
<td>SIPA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Harvest Way</td>
<td>Type B: LEAP</td>
<td>F&amp;HDC</td>
<td>NSPA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Heron Forstall Avenue</td>
<td>Type B: LEAP</td>
<td>F&amp;HDC</td>
<td>NSPA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Horn Street</td>
<td>Type B: LEAP</td>
<td>Hythe Town Council</td>
<td>SIPA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>Hythe Skate Park</td>
<td>Type C: NEAP</td>
<td>Hythe Town Council</td>
<td>SIPA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Ivychurch Play Area</td>
<td>Type B: LEAP</td>
<td>Ivychurch Parish Council</td>
<td>SIPA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>Jefferstone Lane</td>
<td>Type B: LEAP</td>
<td>St Mary in the Marsh Parish Council</td>
<td>SIPA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>Jocks Pitch</td>
<td>Type A: LAP</td>
<td>F&amp;HDC</td>
<td>SIPA</td>
<td>PPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>Jubilee Field</td>
<td>Type C: NEAP</td>
<td>Lyminge Parish Council</td>
<td>SIPA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>Kettle Drive Play Area</td>
<td>Type C: NEAP</td>
<td>Hawkinge Town Council</td>
<td>SIPA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>King George V Playing Field</td>
<td>Type C: NEAP</td>
<td>Elham Parish Council</td>
<td>SIPA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>Lade Fort</td>
<td>Type B: LEAP</td>
<td>F&amp;HDC</td>
<td>SIPA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>Lower Leas Coastal Park Fun Zone</td>
<td>Type D: Destination</td>
<td>F&amp;HDC</td>
<td>SIPA</td>
<td>PPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>Lower Radnor Park Play Area</td>
<td>Type B: LEAP</td>
<td>F&amp;HDC</td>
<td>SIPA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>Lympne Village Hall</td>
<td>Type B: LEAP</td>
<td>Lympne Parish Council</td>
<td>SIPA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>Manor Farm Close</td>
<td>Type B: LEAP</td>
<td>F&amp;HDC</td>
<td>SIPA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>McKenzie Drive</td>
<td>Type B: LEAP</td>
<td>Ministry of Defence</td>
<td>NSPA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Development Authority</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>Meads Way</td>
<td>Type A: LAP</td>
<td>F&amp;HDC</td>
<td>S106 - With Developer (overgrown/disused)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>Megan Close</td>
<td>Type A: LAP</td>
<td>F&amp;HDC</td>
<td>S106 - With Developer (overgrown/disused)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>Megone Close Play Area</td>
<td>Type A: LAP</td>
<td>F&amp;HDC</td>
<td>S106 - With Developer (overgrown/disused)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>Meriden Walk</td>
<td>Type B: LEAP</td>
<td>F&amp;HDC</td>
<td>S106 - With Developer (overgrown/disused)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>Millfield</td>
<td>Type A: LAP</td>
<td>F&amp;HDC</td>
<td>S106 - With Developer (overgrown/disused)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>Moore Close</td>
<td>Type A: LAP</td>
<td>F&amp;HDC</td>
<td>S106 - With Developer (overgrown/disused)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>Morehall Recreation Ground</td>
<td>Type B: LEAP</td>
<td>F&amp;HDC</td>
<td>S106 - With Developer (overgrown/disused)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>Mount Pleasant Close</td>
<td>Type A: LAP</td>
<td>F&amp;HDC</td>
<td>S106 - With Developer (overgrown/disused)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>Naseby Avenue</td>
<td>Type A: LAP</td>
<td>F&amp;HDC</td>
<td>S106 - With Developer (overgrown/disused)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>Newchurch Playing Field</td>
<td>Type B: LEAP</td>
<td>Newchurch Parish Council</td>
<td>S106 - With Developer (overgrown/disused)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>Newington Village Hall</td>
<td>Type B: LEAP</td>
<td>Newington Parish Council</td>
<td>S106 - With Developer (overgrown/disused)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>Oak Drive</td>
<td>Type B: LEAP</td>
<td>F&amp;HDC</td>
<td>S106 - With Developer (overgrown/disused)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>Oakham Drive</td>
<td>Type B: LEAP</td>
<td>F&amp;HDC</td>
<td>S106 - With Developer (overgrown/disused)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>Oaklands</td>
<td>Type B: LEAP</td>
<td>Hythe Town Council</td>
<td>S106 - With Developer (overgrown/disused)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>Palmarsh (St George's Place Play Area)</td>
<td>Type B: LEAP</td>
<td>F&amp;HDC</td>
<td>S106 - With Developer (overgrown/disused)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>Pannell Drive Play Area</td>
<td>Type C: NEAP</td>
<td>Hawkinge Town Council</td>
<td>S106 - With Developer (overgrown/disused)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>Payers Park</td>
<td>Type B: LEAP</td>
<td>F&amp;HDC</td>
<td>S106 - With Developer (overgrown/disused)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>Peregrine Close</td>
<td>Type A: LAP</td>
<td>F&amp;HDC</td>
<td>S106 - With Developer (overgrown/disused)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>Pine Way</td>
<td>Type B: LEAP</td>
<td>F&amp;HDC</td>
<td>S106 - With Developer (overgrown/disused)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td>Pond Hill Road</td>
<td>Type A: LAP</td>
<td>Ministry of Defence</td>
<td>S106 - With Developer (overgrown/disused)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>Queensway</td>
<td>Type B: LEAP</td>
<td>F&amp;HDC</td>
<td>S106 - With Developer (overgrown/disused)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>Reachfields</td>
<td>Type B: LEAP</td>
<td>F&amp;HDC</td>
<td>S106 - With Developer (overgrown/disused)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>Rhodes Minnis Recreation Ground</td>
<td>Type B: LEAP</td>
<td>Lyminge Parish Council</td>
<td>S106 - With Developer (overgrown/disused)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>Roman Way</td>
<td>Type B: LEAP</td>
<td>F&amp;HDC</td>
<td>S106 - With Developer (overgrown/disused)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66</td>
<td>Royal Military Canal Play Area</td>
<td>Type D: Destination</td>
<td>F&amp;HDC</td>
<td>S106 - With Developer (overgrown/disused)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67</td>
<td>Salthouse Close</td>
<td>Type B: LEAP</td>
<td>F&amp;HDC</td>
<td>S106 - With Developer (overgrown/disused)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68</td>
<td>Sandgate Recreation Ground</td>
<td>Type C: NEAP</td>
<td>Sandgate Parish Council</td>
<td>S106 - With Developer (overgrown/disused)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>Station Road</td>
<td>Type B: LEAP</td>
<td>F&amp;HDC</td>
<td>S106 - With Developer (overgrown/disused)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71</td>
<td>Stombers Lane</td>
<td>Type B: LEAP</td>
<td>F&amp;HDC</td>
<td>S106 - With Developer (overgrown/disused)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72</td>
<td>Swan Lane</td>
<td>Type B: LEAP</td>
<td>Sellindge Parish Council</td>
<td>S106 - With Developer (overgrown/disused)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73</td>
<td>Tayne Field</td>
<td>Type B: LEAP</td>
<td>Lyminge Parish Council</td>
<td>S106 - With Developer (overgrown/disused)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74</td>
<td>Tayne Field (adjacent public house)</td>
<td>Type B: LEAP</td>
<td>Lyminge Parish Council</td>
<td>S106 - With Developer (overgrown/disused)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>The Waltons</td>
<td>Type A: LAP</td>
<td>Hyde Housing</td>
<td>S106 - With Developer (overgrown/disused)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76</td>
<td>The Danni &amp; James Community Friendship Park</td>
<td>Type B: LEAP</td>
<td>F&amp;HDC</td>
<td>S106 - With Developer (overgrown/disused)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77</td>
<td>The Derrings</td>
<td>Type B: LEAP</td>
<td>F&amp;HDC</td>
<td>S106 - With Developer (overgrown/disused)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78</td>
<td>The Green</td>
<td>Type C: NEAP</td>
<td>Hythe Town Council</td>
<td>S106 - With Developer (overgrown/disused)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79</td>
<td>The Greens</td>
<td>Type C: NEAP</td>
<td>New Romney Town Council</td>
<td>S106 - With Developer (overgrown/disused)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>The Ridgeway Trim Trail</td>
<td>Type B: LEAP</td>
<td>F&amp;HDC</td>
<td>S106 - With Developer (overgrown/disused)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81</td>
<td>Turnpike Hill</td>
<td>Type A: LAP</td>
<td>F&amp;HDC</td>
<td>S106 - With Developer (overgrown/disused)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82</td>
<td>Underwood Play Area</td>
<td>Type B: LEAP</td>
<td>Hawkinge Town Council</td>
<td>S106 - With Developer (overgrown/disused)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>83</td>
<td>Upper Radnor Park</td>
<td>Type A: LAP</td>
<td>F&amp;HDC</td>
<td>S106 - With Developer (overgrown/disused)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84</td>
<td>Widgeon Walk</td>
<td>Type B: LEAP</td>
<td>F&amp;HDC</td>
<td>S106 - With Developer (overgrown/disused)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>Wrightsfield Play Area</td>
<td>Type B: LEAP</td>
<td>F&amp;HDC</td>
<td>S106 - With Developer (overgrown/disused)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Shorncliffe</td>
<td></td>
<td>Committed development with planned play area provision at Shorncliffe Garrison. Classification to be confirmed once installed.</td>
<td>S106 - With Developer (overgrown/disused)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
be fully confirmed.
Appendix 3: Locations of SIPAs, NSPAs and PPAs
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Report Number OS/20/01

To: Overview & Scrutiny Committee
Date: 19 May 2020
Status: Non-executive decision
Responsible Officer: Dr Susan Priest, Chief Executive

SUBJECT: COMMUNITY INFRASTRUCTURE LEVY (CIL) GOVERNANCE FRAMEWORK

SUMMARY: This report sets out the proposed CIL Governance Framework for the committee’s consideration.

RECOMMENDATIONS:
1. To receive and note report OS/20/01
2. The Committee’s views are sought on the proposed CIL Governance Framework contained in appendix 1.
1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 The introduction of the Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) in August 2016 necessitates the development of governance arrangements for spending the money to be collected. This report is concerned with CIL administration and governance arrangements so as to ensure the collection and allocation of CIL monies (i.e. the deployment of CIL income) follows clear and appropriate processes.

1.2 A draft CIL Governance Framework has been prepared and is included as an appendix to this report. This report summarises the main proposals of the draft Framework.

1.3 CIL collected will be used to provide infrastructure to support growth within the district. In adopting CIL in August 2016 the Council has formally adopted a ‘Regulation 123 List’ which sets out the types of infrastructure that will be funded via CIL. At present this is only a broad list, identifying infrastructure types that will be considered for support and project exclusions that will continue to be funded via Section 106 (s106) contributions.

2. APPORTIONMENT OF CIL INCOME

2.1 The Regulations state that the CIL is to be allocated as follows:

- Administrative CIL: 5% of CIL receipts may be retained by FHDC
- Neighbourhood CIL: 15%-25% of CIL receipts must be paid to Town and Parish Councils, the proportion depends on whether a Neighbourhood Plan is in place
- Strategic CIL: the remaining 70-80% of CIL to be allocated to infrastructure projects by FHDC

Administrative CIL

2.2 The Regulations allow the Council to retain up to 5% of annual CIL receipts to be spent on the administrative expenses in relation to the administration and collection of the CIL. Administrative expenses have and will include: examination costs (upfront and possible future costs following review), staff, training, IT software and indexation subscriptions. This money is ring-fenced and has to be reported on annually.

Neighbourhood CIL

2.3 In line with the Regulations, 15% of CIL receipts (capped at £100 per Council tax dwelling per annum in the parish area) will be transferred to Town and Parish Councils twice a year, where development has occurred in their area, rising to 25% of CIL receipts (without any cap) for Town and Parish Councils that have made Neighbourhood Plans. (As CLT may recall, St Mary in the Marsh is the only area within the district that has an adopted, or ‘made’, Neighbourhood Plan. At the time of writing, there are no additional Neighbourhood Plans being prepared by Town or Parish Councils.)
2.4 The Neighbourhood CIL may be spent by Town or Parish Councils on a range of infrastructure projects, as long as it meets the requirement to support:

- the development of the area by funding the provision, improvement, replacement, operation or maintenance of infrastructure; or
- anything else that is concerned with addressing the demands that development places on the area.

2.5 Town and Parish Councils must produce and publish an annual report detailing CIL receipts, balances and spending for each financial year.

Strategic CIL

2.6 Strategic CIL receipts must be spent on infrastructure types identified in the Regulation 123 List. The Infrastructure Delivery Plan (IDP) will act as a framework for allocating Strategic CIL funding, in accordance with the arrangements set out in this report. The IDP sets out specific projects, relating to the identified types of infrastructure in the Regulation 123 List.

The 2019 CIL Regulations – implications for CIL going forward


2.8 The regulations introduce a requirement for councils to publish Infrastructure Funding Statements (IFS). These statements will replace existing Regulation 123 lists and should include details of how much money has been raised through developer contributions and how it has been spent. Statements must be published on local authority websites at least once a year. Councils will be required to publish their first statements by 31 December 2020.

2.9 The regulations state that the IFS is to include details of how much money has been raised through developer contributions, both from CIL and section 106 planning gain agreements, and how it has been/is to be spent.

2.10 The Council considers that the requirement to prepare and annually publish an IFS presents a real opportunity to work proactively with infrastructure providers and communities to set out in a clear and transparent manner the infrastructure that they have, and may be funding through CIL and section 106 planning obligations. The Ministry of Housing, Communities and Local Government (MHCLG) is to publish new guidance detailing how councils should produce their Infrastructure Funding Statement.

3. GOVERNANCE FOR THE DETERMINATION OF CIL SPEND
3.1 Governance arrangements for CIL do not need to be published for consultation or independent examination. The operational aspects of the Strategic CIL governance arrangements will be put in place by the appropriate internal services.

**Proposed allocation of CIL receipts to Kent County Council**

3.2 The District Council acknowledges the crucial role played by the County Council in the delivery of key strategic infrastructure. Indeed, charging authorities must consult and should collaborate with the County Council in setting the levy and should work closely with them in setting priorities for how the levy will be spent in 2-tier areas. Collaborative working between County Councils and charging authorities is especially important in relation to the preparation of infrastructure funding statements (see Schedule 2 introduced by the 2019 Regulations) bearing in mind the potential impact on the use of highway agreements by the County Council and the timely delivery of schools.

3.3 Under the proposed governance arrangements the District Council is pledging to assign 35% of CIL receipts from the strategic pot to Kent County Council in order to enable KCC to spend this proportion of the receipts in accordance with their own priorities for infrastructure delivery within Folkestone & Hythe district.

3.4 A requirement of the proposed governance arrangements is that the County Council’s priority infrastructure schemes shall be recorded within the Infrastructure Funding Statement (IFS); the associated spend of CIL receipts by the County Council must be in accordance with the prioritisation of CIL funds.

**Scheme prioritisation through reference to the Infrastructure Funding Statement**

3.5 As the District Council is to prepare an IFS in conjunction with the County Council, and other stakeholders, the scheme prioritisation process for the allocation of CIL spend is to cross-reference the IFS once this document has been prepared and has been endorsed by the District Council.

3.6 Decisions to be taken by the District Council on spend of CIL receipts from the strategic pot would be taken in accordance with the IFS priorities and through the involvement/discussions between the Planning Policy team which leads on preparation of the IFS and one of the following Directors, depending on the directorate area where a particular project falls:

- Director of Place
- Director of Housing and Operations
- Director of Corporate Services

3.7 In terms of reporting, it is proposed that a Cabinet statement is prepared every 6 months to provide an update on CIL receipts received and expenditure.

**Interim arrangements for allocation of District Council spend**

3.8 In the intervening period until the IFS has been prepared, the District Council proposes to allow delegated authority to a named Director (from those listed under paragraph 3.6) in consultation with the Cabinet Member for Finance (to
ensure Member oversight for any investment decisions made) to approve CIL spending up to a specified financial limit of £50,000 on any single project to be CIL awarded funding.

3.9 Under interim arrangements it is expected that the allocation of CIL funding up until the end of December 2020 is to be in general conformance with the Regulation 123 list, although it is recognised that as the December 2020 deadline for abolition of the Regulation 123 list approaches delegated authority for the spend of CIL monies up to the capped amount of £50,000 will increasingly be made in accordance with those projects referenced within the emerging IFS. Should there be a request for spend of CIL monies above the £50,000 capped limit then the decision on spend will be taken by Cabinet.

Payment of Funds

3.10 The Council will ensure timely release of funds when invoices are received for satisfactorily completed works.

Monitoring

3.11 There is a requirement for FHDC, as the Charging Authority, to prepare an annual report detailing CIL receipts, balances and spend for each financial year. The progress on spends will be monitored and reported to the S106/CIL working group. All parish and town councils that are in receipt of CIL monies shall have to produce a similar annual report relating to their Neighbourhood Allocation.

4. CONCLUSION

4.1 Since the adoption of CIL in 2016, it has taken some time for CIL-liable developments to go through the planning process, be granted permission and for development to start on site – the point at which CIL is liable to be paid. However, CIL funds have begun to accumulate and the Council needs to put in place a process for identifying priorities and allotting funds to particular infrastructure projects.

4.2 A draft CIL Governance Framework has been developed to set out this process clearly for Members and Officers of the council. The draft Governance Framework has been prepared by the Strategic Policy Officer with the close involvement and advice of legal, finance and development management specialists within the Council and also drawing on best practice from other authorities.

5. RISK MANAGEMENT ISSUES

5.1 There is not a great deal of risk management involved in this issue.

6. LEGAL/FINANCIAL AND OTHER CONTROLS/POLICY MATTERS

6.1 Legal Officer’s Comments (NE)
The governance arrangements will be implemented in accordance with the CIL Regulations 2010 as amended and will also take account of the Planning Practice Guidance.

The Council require an appropriate governance system to be in place in order to spend the CIL income collected for infrastructure needed to support the development in the District.

6.2 Finance Officer’s Comments (SP)

There are no direct financial implications arising from this report.

6.3 Diversities and Equalities Implications (RB)

The proposed Governance Framework provides a transparent process to make clear decisions on infrastructure related projects being funded by CIL. These projects could include schemes to assist specific sections of our community, for example improving wheelchair accessibility in public spaces.

Under the Equalities Act 2010 the District Council has produced an Equality and Diversity Policy, which ensures that these decisions will be made fairly.

7. CONTACT OFFICERS AND BACKGROUND DOCUMENTS

Councillors with any questions arising out of this report should contact the following officer prior to the meeting.

James Hammond, Strategy & Policy Senior Specialist
Telephone: 01303 853435
Email: james.hammond@folkestone-hythe.gov.uk

Appendices:

Appendix 1. Draft CIL Governance Framework (dated March 2020)
APPENDIX 1

Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) Governance Framework and Funding Decision Protocol

1 Introduction

1.1 The introduction of the Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) by Folkestone & Hythe District Council in August 2016 necessitates the development of governance arrangements for spending the money to be collected. This report is concerned with CIL administration and governance arrangements so as to ensure the collection and allocation of CIL monies (i.e. the deployment of CIL income) follows clear and appropriate processes.

1.2 Folkestone & Hythe District Council (F&HDC) is responsible for making the final decision on the allocation of funding raised through the Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL). The aim of the Governance Framework and funding decision protocol is to ensure the decision making process is transparent. Through it the Council will identify and agree priorities for the use of CIL.

1.3 The development of a detailed framework for Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) expenditure for consideration and adoption by F&HDC is required as there is no set approach for CIL expenditure prescribed either by Central Government or through the CIL Regulations 2010 (as amended). As such, all Councils across the country where a CIL charging regime has been adopted and is being implemented have brought in their own schemes for how CIL monies are spent.

1.4 CIL is just one funding stream that can be used in conjunction with others to fund infrastructure projects. See examples of other funding streams in the diagram below.

![Funding Streams Diagram]

1.5 Although the priority to date has been to devise the policy and set up processes to collect CIL, there is a need to formalise future governance arrangements for CIL spend/allocation.

2 Statutory Requirements

2.1 As a Charging Authority, F&HDC is responsible for determining CIL spend. The statutory guidance states that Charging Authorities should work closely with County/Town/Parish Councils in setting priorities on how CIL is spent.

2.2 Each year, 15% of CIL receipts will need to be spent on locally determined infrastructure in areas where development takes place – this is referred to
below as the ‘Neighbourhood Allocation’ (up to a maximum of £100 per existing Council Tax dwelling). This will rise to 25% for those areas with an adopted Neighbourhood Plan in place. At present St Mary in the Marsh is the only area in the district with an adopted Neighbourhood Plan in place.

2.2 A further 5% of CIL receipts can be retained by Charging Authorities for administrative costs. This apportionment is allowed for by the CIL Regulations, and will be used to cover the costs of monitoring payments, enforcing against non-payment and monitoring the delivery of infrastructure schemes. The CIL Charging Schedule must also be updated periodically to ensure that CIL charges keep pace with changing land values and development viability, and this requires consultation and independent examination, the costs of which will be paid for from the administrative income.

Prioritisation of CIL funds

2.3 The CIL Regulations stipulate that CIL monies which are collected must be spent on the provision, improvement, replacement, operation or maintenance of infrastructure\(^1\) needed to support the development of the area. There is more freedom regarding the use of the Neighbourhood Portion which can also be applied to ‘anything else that is concerned with addressing the demands that development places on an area’.

2.4 Spend of CIL receipts is intended to focus on the provision of new infrastructure and should not be used to remedy pre-existing deficiencies unless they will be made more severe by new development. CIL cannot be used to fund solutions to existing problems i.e. traffic calming/management or on repairs to existing infrastructure in an area that hasn’t experienced housing growth.

2.5 Furthermore, it is important to recognise that CIL receipts can typically only be spent on capital projects, although associated revenue spending to maintain those capital items is also permissible. It can be used to increase the capacity of existing infrastructure or to repair failing infrastructure if that is necessary to support development. Funds may be released for project development work in advance of funds for specific projects, if necessary.

2.6 The Council is required to publish a list of infrastructure types that will be funded wholly or partially through CIL. This list, known as the “Regulation 123 List”, was adopted by F&HDC and published in August 2016.

3.0 The 2019 CIL Regulations – implications for CIL going forward

3.1 Regulations laid before parliament in June 2019 proposed a series of changes to the way in which local authorities charge, collect and report on developer

---

\(^1\) “Infrastructure” includes roads and other transport facilities, flood defences, schools and other educational facilities, medical facilities, sporting and recreational facilities, and open spaces. (S216, Planning Act 2008, as amended by Regulation 63)

3.2 The regulations introduce a requirement for councils to publish "infrastructure funding statements". These statements will replace existing Regulation 123 lists and should include details of how much money has been raised through developer contributions and how it has been spent. Statements must be published on local authority websites at least once a year. Councils will be required to publish their first statements by 31 December 2020.

3.3 The regulations state that the Infrastructure Funding Statement is to include details of how much money has been raised through developer contributions, both from CIL and section 106 planning gain agreements, and how it has been/is to be spent.

3.4 The Council considers that the requirement to prepare and annually publish an Infrastructure Funding Statement presents a real opportunity to work proactively with infrastructure providers and communities to set out in a clear and transparent manner the infrastructure that they have, and may be funding through CIL and section 106 planning obligations. The Ministry of Housing, Communities and Local Government (MHCLG) is to publish new guidance detailing how councils should produce their Infrastructure Funding Statement.

4.0 Monitoring and reporting of CIL income and spend

CIL Annual Report

4.1 There is a requirement for F&HDC, as the Charging Authority, to prepare an annual report detailing CIL receipts, balances and spend for each financial year. The progress on spends will be monitored and reported to the S106/CIL working group. All parish and town councils that are in receipt of CIL monies shall have to produce a similar annual report relating to their Neighbourhood Allocation. In the same fashion the County Council will be required to prepare an annual report relating to their allocation of 35% from the strategic pot.

CIL receipts to date and forward income profile

4.2 As of the end of the 2018/19 tax year (up to 5th April 2019), the Council had collected £0.295m in CIL receipts, broken down as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2017/18</td>
<td>£30,367.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018/19</td>
<td>£265,575.18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In addition, following the close of the 2018/19 tax year, further receipts have been collected as follows:

2019/20*
£47,971.90  TOTAL: £343,914.58

*amount collected thus far in 2019/20 financial year

4.3 A further £2.5m of CIL receipts are expected from development which has been granted planning permission, but the consent has not yet been implemented to trigger the CIL payment. Up to a further £7m is expected from sites that are proposed to be allocated within the Places and Policies Local Plan (PPLP), which is timetabled to be adopted in early 2020. A number of sites that benefit from a proposed allocation in the PPLP have already been granted planning consent and are coming forward to implementation.

4.4 For residential development, based on the projected growth and approximate timing of delivery outlined by the Core Strategy Local Plan, it is currently estimated that in the region of £9 million could be from CIL income over the plan period of the Places and Policies Local Plan to 2031. Although the plan period of the Core Strategy Review is up to 2037, as the three sites proposed to be allocated within the Core Strategy Review shall be exempt from CIL in accordance with the revised Charging Schedule (two sites at Sellindge and the new garden settlement), it is only possible to profile sites from the Places and Policies Local Plan to 2031.

4.5 These figures are broad estimates based on an average floor area for new dwellings, and affordable housing in accordance with the prevailing policy requirement. CIL receipts will be affected by a number of other factors, which are more difficult to forecast, such as pace of development, CIL relief for self-build dwellings and windfall development.

5.0 Proposed governance arrangements for the spend of CIL

5.1 This is the first Governance Framework that F&HDC has prepared. The requirements are designed to ensure:

- Fairness in the allocation of CIL funds between different areas of the district and different demands for spending;
- The best use of resources, taking into account other potential sources of funding;
- Openness in decision-making; and
- Accountability.

5.2 The Council will review the process in future years based on stakeholders’ experience and to reflect best practice developed by other charging authorities.

5.3 The process begins with the gathering of information, as detailed below:

- If timely to do so, update the Infrastructure Delivery Plan in accordance with the requirements of the Local Plan
- To collate data to inform projections of the likely amount of CIL available for allocation to infrastructure projects
APPENDIX 1

Proposed allocation of CIL receipts to Kent County Council

5.4 The District Council acknowledges the crucial role played by the County Council in the delivery of key strategic infrastructure. Indeed, charging authorities must consult and should collaborate with the County Council in setting the levy and should work closely with them in setting priorities for how the levy will be spent in 2-tier areas. Collaborative working between County Councils and charging authorities is especially important in relation to the preparation of infrastructure funding statements (see Schedule 2 introduced by the 2019 Regulations) bearing in mind the potential impact on the use of highway agreements by the County Council and the timely delivery of schools.

5.5 Under the proposed governance arrangements the District Council is pledging to assign 35% of CIL receipts from the strategic pot to Kent County Council in order to enable KCC to spend this proportion of the receipts in accordance with their own priorities.

5.6 A requirement of the proposed governance arrangements is that the County Council’s priority infrastructure schemes shall be recorded within the Infrastructure Funding Statement; the associated spend of CIL receipts by the County Council must be in accordance with the prioritisation of CIL funds, as detailed under paragraphs 2.4 and 2.5; and the County Council will be required to prepare and submit annual reports to the District Council to profile the total amount of CIL receipts held and where there has been draw-down to deliver associated infrastructure.

5.7 It is proposed that the component allocation of 35% will be transferred to the County Council every 6 months, that being the 31st March and 30th September of each calendar year.

Scheme prioritisation through reference to the Infrastructure Funding Statement

5.8 As the District Council is to prepare an IFS in conjunction with the County Council, and other stakeholders, the scheme prioritisation process for the allocation of CIL spend is to cross-reference the IFS once this document has been prepared and has been endorsed by the District Council.

5.9 Decisions to be taken by the District Council on spend of CIL receipts from the strategic pot would be taken in accordance with the IFS priorities and through the involvement/discussions between the Planning Policy team which leads on preparation of the IFS one of the following Directors, depending on the directorate area where a particular project falls:

- Director of Place
- Director of Housing and Operations
5.10 The proportionate component of the strategic CIL monies to be managed by F&HDC is to be divided by service function under the leadership of each of the Director positions cited above.

5.11 In terms of reporting, it is proposed that a Cabinet statement is prepared every 6 months to provide an update on CIL receipts received and expenditure.

Interim arrangements for allocation of District Council spend

5.12 In the intervening period until the IFS has been prepared the District Council proposes to allow delegated authority to a named Director (from those listed under paragraph 5.9) in consultation with the Cabinet Member for Finance (to ensure Member oversight for any investment decisions made) up to a specified financial limit of £50,000 on any single project to be CIL awarded funding.

5.13 Under interim arrangements it is expected that the allocation of CIL funding up until the end of December 2020 is to be in general conformance with the Regulation 123 list, although it is recognised that as the December 2020 deadline for abolition of the Regulation 123 list approaches delegated authority for the spend of CIL monies up to the capped amount of £50,000 will increasingly be made in accordance with those projects referenced within the emerging IFS.

Payment of Funds

5.14 The District Council shall ensure timely release of funds when invoices are received for satisfactorily completed works. If the body awarded funding does not satisfactorily demonstrate spend within five years of receipt, or does not spend it on initiatives that support the development of the area, the Charging Authority may require it to repay some or all of those funds to the Charging Authority (refer to CIL Regulation 59E(10) for details).

Further information

5.15 If you have any questions about the operation of this framework, further guidance is available on the council’s website. Alternatively you can contact James Hammond, Strategic Policy Officer (James.Hammond@folkestone-hythe.gov.uk).
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